

FILED

AUG 19 2009

Clerk, U.S. District and
Bankruptcy Courts

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

Case No.:

PATRIOT'S HEART MEDIA NETWORK,)
INC., Members JOHN DOES and JANE)
DOES 1-20, Registered Voters and Members)
of PATRIOT'S HEART MEDIA NETWORK,))
INC., (728 NW HWY, Fox River Grove, IL)
60021 847-304-8800) CARL SWENSSON(165)
Burke St. Suite 101, Stockbridge, Ga 30281,)
678-438-6138), ROBERT DEBEAUX,(4717 St,)
Thomas Place, Fortworth, Tx 76135 817-798-1338))
ROBERT D. PINKSTAFF, (10208 E.Shadybrook)
Wichita, KS 67206 316-260-6397) and DR PENNY)
KELSO,(PMB 106, 3411B 82nd St., Lubbock, TX)
79423, 806-749-7387))

Petitioners,

vs.

BARRY SOETORO, a/k/a BARACK)
OBAMA, BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA,)
a/k/a BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II,)
a/k/a BARRY OBAMA, NANCY PELOSI,)
in her authority within the Democrat)
National Committee, HOWARD DEAN, in)
his authority within the Democrat National)
Committee, and JOHN DOES and JANE)
DOES, 1-20, members of media and press)
organizations,)

Defendants.

Case: 1:09-mc-00442
Assigned To : Lamberth, Royce C.
Assign. Date : 8/19/2009
Description: Miscellaneous

**PETITION – FOR THE
CONVENING OF A FEDERAL
GRAND JURY**

**We, the undersigned plaintiffs, appearing pro se and John and Jane Does from
Patriot's Heart Media Network, Inc, in accord with the directions of our convictions and
loyalty to the Constitutional Republic of the United States of America, on oath and before
God Almighty, set forth this petition in the United States District Court for the District of
Columbia, seeking a redress of our grievances in our prayer to seek the appointment and
convening of a Federal Grand Jury to investigate high crimes against the United States of
America as committed by the defendants whose names are set forth above based on the
allegations set forth below.**

EXHIBIT 1

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

No. 203

1. Nama murid : 18-2007-100000 L
P

2. Tempat dan tanggal lahir : Herbolaha 4-2-64

3. Bangsa: a. Warga negara : Indonesia
 b. Keureunan asng : _____
 c. Suku bangsa : _____

4. Agama : Islam

5. Alamat murid : Memb. Dalam R007/R003

6. Data sekolah mana (di pendahikan) dan kelas berap: Taman Kanak-Kanak Anisa

7. a. Diterima dan kelas ini tgl: 1-1-1964
 b. Ditempaikan di kelas : F

8. a. Nama orang tua ^{Ayah} _{Ibu} : L. Sactora S.P.A.
 b. Pekerjaan : Peg. Dinas Geografi Dib. Topo A.D.
 (nama ibu diti, bapa jika ayah sudah meninggal)
 c. Alamat : Memb. Dalam R1007/R003

9. a. Nama wali : _____
 (bapa diti, jika orang tua murid tak ada, sudah meninggal atau karena hal lain)
 b. Pekerjaan : _____
 c. Alamat : _____

10. Meninggalakan sekolah ini : _____
 A. Belum tentu. Keluar dari kelas _____ tanggal _____
 Sebab Pindah
 Kemana _____
 B. Tidak, menerima ijazah tanggal _____ No. _____
 C. Melanjutkan sekolah ke _____

11. Keterangan lain: _____

EXHIBIT 2

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Of Counsel:
BURKE, McPHEETERS, BORDNER
& GILARDY

WILLIAM F. GILARDY, JR., 1530-0
Suite 1275, Grosvenor Center
733 Bishop Street
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
Telephone No. 523-9852

Attorney for Plaintiff

1st CIRCUIT COURT
STATE OF HAWAII
FILED

800 AUG 20 PM 2:58
M. HANAKOHA
CLERK

In the Family Court of the First Circuit State of Hawaii

STANLEY ANN SORTORG,
Plaintiff,
vs.
LOLE SORTORG,
Defendant

FILE NO. 117619
COMPLAINT FOR DIVORCE

COMPLAINT FOR DIVORCE

Plaintiff in support of the Complaint for Divorce alleges:

1. Either or each party has been domiciled at his/her present or former residence for a continuous period of at least six months and the Plaintiff has been domiciled or has been physically present in this Circuit for a continuous period of at least three months next preceding the application for divorce.

2. The parties are lawfully married to each other.

3. The parties have 1 child(ren) below age 18 and 2 child(ren) above 18 but still dependent on the parties for education.

4. The joint interests of the minor child(ren) of this marriage shall not be adversely affected by no custody of that sole custody joint custody and be required to provide support for said child(ren).

5. The parties possess certain property and Plaintiff is entitled to an equitable equal share of the property of the parties.

6. Based on the above allegations, Plaintiff is entitled to a divorce and the legal custody and residence of the parties.

I declare, for myself, that this is a true and correct copy of the original on file in this office pursuant to Section 86-1, Hawaii Revised Statutes.

[Signature]
Clerk, Circuit Court, First Circuit
State of Hawaii

EXHIBIT 3

Declaro Di¹

“If the foundations be destroyed, what can the righteous do?”

-Psalm 11:3

The very foundation of this country was laid upon this precept, “that whenever any Form of Government becomes destructive of these ends, it **is the Right of the People to alter or to abolish it**, and to institute new Government, laying its foundation on such principles and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to affect their Safety and Happiness”.² Howbeit, with that foundational declaration came two other “self evident” truths, the first of which was our fore fathers’ declaration of the very existence and dependence upon our Creator who endowed such rights as we suppose them to be upon us; and also this exhortation of cautious patience, that “prudence, indeed, will dictate that Governments long established should not be changed for light and transient causes; and accordingly all experience hath shewn, that mankind are more disposed to suffer, while evils are sufferable, than to right themselves by abolishing the forms to which they are accustomed”.

Yet in these dark days where Governmental ends have in Tyrants’ minds, justified their means, to an irreversible hour that the inexplicable corruptions and abuse of Governmental powers “derived from the consent of the governed” have created even in the minds of a peaceful, obedient people these very same revolutionary thoughts that fearfully started this great country, and yet now contemplate the abolishment of that very state which for over two centuries has been the noblest means for securing a peoples’ undeserved endowment from their Creator. This author dares say “undeserved” in that, if such “unalienable rights” be endowed from our Creator, then such endowment lands upon we Creatures³ here below, the mere acknowledgment of such doth bind us to serve Him for the indebtedness of such endowment; and by such noble servitude we soundly proclaim that in this one universal state of being “all men equal”, we are not before God a Free People in deed of this single most indebtedness to God.

“Know ye not, that to whom ye yield yourselves

Servants to obey, his servants ye are

To whom ye obey?”

-Romans 6:16

1 Our freedoms and liberties are pluralistic only in those things that are beyond that
2 endowment that binds us to God our Creator in acknowledgement of “the Truth” that
3 stands so self evident to all. In word and thereby in deed we are a Free People in things
4 related to man, but not free from God who created “all men equal” in things relating to
5 man.

6 *“If God therefore shall make you free,
7 ye shall be free indeed.”*

8 *-John 8:36*

9 *“Mighty in deed and word
10 before God and all the people.”*

11 *-Luke 24:19*

12 *Vox Vero*⁴: The deed by which we are endowed by our Creator is signed by our fore
13 fathers in word of that very Declaration of our Independence from the Tyranny of Man,
14 by which we declare our freedom and liberty from the bondage of Tyrants and Despots
15 that would usurp God’s authority as the Author and Mover of our Happiness and the
16 Endower of our rights; by wresting that form of government so “derived from the consent
17 of the governed”, and replace it with the tyranny of an antichrist rule⁵, where mere men
18 exalt their seats of government so “derived from the consent of the governed” into the
19 throne of God as the absolute Despot to decide what rights we have and to whom they
20 apply and do not apply.

21 *“Who opposeth and exalteth himself above all that is called God,*

22 *or that is worshipped; so that he AS GOD*

23 *sitteth in the temple of God,*

24 *shewing himself that he is God.”*

25 *-2 Thessalonians 2:4*

26 Howbeit, it is God alone and not man that endowed these unalienable rights to we His
27 subjects. And Governments are created when in the wisdom of God and man, a Free
28 People consent to give certain powers to men like ourselves, chosen from among us as
29 being like us, to “organiz[e] its powers in such form” as to secure to themselves and their
30 fellows that chose them, these unalienable rights of the people they serve in such capacity
31 as we call Government of the People, for the People and by the People. Without

1 Government, men rule as gods, dictators and monarchs. By the Declaration of
2 Independence we threw off the latter, and by love of liberty we repudiate the second! But
3 shall we as men serve men as gods?

4 *"I have said, Ye are gods;*

5 *And all of you are children of the most High.*

6 *But ye shall die like men."*

7 *-Psalm 82:6, 7*

8 God Almighty lives; and governments will live on, but only so long as men are free to
9 serve God and not man. Free to enjoy as equals those unalienable rights endowed upon
10 them from God alone and not man. Free to consent to be governed by their fellows who
11 never forget they are fellow men and not gods; and the consent of their fellows to allow
12 them to govern rests only in their duty before God of being true men, qualified and
13 Constitutionally elected for the securing of the rights of their fellows and the protection
14 of the same. Howbeit, none of these shall prevail or long endure unless there remains an
15 absolute final authority that constitutes the laws by which men consent to be governed by
16 themselves, and an absolute final authority by which both man and his governments are
17 subject unto God. America's Constitution stands as the former. The word of God stands
18 alone as the latter. The throwing off of the latter gave birth to secularist humanism with a
19 devilish craving to eat up the former on its way to becoming a god and not man. The
20 destruction of the former is the end of the Republic, the fall of equal rights, and the
21 damnation of America as she has always been known before God.

22 The hour has come when the unalienable rights of a Free People are threatened with
23 a tyrannical destruction from Government that wrests such powers derived by the consent
24 of the governed, into a satanical belief that they can use such powers to force the
25 governed into likewise consenting to the surrender of those unalienable rights. In these
26 last days of a most vicious war loving administration, that same Government has grown
into such a Tyrant that it would kill its own people in mass to establish a warlord political
agenda for destroying all that remains of our Constitution by which we consent to be
governed, and under which we have these unalienable rights as a Free People. It has long
been established before the Highest Court of this Government, that our unalienable rights
cannot be taken from us, or transferred to another. They can only be surrendered, and
once surrendered there is no discovered way to get them back. Our rights so constituted
are not pluralistic in the various concepts for which they stand. They are not a deck of
cards to played over and again. They are singular in each regard, whether it be freedom to
speak, freedom to assemble, freedom to bear arms, or freedom to worship. There exist no
"freedoms to speak", that allow the speaker to play his hand over and over as he wishes
for convenience sake, so long as he does not use up the last of his deck of cards. Non
Secus, Haud Secus! Not so! Even as the courts have long held that the accused right to

1 self incrimination is singular and not plural, so that once given up for one statement, it
2 cannot be gathered back to protect against further statements. That surrendered right is
3 gone forever. So too belongs this doctrine of factual reality to all our rights as a Free
4 People.

5 “We the people” have suffered long since America’s first civil unrest to throw off
6 tyranny, in repeated suppressions and violations of our Constitutional rights. Howbeit, as
7 our fathers ably stated, “we are more disposed to suffer, while evils are sufferable, than to
8 right themselves by abolishing the forms to which they are accustomed”; and so we have
9 since the last Great War suffered repeated violations of individual rights, as long as we
10 could appeal to the Highest Court for redress, in order to right those grievances so
11 tyrannically loaded upon us by mere men under color of law. And thus would a peaceful
12 people continue therein, as long as the Courts granted standing to the people to redress
13 these wrongs under the authority of our Constitution’s clear language. But alas, now
14 Tyrants think to deny even our standing to suit for redress and relief from
15 unconstitutional oppressions and violations.

16 And to add to these unbearable torments, in these last days, Government now forces
17 upon us an unbearable injustice, and trampling of the Constitution of the United States, in
18 thinking to force the people to accept a foreigner as the President of this Republic,
19 ignoring the single most important qualification for the highest office in our land, that
20 such a one, not just gain such “power by the consent of the governed”, but that he be
21 naturally born amongst us as one of us. There has never been an alternative option to
22 replace “a natural born citizen” as the President of these United States. The Constitution
23 knows no such option. Howbeit, the Federal Courts have repeatedly refused the people’s
24 right to challenge such unprecedented trampling and violation of what the Constitution
25 clearly says, and to date refuses to require such an Imposter to prove his “natural born”
26 citizenship. Those who challenge such facts are libeled as racists, when nothing further
could be true. With repeated Constitutional challenges to this Dreamer’s fraud upon the
Constitutional requirements that he be “naturally born” among us, lying dormant before
the Highest Court, where Justice Souter has no ears to hear it Constitutionally, nor
courage to act to enforce such, What are Peaceable Men to Do? What is it that God
requires of Peaceable Men and lovers of liberty to do? For fifty years the Tyrants in
Washington have taken God from the minds of the people foremost, so that the Law is
King, and tyranny will decide what is law! Now men cower under an overwhelming
despotism that rattles its sword and murders its own people, to suppress the voice of God
and the people, so that silence is the sound of good men dying as Tyrants march them
over the precipice of an antichrist rule of death over the Republic for which we all once
stood! Men are want to know what saith the Lord God and Creator of all men equal!

24 Juris Prudence has held in every state of our Union, and before the Highest Court of
25 our Republic this principle of a Constitutional Government of Laws and not men, “An
26 unconstitutional statute, though having the form and name of law, is in reality no law, but
wholly null and ineffective for any purpose. It imposes no duty, confers no rights,

1 CREATES NO OFFICE, BESTOWS NO POWER OR AUTHORITY ON ANYONE,
2 affords no protection and justifies no acts performed under it. **No one is bound to obey
an unconstitutional statute**, and no courts are bound to enforce it.”⁶

3 With the Unconstitutional ascension to the highest office of our Land, a man that by
4 means of his very birth, is by the Constitution unqualified to hold the Office of President,
5 we are now faced with an unprecedented constitutional challenge to the free people of
6 this Republic, to either surrender that blessed document that our fathers laid as the very
7 “foundation” of law from which we define and derive all unalienable rights from God
8 Almighty, or map for ourselves as our fathers mapped for us, another course of history,
9 guided by prudence, supported by the Constitution, and blessed and directed of God. To
10 fail in any of these three, will ultimately and tragically destroy the very foundation we
11 seek to preserve, and abolish all that history has known as the United States of America.

12 Because our system of fairness and hope to all peoples that they may be, like we, free
13 and independent from the tyranny of men and devils, we establish means to migrate
14 others to this land of liberty and promise, that they like our fathers may live to give unto
15 their children that rightful inheritance of being “natural born citizens” and not mere
16 immigrants naturalized to a citizenship not theirs from birth.

17 *“And the chief captain came, and said unto him,*

18 *Tell me, art thou a Roman? He said, Yea.*

19 *And the chief captain answered, With a great sum*

20 *obtained I this freedom. And Paul said,*

21 *But I was Free Born.”*

22 *-Acts 22:27, 28*

23 But never should this Free People, naturally born to such a precious inheritance of
24 freedom by birth as natural born citizens ever allow such an endowment, purchased with
25 the blood of countless fathers and sons throughout our rich heritage, to so cheaply pass to
26 foreigners born abroad, or citizens of other countries. None should so presume to falsify
and deceive and repudiate the very foundational right of we natural born citizens, to be
governed by none but those like unto us. God deliver us. But what are men to do? When
all that lands upon their ears is from Tyrants’ mouths, and liberal puppets tied by strings
to an agenda so vile before God and man, that to voice any difference is to be set upon
with such vile hatred as to make strong men shutter and weak men lament like widows
for the husbands of their youth⁷.

“The vile person shall be no more called Liberal

1 forbids it, and without such strength of conscience, their voice gives way to fear for lack
2 of authority behind what they say they believe. But the time has come when this lack of
3 conscience and authority and courage to stand at all costs goes no further; but rather gives
4 place to the foundational truths that established this great nation, even at the costs of our
5 lives and fortunes. As our fathers Declared their Independence from the tyranny of
6 monarchial men, it now behooves all free men of courage and conscience to declare of
7 God Almighty what course they now take when the consent of the governed has now
8 diabolically transformed such writ into the enslavement of the governed to foreign
9 influence, foreign political agendas and now a foreign head of state.

6 “Gentlemen may cry, Peace, Peace—but there is no peace...

7 *What is it that gentlemen wish? What would they have?*

8 *Is life so dear, or peace so sweet, as to be purchased*

9 *at the price of chains and slavery?*

10 *Forbid it, Almighty God!*

11 *I know not what course others may take;*

12 *but as for me, give me liberty or give me death!”*

13 *-Patrick Henry*

14
15
16 The hour has come and passed already, wherein this preacher weighs opinions any
17 further in this matter. It matters not what others say or wish to do, or what they would
18 have for another day of peace, “when there is no peace”⁹. Having walked these many
19 years with God as a child of the King, whose supremacy is above all others, this old man
20 knows the Declaration of God for this hour, and cannot go back, come what may.

21 *DECLARO DI!*

22 *“We ought to obey God rather than men!”*

23 *-Acts 5:29*

24 Let weaker men and women enslave themselves at will in surrendering what cannot
25 be taken from them, to gain what they cannot keep or long enjoy, while liberals and
26 devils laugh them to scorn. But as for this old man, my conscience forbids that I go that
way to save another day at large without God or conscience! It has long been established
in the Declaration of God that “if thou seest the oppression of the poor, and violent
perverting of judgment and justice in a province, marvel not at the matter: for he that is
higher than the highest regardeth; and there be higher than they” (Ecclesiastes 5:8). So

1 too cometh this Declaration of God, that “if the spirit of the ruler rise up against thee,
2 leave not thy place; for yielding pacifieth great offences” (10:5).

3 Let Justice Souter and his fellows ignore their consciences along with the
4 Constitution, but as for me and my house, we will serve the Lord with a clear conscience;
5 and will never surrender the liberty of our conscience to the lies and frauds of a foreigner
6 who seeketh to govern not by consent of the governed in accordance to the Constitution
7 or the Word of God, but by lies and deceit without conscience towards God or the people
8 of this United States. Forbid it Almighty God! It matters not what course the majority
9 may take, this child of God will not serve, obey or recognize an unlawful governor or
10 head of state that is not natural born to these United States. Take my liberty, my house
11 and lands and by force of strength my life, but you will not get the liberty of my
12 conscience before God Almighty to refuse an unlawful command or office before God
13 and the Constitution of these United States. “Where the Spirit of the Lord is, there is
14 liberty” (2 Corinthians 3:17), and that is all that the Constitution of these United States
15 and the Declaration of Independence is about. That is all that the history of America is
16 about. That is the Declaration of God and the gift of God, and such liberty of conscience
17 before God is so right, that neither men nor devils will ever take it by force from a Free
18 People in service of the God that created them so. Only by deceit can such an unalienable
19 right of liberty be surrendered to the tyranny of men.

20 It is the darkest of hours for this country, but one of the greatest to be one of the brave
21 and one of the free! It matters not what a corrupt and murderous government may say of
22 itself, or threaten to others. Now is the time to stand to one’s conscience before God and
23 his family. There is no scripture from God compelling men and women to obey lies,
24 frauds and the murderous declarations of unrighteous governments bent for hell to over
25 throw the consciences and wills of a Free People. Whether civilian or military, the laws
26 of this land forbid it. I can do no less! Before God Almighty, with whom we have to do,
we cannot render tribute nor honor unto those to whom no tribute nor honor is due
(Romans 13:7). The hour is come that to ignore what is true and clearly factual, because
others wish for comforts to do the same, is the destruction of conscience and standing
before God Almighty. We need no ruling of Court howsoever high, to clearly see and
know that the present government of these United States murdered its own people in
mass at New York and Washington, D.C. on September 11, 2001. Terrorists, howsoever
radical in their religious extremism killed no one on that fateful day, and God Almighty is
witness against the souls of the President and Vice President of this country, for their
hands are dripping red with blood, that no vain religious lies will ever cleanse. The souls
of every policeman and fireman and citizen of this country that died needlessly that day,
cry out against the executive Tyrants of the Bush Administration that killed them! And
the Declaration of God stands firm that “Be sure your sin will find you out!”¹⁰

24 Take my lands, my liberty and my life for my refusal to neither serve nor obey this
25 god-man Obama. But you will never have for your lies the surrender of my conscience
26

1 before God, my family and this Republic. God Almighty helping this old man for Christ
sake!

2 By the grace of God alone,

3 Ron McRae

4 Presiding Bishop

5 Anabaptists Churches of North America

6 P.O. Box 5607

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

EXHIBIT 4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

PHILIP J. BERG, ESQUIRE

Plaintiff

vs.

CIVIL ACTION NO: 08-cv- 04083

BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA, ET AL

Defendants

AFFIDAVIT OF REVEREND KWELI SHUHUBIA

I, Kweli Shuhubia am over the age of eighteen (18) and not a party to the within action. If called to do so, I could and would competently testify under oath as follows

I am an ordained minister of the gospel of Jesus Christ and a native evangelist and translator for the Anabaptist churches in Kenya. I am the official Swahili translator for the annual Anabaptists Conference held each year in Africa, working with the American bishops sitting upon the Continental Presbytery of the Anabaptists Churches of Africa. I am fluent in Swahili and in English. I am a former teacher in Kenya, and travel extensively in the ministries of the Anabaptists Churches of Africa throughout Kenya, Uganda and the Sudan.

It is common knowledge throughout the Christian and Muslim communities in Kenya that Barack Hussein Obama, Jr., the United States Presidential candidate, was born in Mombosa Kenya. Senator Obama's grandmother still resides in the village of Alego-Kogello, approximately 37 miles from Kisumu City. On October 16, 2008 I went to interview Ms. Sarah Obama at her home. Ms. Obama's home was flooded with people who were celebrating Senator Obama's success story. Ms. Obama's home was heavily

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

guarded by Kenya Police. Prior to the interview with Ms. Obama, I took pictures of Ms. Obama, her grandson who was present and other family members.

During my interview of Sarah Obama; I called Bishop Ron McRae in the United States from my mobile number. I advised Bishop McRae that I was present with Ms. Obama in her home, and wished for him to speak with her. Bishop McRae informed me he would call me right back, to avoid the international costs on my personal mobile phone. Bishop McRae subsequently called me back; Bishop McRae requested permission to electronically record his telephone conversations with Ms. Obama, to which I agreed.

Due to bad telephone connections Bishop McRae had to call me back three [3] times, before we were able to continue our conversation. The telephone interview conducted by Bishop McRae was conducted on loud speaker (speaker phone). During the interview conversation, one of Ms. Obama's grandsons's and myself acted as Swahili translators, and as Bishop McRae talked to and questioned Ms. Obama, we would translate what Bishop McRae said to Ms. Obama in Swahili, and then we would translate her Swahili responses to Bishop McRae in English. Ms. Obama can fluently speak Swahili in her native dialect, but cannot read or write.

Bishop McRae asked Ms. Obama specifically, "Were you present when your grandson Barack Obama was born in Kenya?" This was asked to her in translation twice, and both times she specifically replied, "Yes". It appeared Ms. Obama's relatives and her grandson, handling the translating, had obviously been versed to counter such facts with the purported information from the American news media that Obama was born in Hawaii. Despite this, Ms. Sarah Hussein Obama was very adamant that her grandson, Senator Barack Hussein Obama, was born in Kenya, and that she was present and

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

witnessed his birth in Kenya, not the United States. When Ms. Obama's grandson attempted to counter his grandmother's clear responses to the question, verifying the birth of Senator Obama in Kenya, Bishop McRae asked her grandson, how she could be present at Barack Obama's birth if the Senator was born in Hawaii, but the grandson would not answer the question, instead he repeatedly tried to insert that, "No, No, No. He was born in the United States!" But during the conversation, Ms. Sarah Hussein Obama never changed her reply that she was in deed present when Senator Barack Obama was born in Kenya. A copy of the Tape transcript is attached hereto as EXHIBIT "A".

I left Kisumu City and traveled to Mombosa, Kenya. I interviewed personnel at the hospital in which Senator Obama was born in Kenya. I then had meetings with the Provincial Civil Registrar. I learned there were records of Ann Dunham giving birth to Barack Hussein Obama, III in Mombosa, Kenya on August 4, 1961. I spoke directly with an Official, the Principal Registrar, who openly confirmed the birthing records of Senator Barack H. Obama, Jr. and his mother were present, however, the file on Barack H. Obama, Jr. was classified and profiled. The Official explained Barack Hussein Obama, Jr. birth in Kenya is top secret. I was further instructed to go to the Attorney General's Office and to the Minister in Charge of Immigration if I wanted further information.

The above related facts are true and verifiable to the best of my personal knowledge before God Almighty, whose I am and whom I serve.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

I declare under the penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States, that the foregoing is true and correct.

By the grace of God alone,



Dated: October 30, 2008

Kweli Shuhubia
John 3:30
Philippians 3:19-21, 29, 30

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

EXHIBIT "A"

I, Obama Obama, Affidavit of Kweli Simhubia 10 30 2008

5

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Transcript of Phone Conversation
With Kweli Shuhubia & Sarah Obama

Thursday, October 16, 2008
Time: 10:40 a.m.

NOTATION: Mr. Kirori called me first on October 16th at 10:33a.m. and advised that he was with Sarah Hussein Obama and that she wanted to speak with me, but the connection was lost. He called me right back at 10:35a.m. and I answered and asked him for permission to record the conversation, which he granted me saying "yes". I then turned the recorder on and repeated the question. "Do I have permission to record you phone conversation today, including the conversation with Obama's grandmother?" Kweli Shuhubia replied, "yes". I then informed him that I would call him right back, so it would not cost him money for the call.

I called back at 10:40a.m. and spoke with him and Ms. Sarah Hussein Obama for 10 minutes.

From AT&T Monthly Statement of Calls:

110	WED 10:15:2008	8:18PM	814-629-5423	BOSWELL PA	1 RM30 DT	0.00	0.00	0.00
111	THU 10:16:2008	10:33AM	254726477700	INCOMING CL	1 RM30 DT	0.00	0.00	0.00
112	THU 10:16:2008	10:35AM	254726477700	INCOMING CL	1 RM30 DT	0.00	0.00	0.00
113	THU 10:16:2008	10:40AM	254726477700	KENYA **	15 RM30 DT	0.00	12.32	12.32
114	THU 10:16:2008	10:54AM	610-662-3005	BALACYNWY PA	2 ESM1 DT M2MC	0.00	0.00	0.00
115	THU 10:16:2008	10:56AM	610-825-3134	CONSHOHCK PA	10 RM30 DT	0.00	0.00	0.00
116	THU 10:16:2008	11:17AM	313-418-6959	DETROIT MI	1 RM30 DT	0.00	0.00	0.00
117	THU 10:16:2008	11:18AM	313-418-6959	DETROIT MI	16 RM30 DT	0.00	0.00	0.00
118	THU 10:16:2008	11:33AM	254726477700	KENYA **	2 RM30 DT	0.00	1.76	1.76
119	THU 10:16:2008	12:37PM	254726477700	KENYA **	2 RM30 DT	0.00	1.76	1.76
120	THU 10:16:2008	12:41PM	814-242-9409	VMAIL CL	1 RM30 DT VM	0.00	0.00	0.00
121	THU 10:16:2008	12:42PM	254726477700	KENYA **	10 RM30 DT	0.00	8.80	8.80

Transcript:

Two Rings:

Kweli Shuhubia: Hello? [Back ground music]

Ron McRae: Brother Tom? [music] Brother Tom? This is Brother McRae.

Kweli Shuhubia: Yes.

Ron McRae: Okay. How are you today?

Kweli Shuhubia: Now. We are okay. How are you?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Ron McRae: I'm doing very well. You said you are there with, uh, Barack Obama's grandmother?

Kweli Shuhubia: Yes. I am just in the home now. She is right here. We're, we're waiting to talk in a uh long conversation. And [unitelligible] a good family and she is ready to talk.

Ron McRae: Good. She's not there at the present?

Kweli Shuhubia: Yes. She's here right now.

Ron McRae: Okay. Is it possible to speak to her?

Kweli Shuhubia: Yes. It is possible. I ah, along with her and her family, uh, you and me.

Ron McRae: Uh, is it possible for you to put her on the speaker phone and translate for me?

Kweli Shuhubia: Yes! Yes! I will do that.

Ron McRae: Okay.

Kweli Shuhubia: Yes?

Ron McRae: Okay.

Kweli Shuhubia: Yes. Go ahead [speak to her in Swahili]

Sarah Obama: [Replies to him in Swahili]

Ron McRae: Ms. Obama?

Kweli Shuhubia: Yes go ahead.

Ron McRae: Mrs. Obama, my name is bishop Ron McRae.

Kweli Shuhubia: Ametaja bishop Ron McRae, Ron McRae. Go ahead.

Ron McRae: I am, I am the bishop of the Anabaptists Churches of North America.

Kweli Shuhubia: Yeye niaskofu Anabaptists makaisa.

Sarah Obama: Shikamooo! [Hello, good day].

Translator: Are you speaking English and, and we will tell her in Luo. Okay?

Ron McRae: Now give me that again. Explain it to me again.

Translator: It is welcome. She is very grateful for your interest.

Ron McRae: Okay. Thank you! Tell her I count it a great honor to speak to here since her son Barack Obama is running for President of the United States.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Translator: Eh makasema yuko kuuu mgomba Obama kwa mwenyekiti America. Yah, she says she is very helpful for got to you to please pray for Obama. She is asking you to pray for him. For Obama.

Ron McRae: Yes Sir. Uh...Ms. Obama, you can rest assured that I am praying for your son, for your grandson.

Translator: Yes. It is helpful also towards it is beginning to help.

Ron McRae: Okay.

Sarah Obama: [unitelligible from Ms. Obama because of room noise].

Translator: She says she is covet your prayers for he [unintelligible] her son.

Ron McRae: Okay. And tell her that I will be coming there in December and I would like to come by and meet with her and pray with her.

Translator: Yes. Ye atakuwa nwezi Desemba.

Kweli Shuhubia: In December. He will come in December and he wants to come and talk with you.

Sarah Obama: [unitelligible]

Translator: Oh she says you're so encourage her. Your coming in December so you can talk together with her.

Ron McRae: Amen. I am so thankful. Could I ask her, uh, about his, uh, his actual birthplace? I would like to see hi actual birthplace when I, when I come to Kenya in December. Uh, was she present when he was, was she present when he was born in Kenya?

Translator to Sarah Obama: Alikuma zalima Obama [unintelligible].

Kweli Shuhubia: He is asking her, he wants to know something was ah she present when he was born?

Translator: Yes. She says, "Yes she was! She was present when Obama was born."

Ron McRae: Okay.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

EXHIBIT 5

Obama's forged birth certificate

Thursday, December 18, 2008

Bad Stridence: Proof positive that credentials do not equal credibility

Ron Polarik, PhD, MS

Synopsis

Not long after the release of my final report last November, **Obama's Born Conspiracy**, a vicious rumor was started and bandied about the pro-Obama websites, that I'm a "fraud," that I "don't exist," and that this research report is "bunk." The source of this rumor, however, is a charlatan in every sense of the word. He has **never read my final report**, he is **totally clueless** about the research I conducted, and **hopelessly confused** about the entire birth certificate controversy. The rumormonger has managed to use his lofty-sounding, but irrelevant, credentials to fool other people into thinking that his lies, slurs, baseless conclusions, and fabricated images have refuted my research when, in fact, they repudiate his credibility and his competence.

There is no way for anyone to judge a person's competence and credibility based on his credentials alone. If a person is dishonest in his actions and virtually delusional about his discoveries, then his credentials are meaningless. To put it another way, when a detractor thinks that his trash talk, interspersed with technical terms, can pass for real research, and that his credentials shield him from scrutiny, then that detractor is both a fraud and a fool who underestimates and insults the intelligence of the Internet audience.

Introduction

1 On June 12, I began my research on the image posted by the Daily Kos that was
2 purported to be Obama's Certification of Live Birth. I did not cite my degree titles and
3 credentials at the time because I wanted my work to speak for itself -- and it has, in
4 spades. However, as I came to learn, there are some Internet users who give more
5 credence to the paper credentials of a researcher than they give to the merits of the
6 research itself. For this reason, the online community has been burned twice by
7 "credentialed frauds" who falsely claimed to have proven that Obama's Certification of
8 Live Birth (COLB) was a forgery. One of these had initially taken credit for forging the
9 COLB image (who later recanted his story), while the other was someone I revealed to be
10 a fraud when I obtained a copy of a real 2007 COLB that did not match the "real one"
11 that he said he had.

12 Consequently, the ramifications of their actions were to cause people to mistrust anyone
13 now claiming to have proven the COLB image was forged, especially if they listed
14 themselves as an "expert." Therefore, the last thing I wished to do was to be lumped in
15 with those prior frauds.

16 My final research report, "Obama's Born Conspiracy," is the culmination of four months
17 (now five) of intensive empirical research on Obama's alleged COLB image and
18 photographs. It contains 160 pages of fully documented research and 140 images for
19 supporting evidence. Anyone who reads this report thoroughly will know that it was
20 written by a genuine researcher using sound, scientific methods to explore these forgeries
21 in depth. Frauds do not produce 160-page reports with 140 images that fully document
22 the steps taken, that clearly explain the phenomena under investigation, and more
23 importantly, provide sufficient information to allow other researchers to validate the
24 findings. However, not everyone appreciates all of the hard work that I have done and
25 some people fervently wish that this report never existed.

26 These are the people who still cling to the lie that Obama has shown his original birth
certificate on the Internet. They are so desperate to prove me wrong that they would
rather listen to fools than to facts. Predictably, the fools were coming out of the
woodwork. After the release of my final report, I began hearing the rumors about who I
am and what I did. I've dealt with critics before, but this one was different. This critic told
a **bald-faced lie** that he debunked my final report when it is patently obvious that never
read it. He is so totally clueless about the work I did, about the problems with the COLB
images and photos, and about the entire birth certificate controversy, that I did not know
whether to laugh at him or get angry. However, nobody calls me a "fraud," a "liar," and
that I "manipulated evidence" without being confronted and challenged.

I tried convincing him to remove his slanderous comments and baseless accusations from
his website, and to quell the vicious rumor that he started, but he refused to do so.
Consequently I am forced to write this response to set the record straight and to protect
my reputation. He goes by the name of "**Dr. Neal Krawetz**," and he had the unmitigated
gall to trash my final report -- *which he never read* -- in a hack-job titled, "*Bad Science*:"

1 *How not to do image analysis, Part II.*" The great irony of his "Bad Science" screed is
2 that it shows just **how clueless, thoughtless, skillless, and disingenuous is Dr.**

Krawetz:

- 3 • He is a liar who claimed that he "debunked" my final report when he never read it
4 or the fifteen other reports I wrote going back to June;
- 5 • He's a charlatan who falsely used his credentials to fool others into thinking that
6 he is more than qualified to critique my research;
- 7 • He is clueless as to the actual research I did and the results I found, preferring
8 instead to tell lies and to fabricate findings in his head;
- 9 • He provided no relevant, concrete evidence of his own to support his baseless
10 accusations, asking others to believe him on faith alone;
- 11 • He used unrelated images, that he intentionally manipulated for effect, to
12 fraudulently claim that they "refute" my research findings;
- 13 • He used different image formats that he deceptively claimed were of the same
14 type to trick his audience into accepting them as comparable;
- 15 • He closed off his blog when I confronted him, and then secretly replaced these
16 same manipulated images to hide the evidence of his deceit;
- 17 • He does not have the research skills or the experience I have in working with
18 COLB images to replicate even one of my experiments;
- 19 • He used lies, baseless accusations, faulty logic, unsubstantiated claims, and
20 character assassinations to demean me and denigrate my research;.
- 21 • He's never seen or held an actual Certification of Live Birth (COLB) document as
22 I have;
- 23 • He has never produced a single scanned copy of Certification of Live Birth
24 (COLB) document while I have made over 100;
- 25 • He's never analyzed a single Certification of Live Birth (COLB) document image
26 in depth as I have;
- He's never created a single test image of a Certification of Live Birth (COLB)
document while I have created over 600;
- He knows nothing about the Obama birth certificate controversy, confusing
fiction for fact, and then making erroneous proclamations;

- 1 • He doesn't know what scanners can or cannot do; i.e., saying that they can't copy a COLB Seal, the folds, and its texture while I've done all three.
- 2 • He doesn't know what are scanner artifacts or even common JPG artifacts and frequently confuses the two;
- 3
- 4 • He tosses around technical terms to dazzle his audience but uses them incorrectly and inappropriately, and without definition;
- 5
- 6 • He falsely compared a magazine page (typographic text on white paper) and a paper COLB (laser-printed graphic on thin, green security paper);
- 7 • He frequently contradicts himself to the point where his conclusions don't match his assessments, and vice-versa;
- 8
- 9 • His screed and my report were peer-reviewed by an objective third party who gave my research a "Thumbs Up" and Krawetz a "Thumbs down."
- 10
- 11 • His screed was repudiated by World Net Daily, while my final report was corroborated by one of the top document forensics expert in the country.

12 **The credentials Krawetz claims to have do not convey his credibility, but do confirm**
13 **their irrelevance to my research report.**

14 Recently my final report was reviewed by one of the foremost forensic investigators in
15 the country. She is a leader in document forensics and an expert in distinguishing forgery
16 from genuineness. Her name is Sandra Lines, and she is a former Federal Examiner and a
17 retired Law Enforcement Officer. Without question, she is infinitely more qualified than
18 Krawetz to render an expert opinion on my final report, and she has corroborated it and
fully supports its conclusions. Unless Krawetz can absorb information by osmosis, he has
no excuse for failing to read my report. Since Krawetz has already planted both feet in his
mouth, by going on record as having read it, it's too late for him to start now.

19 Krawetz and his crowd like to taunt me with "Krawetz is a Real PhD with a Real Name
20 and is a Real Expert," but I got my Masters degree before Krawetz was born, and my
21 Doctorate while he was still in grade school. I can say, flat-out, that Krawetz does not
22 have anything close to the research skills I have and, consequently, he could never
23 replicate the research I conducted if he tried. Krawetz has done nothing to refute my
research, and his plan to verbally tear me down in order to build himself up has not
worked.

24 I have prepared a detailed response to Krawetz's "*Bad Science*" screed below. It identifies
25 all of his lies, falsehoods, distortions, character assassinations, baseless
26 accusations, fallacious conclusions, flawed logic, and intentional deceptions that he
made. For someone who claims to be such an "expert" on image graphics, Krawetz

1 demonstrates throughout his "*Bad Science*" just how wrong someone with "credentials"
2 can possibly be.

3
4 **Response to "Bad Science," or**
5 **"How not to review something you failed to read"**

6
7 **Krawetz has not read my report and he has no factual understanding of the Obama**
8 **birth certificate controversy, which is patently obvious from the first words he**
9 **utters (*Krawetz in italics*):**

10 *Before I begin evaluating Polarik's claims, I would like to point out that the entire claim -*
11 *- that Obama was not born in Hawaii -- is false. (Lie #1)*

12 *Representatives from the State of Hawaii have repeatedly authenticated Obama's COLB.*
13 **(Lie #2)**

14 *27-June-2008. Janice Okubo from the Hawaii Department of*
15 *Health confirmed that the document was valid. (Lie #3)*

16 *15-Aug-2008. Politifact validated the information. (Lie #4)*

17 *31-Oct-2008 (alternate link). Quote: Health Department Director Dr. Chiyome Fukino*
18 *said today she and the registrar of vital statistics, Alvin Onaka, have personally verified*
19 *that the health department holds Obama's original birth certificate. (True, but Lie#5*
20 **based on how he used it)**

21 *Hawaii confirmed that Obama has a real birth certificate from Hawaii. Regardless of*
22 *whether the document on the web is real or tampered, the argument is moot; an authentic*
23 *document exists. Thus, the conspiracy has no basis. (Lie #6)*

24 *Now, given that Hawaii confirms it, why would they release a fake COLB when they*
25 *could just as easily release a new one? (Lie #7)*

26 **Clearly, Krawetz has no idea what he's saying here and cannot discern fact from fiction.**
27 **Krawetz does not even understand the basics of the birth certificate controversy, and what**
28 **actually transpired in Hawaii's Health Department. In reference to me, Krawetz said,**

29 *Today, there is only one person who continues to propagate the "COLB is fake"*
30 *conspiracy. (Lie #8)*

1 I don't know where Krawetz has been hiding for the past few months, but there are now
2 tens of millions of people who continue to call for Obama to release his real, original
3 birth certificate --something Obama has never done anywhere, at any time. Likewise,
4 there are several million or so who are convinced that the COLB posted online is a fake.
5 AOL recently conducted an online poll as to whether Obama's failure to produce his birth
6 certificate So much for Krawetz's one-person "conspiracy." Krawetz is part of a shrinking
7 minority who continue to claim that Obama had released his original birth certificate in
8 mid-June.

9 *He calls himself "Ron Polarik" (an anonymous pseudonym -- not his real name), and he
10 also uses bad science to support his claims. (Lie #9)*

11 "Anonymous pseudonym" is an oxymoron, and given that my name, Dr. Ron Polarik, is
12 known around the world, I am hardly "anonymous." Krawetz uses the term, pseudonym,
13 as a pejorative to imply that I am a "fraud." The truth is that "Dr. Ron Polarik" is the first
14 person to blow the whistle on Obama and Factcheck for creating and proffering a false
15 identification document, and in doing so, I did not ingratiate myself with the die-hard,
16 Obama crowd who would like nothing better than to shut me up. For this and other
17 legitimate reasons, I chose not to stand on a rooftop and shout my name or waving my
18 credentials around like a flag as Dr. Krawetz has done in a desperate attempt to get
19 attention. I am being cautious and rightfully so. Yet, what did I do to Krawetz to
20 engender such utter disdain? Nothing!

21 Krawetz, on his own accord, came hunting after me with a vengeance, but he was
22 outgunned from the start. Krawetz has clearly demonstrated that he knows nothing about
23 the actual research I conducted over four months and nothing about the scientific
24 methods I used. For him to even imply that I use "*bad science*," only underscores his
25 pretentiousness. Someone has to end this charade, and it fell upon me to tell Krawetz that
26 he's spitting into the wind.

27 The first thing that Krawetz needs to do is take some Birth Certificate 101, as he does not
28 know what is the difference between a Hawaiian Certificate of Birth and a Certification
29 of Live Birth. He has no knowledge on what each of them contain, how they look in
30 person or when they are scanned or copied. His "Bad Science" screed **offers no relevant
31 evidence whatsoever** to support his claims and his accusations. Not a single COLB
32 image scan is presented for examination.

33 At the beginning of this hastily-prepared mess, Krawetz makes a rather astounding
34 conclusion when he says that "*Regardless of whether the document on the web is real or
35 tampered, the argument is moot.*" Is there anything more preposterous than for Krawetz
36 to admit that the document on the web "can be a forgery," but that document fraud is "no
37 big deal?" If that's his logic, then why did he attack the research that would confirm his
38 admission? For all his bluster, Krawetz has no clue about the seriousness of document
39 fraud, the significance of Obama spending a million dollars to duck the issue entirely, as

1 well as having no handle on what my research entails and the science behind it. After all,
2 why let the biggest fraud in American political history get in the way of Kraetz cracking
3 on me for no, good reason:

3 *Polarik's findings are not supported by the data. He has manipulated evidence,*
4 *selectively ignored facts, and overlooked obvious findings. He has made over-reaching*
5 *and gross assumptions, which vary from baseless to provable inaccurate. Moreover, he*
6 *claims vague credentials that are unsupported by his work. I have serious doubts about*
7 *Polarik having a Ph.D., but he sure has a lot of BS.*

6 **Which part of that is NOT a bald-faced lie???** I never manipulated anything! Is
7 Krawetz so full of himself to think that I would read this and then go off into a corner to
8 cry? Does he think that I am as spineless as he is and would be afraid to call him out?
9 After stripping away all of the vitriolic language in Krawetz's "Bad Science" screed,
10 along with the lies, baseless accusations, unsubstantiated claims, and faulty logic, what
11 remains is rather meaningless as it bears no relevance, whatsoever, to the research I
12 conducted, to the results I found, and to the real process of scientific inquiry that is so
13 foreign to him.

12 Krawetz has forced me to do what I absolutely tried to avoid, and what I dread having to
13 do now, and that is to take everything he wrote, go through it line by line, lie by lie,
14 falsehood by falsehood, and point out every bogus claim and comment that he has made.

14 **Here we go:**

15 *Polarik claims that a zoom-up of the letters contains off-color pixels that do not belong.*
16 *For example, zooming in shows gray dots in the middle of the black letters. He claims*
17 *that this means that the letters were replaced. (Lie #10)*

17 I **never** said anything about "off-color" pixels. I specifically mentioned "white and gray-
18 shaded pixels between the letters." I've said this repeatedly for six months.

19 *For example, zooming in shows gray dots in the middle of the black letters. He claims*
20 *that this means that the letters were replaced. (Lie #11).*

21 I **never** said anything about any "gray dots in the middle of the black letters," either!
22 These two statements confirm that Krawetz failed to read my final report, as well as any
23 and all of my interim reports from June 13 to December 3. I have said it so many times
24 that I am blue in the face, and I'll say it again: that the lack of any greenish colored pixels
25 in between the letters cannot occur naturally, and is a result of the original text being
26 covered over with portions of the background and new text being typed on top of it.
Krawetz just does not get it, and I doubt he ever will.

25 Not when he copies one of my images and fashions a lie out of it.

1 *First, the highest copy quality of the COLB contains no instances of the word "BIRTH"*
2 *that looks like this. (Lie #12)*

3 Does Krawetz really expect everyone to simply take his word for it, someone who has
4 already made eleven previous lies? There is no evidence provided to even suggest that
5 Krawetz did anything beyond looking at something with his naked eyes. Krawetz never
6 identified what is the "*highest copy quality of the COLB*" that he saw, if any. I've seen
7 every COLB ever posted along with unposted COLBs from 2006, 2007, and 2008. I
8 seriously doubt that he did anything to enlarge this "copy of the COLB."

9 For someone who claims that I use "bad science," one of the essential task for a
10 researcher is to operationally define his terminology. In my final report -- **which**
11 **Krawetz never read** -- I determined that there is no such thing as an Obama COLB
12 image with the "highest copy quality." In my final report, I demonstrated that when the
13 Factcheck COLB image is cropped to the same dimensions as the KOS COLB image,
14 and then saved at the previous compression level (defined as the ratio between file size
15 and memory size), they are virtually the same, and that the only difference between them
16 are the somewhat higher color count in the Factcheck image. I took the trouble to analyze
17 the COLBs at the pixel level by using a graphics program that overlays a pixel-sized grid
18 on top of the image to differentiate among the individual "dots" of color. Since the pixel
19 grid is not saved or copied, I made a screen capture of the grid and the image:

20 <IMG
21 src="http://i305.photobucket.com/albums/nn227/Polarik/Test-COLBs/web-paint-
22 1to1.jpg">

23 <IMG
24 src="http://i305.photobucket.com/albums/nn227/Polarik/Test-COLBs/web-paint-
25 12to1.jpg">

26 But, where is the second image I put below it for comparison?? This "BIRTH" image
27 was created five months ago from the KOS COLB image and using the same screen
28 capture process, I made a comparison image from a real, 2008 COLB. Krawetz
29 conveniently left it out, that is, if he even found it on his own. My final report has 140
30 images, and Krawetz has to deliberately break up a set of mine to do what exactly?
31 Where is this "highest copy quality" to which Krawetz refers? Does it exist only in his
32 head along with the unsubstantiated conclusions he made?

33 Once again, I refer back to my final report where the followed comparisons are made:

34 Here is the "HOUR OF BIRTH" header from Barack's COLB enlarged 5 times:

1 <IMG
2 src="http://i305.photobucket.com/albums/nn227/Polarik/small-COLBS/BHO-birth.jpg">

3 Here's the same header taken from Dan's
4 2007 COLB scanned at the same resolution with the same amount of
5 file compression:

5 <IMG
6 src="http://i305.photobucket.com/albums/nn227/Polarik/small-COLBS/Dan-birth.jpg">

7 For those who still cling to the myth that Obama actually released his real Certification of
8 Live Birth, there are no limits to the number of cockeyed excuses they have made to
9 permit this bogus birth certificate to exist -- which it does not -- while simultaneously
10 calling for my research to be replicated and peer-reviewed! In six months time since the
11 question, "Where is Obama's original birth certificate"" was first asked, there has been
12 this one, and only one, scan image
13 presented that Obama supporters allege was made from the front side of Obama's
14 "original birth certificate." Obama's "Fight The Smears website still proclaims it as such."
15 Meanwhile, I have made well over 100 original COLB scans, both
16 front and back, at various settings, that I have thoroughly analyzed and repeatedly tested.
17 how is what I did in any way comparable to **zero COLB scans** made by Krawetz or **zero**
18 **COLB copies** that he supposedly examined?

14 I demonstrated that the pixel patterns I discovered were also visible in the Factcheck
15 image, but were not present in any of the more than 100 scans I made using three
16 different scanners! "Word of mouth" is not a part of the scientific method.

17
18 *Every instance [of the word, "BIRTH"] has that green thatched background around the*
19 *letters. (Lie #12)*

20 By saying that *every instance has that green thatched background around the letters*, he
21 contradicts himself because he acknowledges later on that the lack of greenish pixels
22 around the letters are the result of "compression algorithms." apparently Krawetz is
23 looking at a COLB copy at its original size and without any magnification. No wonder he
24 does not see anything of interest! If you look back at the previous Obama COLB image,
25 you will see no "green thatched background" around the letters. Remember that I never
26 talked about any "pixel halos" (as Factcheck called them) but specifically limited my
analysis to **the pixels in between the letters**. This distinction is crucial and one that
Krawetz repeatedly misses.

1 *Along with the missing green from outside the letters, Polarik claims that there should be*
2 *a green thatched pattern within the letters ("O", "B", etc. have internal areas that should*
3 *contain green) (Lie #13).*

4 I never said anything about a "green thatched pattern" being inside the letters. I have to
5 conclude at this point, that K is hopelessly confused as to what I said, what I did, and
6 what I examined. Krawetz has absolutely no business claiming that he has done
7 otherwise. If he had read my report, then he would have known that I have only talked
8 about **the white and grayish pixels in between the letters**. I specifically stated that the
9 appearance of these **white and grayish pixels in between the letters** are what rule out
10 any naturally-occurring process. This is not rocket science for anyone who actually read
11 my final report would know this.

12 *However, the green thatch [in the middle of the letters] is not as clear as the rest of the*
13 *image. This happens because the image is at a very low quality (Error #1).*

14 He said earlier that he was looking at the "highest quality" COLB image. Now he says
15 that the image "is at a very low quality." So, which is it? I don't know how
16 Krawetz defines, "Quality," but, like beauty, it is in the eye of the beholder. From a
17 pragmatic point-of-view, quality is a measure of how closely a perceived image matches
18 the physical object in appearance. I have a real, paper COLB, whereas
19 Krawetz has never seen one. I know what its physical appearance looks like and what its
20 virtual appearance looks like in both digital scans and photographs.

21 In other words, I speak from facts and experience working with a real COLB, something
22 that no one else has done. The reason why I have 700 images is because they are products
23 of all the tests I ran, comparing all of the other alternative explanations that people have
24 given as to why I was obtaining the results I found. If I wanted to "manipulate evidence,"
25 then all I would have are a handful of images I "doctored," as "Dr" Krawetz might claim.

26 *JPEG uses a lossy compression algorithm that drops off low contrast colors and*
preserves high contrast. (Errors #2 and #3)

Does Krawetz think that everyone reading his screed understands what he means by
saying that "JPEG uses a lossy compression algorithm?" Except for Mac owners, the
average reader does not know that JPEG and JPG are synonymous. Saying that, "JPEG
uses a lossy compression algorithm," is like saying that "automobiles use energy
inefficiently." A JPEG may use any one of a dozen separate compression algorithms that
attempt to control or eliminate different kinds of artifacts that degrade the perceived
quality of a JPG image. JPG artifacts do not, however, cause the **white and grayish**
pixels in between the letters of the Obama COLB, and anyone who claims that they do
is **dead wrong**. End of story.

1 *The black text on light background is preserved, but the pale green thatch on light green*
2 *paper blends together when combined with the high-contrast black lettering. (Error #4*
3 *and #5)*

4 What does Krawetz mean when he says, *light background, light green paper, and pale*
5 *green thatch*? The paper used for the COLB document is green with darker green pairs of
6 bars printed on it that run perpendicular to each other and in alternating patterns. The
7 original paper COLB shows clear, black lettering on the green patterned paper. If a real
8 COLB is scanned as a color document at 300DPI or higher, you will be able to see
9 nothing but green inside, around, and between the letters. There is no automatic blending
10 in colors that would yield gray and
11 white pixels in between the letters, but green and white pixels everywhere else.

12 *The loss of the green background when scanned is intentional. Security paper, such as*
13 *the green thatched background, is designed to distort when scanned. That's a security*
14 *measure. Thus, even if Polarik had not tampered with the*
15 *image, removing the green from around the letters, the thatch background should not be*
16 *crisp. (Error #6 and #7, Bald-faced Lie #14 and Slander #1)*

17 Krawetz is calling me a liar, here by saying that I "tampered with the image." That is a
18 bald-faced lie and slanderous, too. Besides never reading my final report, Krawetz has
19 also never experimented with COLB images, never made a scan
20 image of a real COLB, never made a photograph of a COLB, never made a scan image or
21 photograph of anything even remotely resembling a COLB, and never stopped to realize
22 that he is uniquely unqualified to review my research.

23 For "comparison" purposes, he presents two images comprised of two, totally-different
24 formats that he fraudulently claims were made from one scan allegedly made from an
25 object that is totally incomparable to a real COLB in every way possible:

26 *The biggest COLB online is 2550x3300 pixels. At 300dpi, that is 8.5"x11" (a full sheet of*
27 *paper). I scanned in a portion of a Newsweek article at 300dpi. The portion that I*
28 *selected contains text at various sizes and thicknesses. Looking*
29 *at the paper version, it all looks uniform and black. However, the scanned image (full*
30 *color, no enhancements, scanned on an HP Scanjet 3570c) shows that the black text*
31 *contains a variety of colors. (bald-faced Lie #15 & #16)*

32 Krawetz is intentionally trying to pull a fast one here, and he thinks that everyone else is
33 simply too ignorant to know what he is doing. Anyone in their right image-graphic mind
34 **would honestly say** that JPEG is not suitable for images with black text on white paper
35 and images with sharp lines. Conversely, someone who didn't know Jack about images
36 or scanners would not think twice about taking a two-color, black on white magazine
37 page, original, printed by Rotogravure at 2400 dpi, and then scan it as a full-color copy at
38 300DPI -- which is what Krawetz did.

1 On the other hand, if someone wanted to intentionally introduce a lot of color noise into a
2 second image, and pretend like it's just an enlargement of the first, he would change the
3 image format. Then he would further deceive his audience by claiming that his test
images are the same thing as copying a green-paper COLB document graphic that was
printed by a laser, then he would have done everything that Krawetz did.

4 However, this image is a 72 DPI JPG, and not 300 DPI. It is also saved at 2.5%

5 Krawetz presents a second image that he claims is a 400% enlargement of the previous
6 image:

7 A closer inspection of the photo; i.e., looking at the image information, shows it to be a
8 24-bit color PNG bitmap, an image format with six times the number of colors as the first
9 image. Here is how this demonstration should have been done (even though it is totally
unrelated to scanning COLBS):

10 Original scanned JPG image (taken from Road & Track Magazine):

11 **ONE CAR WAS**
12 **THE OTHER C**
13
14 **BY TONY SWAN**
15 **PHOTOGRAPHY BY**
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 
23

24 All of the images are approximately the same file size and memory size as the ones
25 Krawetz used. What is different from his is that I did not deceptively and secretly
26 introduce noise into the image.

1 During the time that he locked me out of his blog, Krawetz switched the images shown
2 above! I was able to enter via another portal, so his attempt to block me failed. Plus, the
3 night before I sent my Cease and Desist demand, I made a full HTML copy of his web
4 page that contained "Bad Science II" or BS-II for short, so I know what images were
5 subsequently switched. Now, instead of a JPG and a PNG, there are two GIF files, which
6 are image formats restricted to 256 colors, instead of the 4,527 colors for the JPG and
7 27,557 colors for the PNG. In other words, Krawetz switched to a format that did not
8 reveal the color noise of the second image that he deliberately interjected into the first.
9 Thus, Krawetz is not only a liar, but also a desperate one as well, willing to use deception
10 and trickery to support his baseless claims. Given that he has now flimflammed his
11 audience twice, what he says below is now meaningless gibberish.

*The areas of text that should be all black are not uniformly black. Combining these "non-
12 black" areas with the JPEG lossy compression (which uses 8x8 blocks) yields square
13 patches that are different dark colors. (Error #8 & #9 and Lie #17)*

14 Krawetz deliberately introduced noise into his scan image by creating a color JPG from a
15 B&W source. He lied about the scanning resolution of the images. He lied about the
16 image format -- TWICE! He's talking about the presence of "dark colors" in an image
17 that should only contain white, black, and minimal shades of gray. He created these
18 "*square patches that are different dark colors*" by increasing the JPEG compression and
19 by using the wrong scan settings initially. These *random dark gray pixels* **would not**
20 **have been there** if the Newsweek page was scanned properly and honestly, namely using
21 no more than 16 colors.

*These look like the exact same artifacts that Polarik claims indicate a forgery. Polarik is
22 wrong -- they are nothing more than scanner artifacts. (Lies 18 & 19)*

They look absolutely nothing like the pixel patterns I identified. His claim that these
23 random pixels are "the exact same artifacts" is a **bald-faced lie**. Does he really think his
24 audience is that stupid? He's already said that I am. First, he intentionally created an
25 irrelevant image, and then fabricated a second image for "comparison" that he used to
26 fool people into thinking it was equivalent to the COLB images I examined. Krawetz
intentionally introduced noise into his image that should not have been there. **Krawetz,
therefore, is the fraud.**

The pixel patterns I found in between the letters are irrefutably **not scanner artifacts**,
and anyone who say that they are is flat-out wrong. In fact, this is how I am able to tell if
a critic of my research knows what he's talking about, Clearly, Krawetz has demonstrated
a total lack of knowledge about my research findings, about scanning COLB documents,
about analyzing COLB images, and has also shown a willingness to fabricate evidence to
fit his conclusions – which are also way off the mark.

1 *On Claim #1, Polarik has manipulated the data, forgot about the purpose of security*
2 *paper, ignored the image quality, and incorrectly determined that scanner artifacts are*
3 *signs of a forgery. (Lies #20, #21, #22, and #23)*

4 Remember than Krawetz never read my final report, and even if he did, he does not
5 understand even the basics of analyzing scanner images and would have made a lot more
6 false statements and errors than he has already made. The "manipulation" charge is a
7 blatant lie, and he is dead wrong about "scanner artifacts," even if he had used a color
8 COLB, instead of a black & white magazine page. Again, anyone who claims that
9 "scanner artifacts" caused the pixel patterns I found is dead wrong and I challenge anyone
10 to prove otherwise.

11 *Polarik claims that the border looks different from other examples of Hawaii's birth*
12 *certificate. In particular, he says that it looks blurry. We don't know the history of the*
13 *actual image (was this a scan converted to JPEG, resaved as another JPEG, etc.). What*
14 *we do know is that the image is at a very low quality, and JPEG loses fine details when*
15 *saved at a low quality.(Errors #10, #11, and #12)*

16 If Krawetz had bothered to read my report, then he might have known that these
17 statements of his are erroneous, and that, in fact, after four months of experimenting with
18 700 images, I do know the history of the actual image because I was able to replicate it.
19 However, he demonstrates, yet again, his lack of
20 knowledge and understanding of scanning and image characteristics, both in general, and
21 in particular, as they relate to copying a paper COLB.

22 *Polarik continues to say that the blurriness is because one border was applied on top of*
23 *another. (This is a fun argument because it is so stupid.) (Error #13 and Lie #24)*

24 I never said that "one border was applied on top of another," and Krawetz compounds his
25 lie by making a derogatory comment about me, "This is a fun argument because it is so
26 stupid." The only thing that is "stupid" is making judgments on something he does not
understand and on a report that he has never read. I said that the green patterned
background was forged separately before the border was ever applied, and that the border
came from a second COLB and was pasted on top of the green patterned background. I
proved this by replicating the steps required to make the border separately and then to
add it on top of the "new" background.

The discussion that follows his "stupid" remark makes no sense whatsoever, and are in no
way even close to how I replicated the border. He describes three processes, "overwrite,"
"selection," and "merge," that have no connection to the well-known process of
"layering," or placing one image layer on top of another image layer. This process is
more commonly known as, "superimposing one image onto another," and when the word,
"Photoshopped" is mentioned, it is this process of superimposition that most often comes
to mind – even for people who have never worked with graphics.

1 *Polarik incorrectly concludes that the border was added to the image. However, I and*
2 *other analysts have been unable to identify any sign of digital manipulation. (Lies #25*
3 *and #26)*

3 **Krawetz has done absolutely nothing to refute my findings, nor has anyone else.**

4 This statement is bogus times two. As is typical throughout his screed, he makes
5 unsubstantiated statements and presents his opinions as if they were cold, hard facts,
6 without any concrete evidence to support them whatsoever. He is expecting people to
7 take him at his word, but since we now know that he cannot be trusted to present images
8 honestly, we should not even believe a word he says. The reason why he was "unable to
9 identify any sign of digital manipulation" is because he did Jack-squat to find any. We
10 now know that Krawetz does not know anything about how the COLB image was made,
11 how it was forged, how to recognize signs of manipulation done to it, how to replicate the
12 actual manipulations done to it, and to validate them through experimental testing. The
13 reference to "other analysts" is a **red herring**, because it implies that these other
14 "analysts" of his are as misinformed and confused as is Krawetz.

10 *Hawaii (and every other state) uses a variety of forgery deterrents and regularly change*
11 *the deterrents. A very fine black-thatched border, like the light green thatched*
12 *background, should not scan correctly. (Error #14)*

13 First of all, that is meaningless to even mention because it's not even relevant to the issue
14 at hand, namely comparing a true, original scan image to one that is an obviously altered
15 scan image. Additionally, the borders on 2008 COLBs scan exactly as shown on the
16 paper, or hardly something that could be called, a security border. Krawetz never
17 performed even a single scan of a real COLB as I did, nor did he even look at more than
18 one COLB scan, nor did he print off any scans, nor to try any of the experiments I did.

16 **Krawetz never read my final report and that onus is on him.**

17 *Depending on the scanner, it may appear blurred or bi-tonal or contain a different*
18 *patterned than the one found on the paper. Depending on the scanner, it may appear*
19 *blurred or bi-tonal or contain a different patterned than the one found on the paper.*
20 *Thus, the dark, blurry background is more likely due to the security paper and not due to*
21 *manipulation. (Errors #15 & #16)*

21 Krawetz's failure to scan a paper COLB or to analyze other COLB scans, or to conduct
22 any tests to see if the borders can be replicated by any other means, or even to provide
23 some concrete examples to support his conjectures, are what led him to state these
24 erroneous conclusions. I demonstrated, actually and factually, that Krawetz's conclusions
25 are not only patently false, but are merely thoughts off the top of his head.

24 *Then again, without a copy of the official document and a list of the various and ever-*
25 *changing security methods employed by Hawaii, any visual analysis of "it looks wrong"*
26 *is nothing more than speculation. (which is exactly what Krawetz has done)*

1 Krawetz just affirmed what I said about his lack of knowledge: that he has been stating
2 nothing more than mere speculations. He does not have a copy of the official COLB
3 document, nor copies of five of the seven possible border patterns used between 2001 and
2008. I do, and he doesn't, but that fact has not prevented him from making more
erroneous speculations.

4 *Of course, borders could be added to a fake document, printed, and then scanned in and I*
5 *would not be able to detect it. But that isn't what Polarik is claiming happened. He says*
6 *that they were added to the digital image. That claim is not supported by the image*
7 *compression level, color scheme, and other artifacts. Polarik is both wrong, and not in a*
8 *position to validate even if he were right. (Lie #27, and Bald-Faced Lies #28 and #29)*

9 Saying that someone could "add Hawaii's borders" to a fake document is totally
10 ridiculous that further highlights how clueless is Krawetz. I would love to hear how
11 Krawetz would find these "borders," and he would add them to a "fake document." What
12 does he even mean by "fake document?" Now, I have gone through the steps of digitally
adding a separate border to a premade background, as part of the process for
reconstructing the Kos COLB image. Krawetz does not even know the process I used to
do it -- he would if he read my report -- and therefore, he cannot comment on it.

13 PERIOD. EXCLAMATION!

14 The answer that Krawetz gives above, that "*Polarik is both wrong, and not in a position*
15 *to validate even if he were right,*" are bald-faced lies, uttered by a man who does not
16 possess the skills to detect the image alterations I found, to identify the steps that were
17 taken to create the image forgery, and to follow those same steps to create a clone of the
18 original forgery. His statement, that my "*claim is not supported by the image*
19 *compression level, color scheme, and other artifacts,* reveals both Krawetz's ignorance of
my research and his arrogance in denigrating something he has never done at all. Pretty
bold talk for someone who never read my report, never bothered to understand the issues,
and never conducted one iota of real COLB research. I, on the other hand, spent four
months producing multiple variations of real COLB scans, while Krawetz has done ZIP!

20 "*The missing seal*" and "*The missing fold*" Polarik claims that the online COLB is
missing the official seal and folds. (SOS #1 & #2)

21 The missing seal and fold are the Same Old Stuff from June, and it proves that Krawetz's
22 is rehashing old issues, and has **never read my final report**. These two missing
23 elements were noted by thousands of other people who voiced their concerns when the
Obama COLB was first published on June 12 of this year. Krawetz's comment sounds
24 like it was pulled from his "Bad Science, Part I" in verbatim. I can only conclude that
25 Krawetz has got his head in his past here. The missing lower fold is still an issue,
however, and one I discussed at length in my final report.

1 *I don't know what to say here except "Polarik is wrong." He seems to not mind*
2 *hyperfocusing on pixels in the text, but ignores the pixels that disprove his conclusion.*
This isn't just "selection bias", this is intentional ignorance. (Lies #30 and #31)

3 I don't know what to say here, either, when someone points at me and says, "You're
4 wrong," without knowing anything about what I did. However, I do know what to say
5 when someone calls me "ignorant," and it is not "Happy Holidays." The charge that I am
6 ignoring any pixels is patently false, as well as saying that there are pixels that disprove
7 my conclusion. Krawetz has never even looked at a COLB scan at the pixel level. He
8 provides a section of an image that he says came from the "large online document,"
9 which I assume to be the 2550 x 3300, 300DPI Factcheck copy.

10 The edge detection that Krawetz misapplied here is probably the Sobel technique, and he
11 did a lousy job, too. The seal is hardly visible at all in his image. I originally said on June
12 13 that a real COLB image was used as the template, and therefore, I would expect it to
13 have a Seal. I would also expect it to have two folds. Before this technique was presented
14 as a way to highlight the Seal, I was unable to see enough of the Seal to know what it
15 was, and neither could anyone else at the time. The image that Krawetz supplied is junk.

16 *Polarik says that you should be able to see the embossed pattern on the scanned image*
17 *without image enhancement. The quality of the seal's appearance depends on the scanner*
18 *and image quality. Remember: the seal is not a change in color; it is a change in texture*
19 *that the scanner may not capture well. In this case, I see it above, but I use enhancement*
20 *to make it easier to see. (Error #17)*

21 **Every scan that I made using a \$99 scanner without any image enhancements**
22 **produced both folds, the Seal, and the texture of the paper**, as well as the scans made
23 by others, so Krawetz's claims do not reflect reality.

24 Speaking of reality, since the Seal causes ridges in the paper to form, these, in turn,
25 causes shadows to occur around them, and that's why a cheap scanner set on AUTO will
26 pick them up, time after time,

Polarik refuses to acknowledge the seal because admitting it exists would damage his
claim. (Lie #32)

21 I spent an entire chapter on the Seal in my final report. In fact, it was the Seal that
22 conclusively proved the Obama COLB image and photographs to be fake. As I noted,
23 Krawetz is stuck in time, back on June 13, before I (and may others) learned how to use
24 the Sobel edge detection process found on the GIMP program.

25 *Polarik also claims that the second fold is missing. In this regard, I must admit that I do*
26 *not see the second fold. However, I have scanned many pieces of folded paper and not*
seen folds (scanners pick up color, not texture) (Lie #33 or Error #18)

1 I have proven, conclusively, that scanners do, indeed, clearly copy the fold. If Krawetz is
2 not picking it up in his scans. then he is not performing them correctly. Given that he
made a color scan of a B&W original, the latter might also be the case.

3 *What I do see in the COLB is evidence suggesting a fold. Follow the right edge of the*
4 *right border down the page. It has a slight lean inward, meaning it is crooked. At about a*
5 *third of the way from the bottom, the border changes direction, bending outward. It*
6 *changes direction where the second fold should be located. And since the green thatched*
pattern does not show any breaks or separations, it is very unlikely that this is a paste or
splice. (Lie #34)

7 This is pure bunk. Any kinks in the border prove that it came from another COLB, and do
8 not confirm the presence of a second fold line. There is NO second fold line, except in
Krawetz's imagination.

9 *Polarik claims the border and seal do not match the form from 2007 or 2008. He forgot*
10 *to mention that the bottom corner says "11/01" meaning it is the 2001 form, and not*
11 *either 2007 or 2008. (Major error #19)*

12 As I said repeatedly, Krawetz has no knowledge of what is a COLB, and he never read
13 any of my blog posts. In fact, Krawetz never read any other blog posts to know that the
14 "11/01" identifier is the date that the current COLB form was introduced, and has nothing
to do with the date the COLB was printed, the date stamp, the embossed Seal, and the
border.

15 *There is a difference between "real" and "authentic". Digital image analysis can tell if an*
16 *image has been manipulated, but not if the original source was authentic. In fact, any*
17 *analysis based strictly on the online image cannot be used to validate the authenticity of*
the border. (Errors #20 & #21)

18 I have repeatedly said that the COLB image was made from a real COLB, but not from
19 Obama's and is not an authentic representation of Obama's birth record. However,
20 nobody would ever create a forged document image if an authentic copy of the original is
21 easily obtained. Krawetz second statement is patently false. If the border shown on a
2008 COLB image is the same as the one used on the 2007 COLB, then the border shown
in the 2008 COLB image is not an authentic 2008 border. It's simple logic.

22 *The only one who can say whether the border and document are authentic is the state of*
23 *Hawaii (Error #22)*

24 This statement is a non sequitur. I have two 2008 COLBs and the borders, layout, and
25 Seal are identical. When someone personally picks up a copy of his or her COLB from
26 Vital Records, and has it stamped by Vital Records, it is certified as authentic. This
statement is thrown in here as a bogus Catch-22 that because no one has ever seen

1 Obama's real COLB -- which we know does not exist given all of the evidence -- then we
cannot assess its "authenticity."

2 That is hokum.

3 *And Hawaii has been saying that the accusation of a false COLB is "pretty ridiculous."*
4 **(Lie #35)**

5 No such accusation has ever been made. What we are postulating is that a "manufactured
6 COLB" was posted on the internet to fool people into believing that they had just seen
Obama's real COLB, when in fact, nobody has seen it, and that the document shown in
7 the image does not exist in reality.

8 *So none of Polarik's claims hold up to inspection. What about Polarik's credentials?...So*
9 *let's see... "Dr. Ron Polarik". Many years ago I saw a comedy troupe called Duck's*
10 *Breath Mystery Theater. They had a skit called "Ask Dr. Science". In the show, Dr.*
11 *Science legally changed his first name to "Doctor" in order to give his theories more*
credibility. ("There is a thin line between ignorance and arrogance," he says, "and only I
have managed to erase that line.") **(Slander #1 through about #25)**

12 *The only one who can say whether the border and document are authentic is the state of*
13 *Hawaii. And Hawaii has been saying that the accusation of a false COLB is "pretty*
ridiculous." **(Lie #36)**

14 *As far back as 15-Aug-2008, they have said that the COLB image is "a valid Hawaii state*
15 *birth certificate."* **(Lie #37)**

16 *Since Polarik has never claimed to be an expert in Hawaiian birth certificates, I'm going*
17 *to have to go with the State's opinion here and conclude that Polarik is wrong.* **(Lie #37)**

18 I am an expert in Hawaiian Certifications of Live Birth, and that's the entire point of my
19 final report -- **which Krawetz never read.** Krawetz is at the opposite end of the
20 spectrum, someone who knows **Jack-squat** about the Hawaiian Certification of Live
Birth.

21 What is so typical of a Leftist coward who runs from confrontation is to feign indignation
22 when I angrily responded to his trash talk. He cherry-picked my comments and then
lectures me as to what a "PhD should do."

23 I did not copy the rest of his condescending and libelous remarks, but the proof is in the
24 pudding: Krawetz has conclusively proven that credentials do not create credibility, for
25 whatever credentials Krawetz holds, they were of no use to him in reviewing my final
report -- which he never did. He made multiple lies and errors throughout his critique that
26 actually gave his credentials a big, black eye. I ask that I be judged on my work, but

1 when someone like Krawetz comes along who does not know what he is saying and has
2 never read my reports, then that individual has no right to make any comments about me
or my research.

3 The bottom line is that Krawetz has tried every excuse possible, and every trick in the
4 book to invalidate my research and to discredit me, and he has fallen flat on his face. He
5 did not so much as make a dent in my research. By failing to counter my claims, Krawetz
6 reinforced them. The forgery evidence is irrefutable, and that is not going to change by
7 virtue of any claims made by charlatans like Krawetz or anyone else. I am sure that his
8 remarks will continue to be posted on many pro-Obama websites, whose owners would
like nothing better than for my research to be invalidated. Yet, the only person who
9 manipulated evidence and who masqueraded as an expert qualified to judge my research,
10 is Neal Krawetz, and he is now duly notified that his slanderous actions will not be
11 tolerated.

12 Krawetz says that he "stands behind his claims about me," except for the fact that I've
13 challenged him to prove that the pixel anomalies I found are scanner artifacts, and he
14 failed to accept my challenge. In what will be a shock to many of Krawetz's recent
15 supporters, I'm not the first person on whom he's done a hack job, and then turned tail and
16 hide when challenged. Here is an incident that happened in the Spring of last year when a
17 company named GOBBLES called out Krawetz for making false associations:

18 *GOBBLES is calling out Dr. Neal Krawetz.*

19 *Dated April 21, 2007*

20 *Dear fans,*

21 *Below is an email we sent to Dr. Neal Krawetz, author of "who_is_n3td3v.pdf", a*
22 *document that uses flawed logic to "prove" that GOBBLES Security members are behind*
23 *the alias n3td3v, which obviously is not true. We have tried numerous times to establish*
24 *contact with the good doctor, and to have him publish an apology and retract his libelous*
25 *allegations. He is however adamant in his position that he is correct, and refuses to*
26 *entertain any evidence presented that proves his conclusions contrary.*

Since he is no longer answering our emails, and authoring articles on the subject of
internet character defamation for security focus, we have no choice but to call him on his
bullshit publicly.

If you run a security conference and would like to provide the venue for the challenge
described below, please contact us immediately. For the record, we have never had
anything to do with the online identity n3td3v, and to our collective knowledge have had
no contact in any way with the individual(s) behind the alias. Also for the record, Neal

1 *Krawetz is an academic fraud who cannot cite the source of the axioms he constantly*
2 *refers to, since the basis of all his research is clearly horseshit.*

3 *In God We Trust,*

4 *GOBBLES Security*

5 The similarities between what Krawetz did to me and to *GOBBLES* serve to reinforce my
6 assessments of him. I can relate to the issues that *GOBBLES Security* raised about
7 Krawetz. He has deleted all of my messages to him. He has refused to retract his libelous
8 allegations against me, and refused to entertain any evidence that disproves his
9 conclusions about my research. Likewise, since Krawetz has also locked me out of his
10 blog, I, too, have to call him out on his lies and false accusations in a public venue.

11 If Krawetz really wants to stand behind his trashing of me and my research, then let him
12 validate his claims, openly and honestly. Let him try and recreate the white and gray-
13 shaded pixel patterns between the letters on Obama's bogus COLB by scanning alone.
14 Likewise, let him prove that what I observed as signs of forging are only JPG artifacts.
15 Let him try and recreate the COLB border by scanning alone. Let him prove that the
16 border
17 and the text on the Obama COLB image were produced together and at the same time.

18 I am not the one who has been bragging about his credentials, or putting someone else
19 down for theirs. Only Krawetz has done that. I am not the one trying to grab some glory
20 by being the first person to refute someone else's research. What I am is simply this: the
21 only person on the planet to have ever deciphered how the bogus Obama image was
22 made, and to use that information
23 to replicate it, including the telltale pixel patterns, the separate border, the missing second
24 Seal, and so on, by scanning a real, paper COLB document and then graphically altering
25 it as the forger might have done.

26 What will be instructive are some of the comments made about my research from an
objective reviewer, named Chuck, who has seen both my report and Krawetz's screed.

**Here's a brief...list I made, while going through the "final" report by Dr. Polarik.
Good means he found something interesting, which I also found.**

Good: He points out a resample goof by KOS. I believe he's noting they went and
created their cropped image, and ended up with the same number of pixels. I think that's
a common mistake - leaving "resample" on. Probably nothing nefarious here.

Good: Factcheck.org photo session of the piece of paper "taken in Aug", had EXIF date
of March 12th. Just odd.

1 **Good:** “missing green” - what’s the rest look like? could be gone because of level adjust?
All the other text has this same “effect”.

2 **Good:** ‘header text absolutely looks pasted. ‘artifacts abound’. When I first saw an
3 isolated, cropped image of the “suspect” text, it did look pasted. See my previous - it
4 doesn’t.

5 **Good:** - where are the folds? The scan doesn’t show the folds like one would expect.
6 When enhanced, only the top fold can be seen. The photo session shows a tri-folded
document.

7 **Good:** it does NOT look like a scan of a physical document. No 3D features such as the
8 edge of the paper or folds. I agree - all other scans of COLBs he has show folds. All
9 scans I’ve ever done myself show folds, unless some exceptional adjustments are made -
even then, there are still remnants of all folds.

10 **Really Good:** Seal too small. It measures 1.5? A 2001 seal is 1.675, and Polarik claims
11 2008 seal is same (or at least, larger than 2007). This one is a big oddity. I measured
12 BO’s COLB seal at 1.5?. I found a scan of a 2001 HI COLB - its seal
measured 1.675?. Polarik himself says the 2008 is larger than BO’s 2007. Very odd one
here.

- 13 • Outwardly, this [KOS image] appears to be WAY over processed - no detail. The
14 border detail is terrible. I would say, the text artifacts aren’t from pasting, but
15 rather due to high compression and/or multiple compression cycles. Levels are
blown out.
- 16 • This does NOT look like a scan of a real document. It is extremely hard to remove
17 folds so completely. If enhanced, only the top fold is visible.
- 18 • Looking at the full RGB histogram - there are NO mid-tones. Odd for a scan.
19 Again, doesn’t look like
20 a real scan, but more like a digitally rendered image (such as an original from a
page layout application).
- 21 • No embossing in seal - it would have shown in scan easily. The photographed
22 document seems to show the embossing, but the scan doesn’t. They look like two
different documents.
- 23 • I measure the KOS seal as 1.5? - is this 2007 or 2008? I found a HI COLB from
24 2001 and measured its seal at 1.675?. Polarik claims 2008 seal is bigger than the
seal in BO’s 2007 COLB. This seems to be a problem.
- 25 • No folds - again, doesn’t look like a scanned, physical document.

1 Dr. Krawetz doesn't have a lot of real strong arguments or analysis reputing him [that I
2 could find], despite his low opinion [of Dr. Polarik]. The "Xenon" work doesn't mean
3 much, given what looks like an abundance of compression
4 artifacts.

5 A **real scan** would have midtones, but the lack thereof might be from over correction and
6 overprocessing.

7 A **real scan** would have a "3D" appearance - folds, edges to paper. There is definitely
8 something different between what's in the photographs and the scan.

9 However, except for the seal, the scanning issues (missing folds and embossing) could be
10 either ineptness or something deliberate to hide something - we can only guess what, if
11 anything. The seal size discrepancy is the biggest problem I see.

12 **Epilogue**

13 I hope that this commentary will be instructive for those who are not familiar with my
14 research and/or who have been led astray by Neal Krawetz's "Bad Science" boondoggle.
15 As I said above: before making any hasty judgments about me or my research, you have
16 to read the entire final report first, and to read it with comprehension. Then, look around
17 at what my critics have to offer in rebuttal. Whether you agree or disagree with the
18 central premise of the research, that America still has not seen Obama's original paper,
19 long-form birth certificate, your decision will not be made on the basis of someone's
20 stated credentials, but on the validity and significance of the research.
21
22
23
24
25
26

EXHIBIT 6



16 July 2009

To Mr. Barack Hussein Obama

Via:

Lanny D. Welch, USA
1200 Epic Center (316)269-6481 (316)269-6484
301 N. Main
Wichita, KS 67202

500 State Street, Suite 360 (913)551-6730 (913)551-6541
Kansas City, KS 66101
290 Federal Bldg.

444 SE Quincy Street (785)295-2850 (785)295-2853
Topeka, KS 66683

From: Robert (Bob) D. Pinkstaff

Gunnery Sergeant (E7)

United States Marine Corps Retired

Distribution: Wide

Subject: CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS REGARDING THE COMMISSION OF TREASON

You, barack hussein obama, have committed the most vicious, evil, TRAITOROUS act of TREASON against the United States of America since the evil TRAITOR jane fonda and her love for communist Vietnam. You, barack hussein obama, have broken in and entered the White House by force of contrivance, concealment, conceit, dissembling and deceit.

Posing as an imposter President and Commander in Chief, in only a few short months, you, barack hussein obama and your political criminal associates, have displayed contempt for the constitution of the United States, the United States of America and the destruction of America's constitutional government.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Free from Constitutional restraint, and following your (barack hussein obama) criminal example, military commanders deployed U.S. Army active duty combat troops into the small civilian community of Samson, Alabama on or around March 10th, 2009 in a demonstration of their newly received despotic police power.

We come now to this reckoning. I accuse you, barack hussein obama and your political criminal assistants of TREASON. I name you, barack hussein obama, and your political criminal associates as TRAITORS. Your (barack hussein obama) criminal ascension manifests a clear and present danger. You, barack hussein obama, fundamentally changed our form of government. The Constitution no longer works.

Confident, holding your (barack hussein obama) silent agreement and admission, I identify you, barack hussein obama, as a foreign born domestic enemy, whose mission is to destroy the United States of America, our freedom and way of life.

My sworn duty, barack hussein obama, is to stand against what you stand for. You, barack hussein obama, have not produced all legal documentation requested by the involved attorney's to prove your United States citizenship. Therefore, you, barack hussein obama, are NOT my President. You, barack Hussein obama, are NOT my Commander in Chief. You, barack hussein obama, are NOT a citizen of the United States. Therefore, you, barack hussein obama, ARE an "illegal invader" of the United States of America.

Even though I'm a 75 year old Retired United States Marine and not subject to recall, I still have a dog in this fight too and will do all I can to oust you, the USURPER, barack hussein obama, from the white house!

A United States Veteran is someone who,
At one point in his or her life,
Wrote a blank check made payable to,
"The People of the United States of America",
For an amount "...Up to and including my life."
Author unknown

Subscribed and sworn to before me in my
presence, this 11th day of July,
2009, a Justice of the Peace and for the
County of 36 State of Kentucky.
Robert D. Pinkstaff
(Signature) (Print Name)

My Commission Expires 20 Feb 2011



FREEDOM IS NOT FREE!
GOD BLESS AMERICA!, GOD BLESS ISRAEL!
Gunnery Sergeant Robert (Bob) D. Pinkstaff
United States Marine Corps Retired
AMERICAN CITIZEN BY BIRTH, UNITED STATES MARINE BY CHOICE!

Robert D. Pinkstaff

EXHIBIT 7



UNITED STATES NAVAL ACADEMY

Tuesday, 17 March 2009

To: Mr. Barack Hussein Obama

Via: U.S. Attorney Russell Dedrick, and Assistant U.S. Attorney Edward Schmutzer, Eastern District, Tennessee

From: Walter Francis Fitzpatrick, III, United States Navy Retired

Distribution: Wide

**SUBJECT: CRIMINAL ALLEGATION REGARDING THE
COMMISSION OF TREASON**

I have observed and extensively recorded treacherous attacks by military-political aristocrats against the United States Constitution for twenty years.

Now, in yet another betrayal, you have broken in and entered the White House by force of contrivance, concealment, conceit, dissembling, and deceit. Posing as an imposter president and commander in chief, you have stripped civilian command and control over the military establishment. Known military criminal actors – command racketeers – are now free in the exercise of military government intent upon destruction of America's constitutional government.

Free from constitutional restraint, and following your criminal example, military commanders deployed U.S. Army active duty combat troops into the small civilian community of Samson, Alabama last week in a demonstration of their newly received despotic, domestic police power.

We come now to this reckoning. I accuse you and your military-political criminal assistants of TREASON. I name you and your military criminal

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

associates as traitors. Your criminal ascension manifests a clear and present danger. You fundamentally changed our form of government. The Constitution no longer works.

Confident holding your silent agreement and admission, I identify you as a foreign born domestic enemy.

My sworn duty, Mr. Obama, is to stand against what you stand for. You are not my president. You are not my commander in chief.

Obedient to the Constitution in submission of this criminal accusation, I remain steadfast, and

Born fighting,

Walter Francis Fitzgerald III
Class of 1975

Notarized before me this 17th day of March, 2009

Crystal M. Blalock

My Comm. expires on: 8-24-11

7:40 a.m.



EXHIBIT 8

Phillip A Wolf

11340 Ridge Hill Drive

Alpharetta GA 30022

July 22, 2009

To Mr. Barack Hussein Obama

Via: David E. Nahmias, US Attorney, Northern District of Georgia

From: Phillip Aubrey Wolf, Sgt. E-5, USMC, Honorable discharge, veteran

Distribution: Wide

Subject: CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS REGARDING THE COMMISSION OF TREASON

I have observed attacks by military-political aristocrats against the United States of America.

Now, in yet another betrayal, you have broken in and entered the White House by force of contrivance, concealment, conceit, dissembling and deceit. Posing as an imposter President and Commander in Chief you have stripped civilian command and control over the military establishment. Known military criminal actors-command racketeers- are now free in the exercise of military government intent upon the destruction of America's Constitutional government.

Free from Constitutional restraint and following your criminal example, military commanders deployed U.S. Army active duty combat troops into the small civilian community of Samson, Alabama on or around March 10th, 2009 in a demonstration of their newly received despotic police power.

On this 31st day of July 2009, I certify that this 3 page document is a true exact, complete & unaltered copy of the original. Alan M. Vester

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Phillip A Wolf
11340 Ridge Hill Drive
Alpharetta GA 30022


We come now to this reckoning. I accuse you and your military-political criminal assistants of TREASON. I name you and your military criminal associates as TRAITORS. Your criminal ascension manifests a clear and present danger. You fundamentally changed our form of government. The Constitution no longer works.

Confident, holding your silent agreement and admission, I identify you as a foreign born domestic enemy.

My sworn duty Mr. Obama is to stand against what you stand for. You are not my President. You are not my Commander in Chief.


Obedient to the Constitution in submission of this criminal accusation I remain steadfast, and

Standing for liberty,



Phillip A. Wolf

Notarize here



DIANE M. VIESON
Notary Public, Fulton County, Georgia
My Commission Expires March 28, 20



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Before the State of GEORGIA

Jurisdiction: NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GA.

Barack Obama, aka: Barack
Obama, Jr., aka: Barack
Hussein Obama, aka: Barry
Soetoro; aka: Barry Obama;
aka: Barack Obama, presumed
President of the United States
Defendant

Re: **Fraud (eligibility) and Treason**

Filing on behalf of these men of the Military

Name: PHILLIP A. WOLF

Address: 11340 RIDGE HILL DRIVE, JONES CREEK
30022

Signature: *Phillip A. Wolf*

Attachments hereto and made a part hereof.

State of GEORGIA

County of FULTON

On this, the 31ST day of July, 2009, before me a
notary public, the undersigned, personally appeared

Phillip A. Wolf
known to me (or
satisfactorily proven) to be the person whose name is subscribed to these
documents. He/she is filing on behalf of the individuals whose names appear
On the attached documents.

In witness hereof, I hereunto set my hand and official seal

Diane M. Wesson
Notary Public

DIANE M. WESSON
Notary Public, Fulton County, Georgia
My Commission Expires March 28, 2010




EXHIBIT 9

TSgt Richard L. Martin, United States Air Force

Richard L. Martin

2331 Bartlett Oaks Dr.

Bartlett, Tennessee 38134

July 27th, 2009

To: Mr. Barack Hussein Obama:

Via: U.S. Attorney

From: Richard L. Martin, 155th A.S., 164th AirBt Wing, Memphis Tennessee

Distribution: Wide

Subject: Criminal Allegations Regarding The Commission Of Treason

I have observed attacks by military-political aristocrats against the United States of America.

Now, in yet another brazen betrayal, you have broken in and entered the White House by form of contrivance, concealment, conceit, dissembling and deceit. Posing as an imposter President and Commander in Chief, you have stripped civilian command and control over the military establishment. Known military criminal actors-command racketeers-are now free in the exercise of military government intent upon the destruction of Americas Constitutional government.

Free from Constitutional restraint and following your criminal example, military commanders deployed U.S. Army active duty combat troops into the small civilian community of Samson, Alabama on or around March 10th, 2009 in a demonstration of their newly received despotic police power.

We come now to this reckoning. I accuse you and your military-political criminal assistants of TREASON. I name you and your military criminal associates as TRAITORS. Your criminal ascension manifests a clear and present danger. You fundamentally changed our form of government. The Constitution no longer works.

Confident, holding your silent agreement and admission, I identify you as a foreign born person, in a Presidential position that is against the LAW.

My sworn duty Mr. Obama is to stand for our country, and our enemies both foreign and domestic. You are NOT my President. You are NOT my Commander-in Chief. Obedient to the Constitution in submission of this criminal accusation I remain steadfast, and loyal to the United States of America.

TSgt Richard Martin
[Handwritten Signature]

[Handwritten Signature]
My Commission Expires Oct. 18, 2009


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

EXHIBIT 10

Richard M. Keefner
8771 Eagle Street
Hodgkins, Illinois 60525
July 17, 2009

To: Mr. Barack Hussein Obama, et al:

Via: Patrick J. Fitzgerald, U.S. Attorney

Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division

From: Richard M. Keefner, SP4-E4, U.S Army, Honorable Discharge, Veteran

Distribution: Wide

Subject: CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS REGARDING THE COMMISSION OF TREASON

I have observed attacks by military-political aristocrats against the United States of America.

Now, in yet another betrayal, you have broken in and entered the White House by force of contrivance, concealment, conceit, dissembling and deceit. Posing as an imposter President and Commander in Chief you have stripped civilian command and control over the military establishment. Known military criminal actors-command racketeers- are now free in the exercise of military government intent upon the destruction of America's Constitutional government.

Free from Constitutional restraint and following your criminal example, military commanders deployed U.S. Army active duty combat troops into the small civilian community of Samson, Alabama on or around March 10th, 2009 in a demonstration of their newly received despotic police power.

We come now to this reckoning. I accuse you and your military-political criminal assistants of TREASON. I name you and your military criminal associates as

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

TRAITORS. Your criminal ascension manifests a clear and present danger. You fundamentally changed our form of government. The Constitution no longer works.

Confident, holding your silent agreement and admission, I identify you as a foreign born domestic enemy.

My sworn duty Mr. Obama is to stand against what you stand for. You are not my President. You are not my Commander in Chief.


Obedient to the Constitution in submission of this criminal accusation I remain steadfast, and

Born Fighting,

Richard M. Keefner
Richard M. Keefner

Notarize here

Lia C Kaminski
8-3-2009



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

EXHIBIT 11

**EDWARD C. SCHRIBER
COLONEL, RETIRED, USMC**

Edward C. Schriber
190 Seaspray Way
Port Hueneme, California, 93041
July, 23, 2009

To: Mr. Barrack Hussein Obama
Via: Thomas P. O'Brien, United States Attorney
Central District of California

From: Edward C. Schriber, Colonel, Retired, United States Marine Corps
Distribution : Wide

Subject : Criminal allegations regarding the commission of fraud

Having deceived the citizens of the United States of America by your willful deceit and concealment of legal documentation attesting to your birth as a natural born citizen of the United States, to wit , a valid birth certificate, and other instruments of proof of the same, I hereby charge you with criminal fraud. Further , by this continued concealment and deceit you have presented your self as legally qualified to hold the office of the President of the United States in direct contradiction of the Constitution.

Further, you have continued the deception and fraud by accepting the office of the Presidency and executing unlawful orders to both the civilian and military authorities who are normally bound by the authority of the office you fraudulently hold. I charge that you are an imposter President and Commander in Chief and that you have stripped legal and proper command and control over the military establishment as required by the constitution. Because of your actions you have fundamentally changed our form of government , having violated the constitution .

It is my firm belief that you have committed this criminal act and I charge you , and any others associated with this deceit , with fraud .

Obedient to the Constitution in submission of this criminal accusation I remain steadfast and loyal.

Semper Fidelis,


Edward C. Schriber

*R.M.
See attached
1 of 2*

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

CALIFORNIA ALL-PURPOSE ACKNOWLEDGMENT

State of California }

County of Ventura }

On July 24, 09 before me, Ruby Moffa, Notary Public

personally appeared Edward C. Sanchez

who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.



I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Place Notary Seal Above

Signature Ruby Moffa
Notary Public

OPTIONAL

Though the information below is not required by law, it may prove valuable to persons relying on the document and could prevent fraudulent removal and reattachment of this form to another document.

Description of Attached Document


Title or Type of Document: Criminal Allegations Letter

Document Date: _____ Number of Pages: 2

Signer(s) Other Than Named Above: _____

Capacity(ies) Claimed by Signer(s)

- Signer's Name: _____
- Individual
 - Corporate Officer — Title(s): _____
 - Partner — Limited General
 - Attorney in Fact
 - Trustee
 - Guardian or Conservator
 - Other: _____



Signer is Representing: _____

- Signer's Name: _____
- Individual
 - Corporate Officer — Title(s): _____
 - Partner — Limited General
 - Attorney in Fact
 - Trustee
 - Guardian or Conservator
 - Other: _____



Signer is Representing: _____

©2007 National Notary Association • 6350 De Soto Ave., P.O. Box 2438 • Chatsworth, CA 91313-2438 • www.NationalNotary.org Item #6907 Reorder: Call Toll-Free 1-800-878-8827

2 of 2

EXHIBIT 12

Delivered to all members of Congress August 5th and 6th, 2009

August 3, 2009

Attn: All Members of the House and Senate

Re: Senate Resolution 511 on April 10th of 2008

From: Carl Swensson

165 Burke St Suite 101

Stockbridge, GA 30281

Ph: 678-438-6138

To whom it may concern,

On April 10th, 2008 Senate resolution 511 was passed acknowledging Sen. John McCain's eligibility to be POTUS based on the fact that his parents (plural) were both U.S. Citizens.

Your understanding of the requirement for "Natural Born" status as it concerned Mr. McCain was mostly correct. The only thing you failed to mention was the fact that he was born in Colon, Panama which was NOT a U.S. Military base. Please correct me if I am in error but the Constitution CLEARLY states that you must be Natural Born in order to be President. Our founding fathers were adamant on this subject and much was written about it. The common thread was really quite simple, You must be born of citizens of the country and be born on that countries soil.

Herein lies the problem. There is undeniable proof that Mr. Obama's father was NEVER a U.S. citizen and as the Senate resolution clearly states, that IS a requirement yet you continue to ignore this fact and have thrown us into a Constitutional crisis.

We demand this error be corrected now. Not weeks, months or years from now as this is a crime of the highest nature and your refusal to deal with it only confirms your complicity which will be addressed when this issue is resolved.

Many people have focused on the Birth Certificate and yes, it is important but not the main issue as this letter points out. We will not be led astray with this Birthing nonsense and neither should you. Clearly, he is unqualified as there has never been an amendment to change our Constitution and you DID take an Oath to uphold that sacred document.

1 Continued refusal to uphold your Oath puts you in breach of contract with American
citizens, your employers.

2 Please rectify this error in judgment at the earliest possible convenience as we are
watching your actions with a keen eye towards complicity and Misprision of Felony.

3 You should also be aware that a new document has been circulating on the Internet which
4 shows Barack Hussein Obama as being born in Mombasa, Kenya and although we have
no idea of its authenticity, it should show you that this issue will never go away and only
5 by your actions can this be resolved. Attached is a copy of that document and it is our
understanding that many more variations of this can be expected to flood the internet.

6 Again, you, and only you, can put a stop to this by recognizing and acting in good faith
on the letter of the law as written in the Constitution.

7
8 Attached, you will find Criminal Complaints accusing Barack Husein Obama of Fraud
and Treason. Treason charges can only be submitted upon the witness of two to the
9 crime. We give you six Military men who have courageously stepped forward and
demand action be taken to remove this Usurper.

10 In summary,

11 Definition of "Natural Born Citizen" was clearly defined by Emmerich de Vattel in 1791
and used in the formation of Article 2 Section 1 Clause 5 of the Constitution and it states:

12 **The citizens are the members of the civil society; bound to this society by certain duties, and subject**
13 **to its authority, they equally participate in its advantages. The natives, or natural-born citizens, are**
14 **those born in the country, of parents who are citizens. As the society cannot exist and perpetuate**
15 **itself otherwise than by the children of the citizens, those children naturally follow the condition of**
16 **their fathers, and succeed to all their rights. The society is supposed to desire this, in consequence of**
17 **what it owes to its own preservation; and it is presumed, as matter of course, that each citizen, on**
18 **entering into society, reserves to his children the right of becoming members of it. The country of the**
19 **fathers is therefore that of the children; and these become true citizens merely by their tacit consent.**
20 **We shall soon see whether, on their coming to the years of discretion, they may renounce their right,**
21 **and what they owe to the society in which they were born. I say, that, in order to be of the country, it**
22 **is necessary that a person be born of a father who is a citizen; for, if he is born there of a foreigner, it**
23 **will be only the place of his birth, and not his country.**

24 **It's my opinion that the term "natural born citizen" was understood by all politically-savvy thinkers**
25 **in the 18th and 19th centuries so much so that even President Chester Arthur hid the fact**
26 **that he wasn't a natural born citizen in order to become president.**

This means neither Barack Obama nor John McCain could be defined as natural born citizens,
27 **proving that those who would claim to rule and reign over us give no thought to "law" if it impedes**
28 **their ambitions but apply that same "law" to us if it would increase their titles, status and wealth.**
29 **April 10th, 2008, The U.S. Senate confirms the Citizenship issue in its resolution 511**
30 **Aug. 3rd, 2009, America waits for your action to resolve this issue/crime.**

31 Sincerely,

32 Carl A. Swensson, Jr.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

EXHIBIT 13

Constitutional Term	Parents	Conjunction (And, Or)	Location of Birth	Conjunction (And, Or)	Other	Legal Reference
Natural Born Citizen	Both are U. S. Citizens	AND	Born in the U.S. mainland			US Constitution Art. II, Sec. 1, Cl. 5 U.S. v. Wong Kim Ark, 169 U.S. 649 (1898) Perkins v. Elg, 307 U.S. 325 (1939)
Citizen	Born to at least 1 US Citizen Parent (under federal statute)	OR	Born in the U.S. mainland	OR	Naturalized	US Constitution 14th Amendment, Sec. 1 U.S. v. Wong Kim Ark, 169 U.S. 649 (1898) Perkins v. Elg, 307 U.S. 325 (1939)
Native Born Citizen			Born in the U.S. mainland			US Constitution 14th Amendment, Sec. 1 U.S. v. Wong Kim Ark, 169 U.S. 649 (1898) Perkins v. Elg, 307 U.S. 325 (1939)

EXHIBIT 14

PETITION FOR PUBLIC RELEASE OF BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA'S BIRTH CERTIFICATE

To: Electoral College, Congress of the United States, Federal Elections Commission,
U.S. Supreme Court, President of the United States, other controlling legal authorities

Whereas, by requirement of the United States Constitution, Article 2, Section 1, no one can be sworn into office as president of the United States without being a natural born citizen;

Whereas, there is sufficient controversy within the citizenry of the United States as to whether presidential election winner Barack Obama was actually born in Hawaii as he claims;

Whereas, Barack Obama has refused repeated calls to release publicly his entire Hawaiian birth certificate, which would include the actual hospital that performed the delivery;

Whereas, lawsuits filed in several states seeking only proof of the basic minimal standard of eligibility have been rebuffed;

Whereas, Hawaii at the time of Obama's birth allowed births that took place in foreign countries to be registered in Hawaii;

Whereas, concerns that our government is not taking this constitutional question seriously will result in diminished confidence in our system of free and fair elections;

Total Signatures: 438,349

http://www.wnd.com/obama_petition

EXHIBIT 15

<http://www.canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/13373>

Canada Free Press - Printer Friendly Page

© V2.0 - CJ Website Design

www.cj-design.com

Canada Free Press & Northeast Intelligence Network Exclusive

Media Blackout on Obama

eligibility dates back to

Douglas Hagmann & Judi McLeod [Bio](#)

November

[Email Article](#)

By Douglas Hagmann & Judi McLeod Tuesday, **Photo Gallery**

August 4, 2009

<a

Do you remember Watergate? [href="http://media.fastclick.net/w/click.here?sid=18589&m=3&c=915"](http://media.fastclick.net/w/click.here?sid=18589&m=3&c=915)

Thirty-five years ago this

target="_blank">

Sunday, U.S. President

Richard M. Nixon submitted

his letter of resignation for his role in the scandal. There was the crime – the break-in, and then there was the cover-up by the Nixon administration. There were threats, media manipulation and disinformation. It was the *cover-up* more than the crime itself in the aftermath of the Watergate break-in that led to the downfall of the Nixon administration. It was a politically critical time for our country, but we survived because of the strength of the U.S. constitution.

Now, we potentially face a new constitutional crisis stemming from the refusal of Barack Hussein Obama to produce a one-page document that would confirm his eligibility to hold the highest office in the land. Eligibility to hold office is not a “fringe” matter, but a core constitutional issue that lies at the very heart of a growing controversy.

Although we do not have the birth certificate or proof of ineligibility, the Northeast Intelligence Network and Canada Free Press have documentation of a cover-up relating to the issue of Obama’s eligibility to hold office. The proof we possess not only exposes a well orchestrated cover-up, but also provides critical insight into *why the topic of Obama’s eligibility has failed to gain traction* in the corporate media.

1 **The Northeast Intelligence Network and Canada Free Press are in possession of**
2 **extremely sensitive investigative documents, including a stunning written admission**
3 **– or worse - should he talk about the issue of Barack Hussein Obama’s birth records**
4 **to a national audience.** This document was obtained on December 10, 2008, and
5 provides explicit detail of a “gag order” imposed on this host before and immediately
6 following the national election last November.

7 After receiving and authenticating the document, US based veteran private investigator
8 Douglas J. Hagmann opened a full scale investigation into the media blackout, with
9 specific emphasis on tracing the blackout origins to those issuing them. This investigation
10 was conducted in conjunction with Judi McLeod, founding editor of Canada Free Press
11 and Brian Thompson, CFP Information Technology chief following a meeting near
12 Toronto, Ontario last December. At that meeting, it was decided to keep the existence of
13 the document secret until additional evidence could be obtained.

14 Today, after an extensive eight month investigation, the Northeast Intelligence Network
15 and Canada Free Press are breaking their silence and revealing explosive information
16 about a widespread cover-up that began at the earliest stages of the Obama presidential
17 campaign. The cover-up traces back to some of the most powerful and influential people
18 in the U.S. and continues today.

19 **Summary of the Evidence**

20 As noted above, we are in possession of a written account by a well known national talk
21 show host who details how he was prohibited to discuss the controversy of Barack
22 Hussein Obama’s eligibility as president of the United States. This signed document cites
23 exact dates and times when he was forbidden to discuss any aspect of the birth certificate
24 controversy, and includes direct references to the individuals responsible for such
25 prohibitions. Further, his statement identifies the individuals who originated the orders
26 and their positions, and confirms that failure to adhere to the order would likely end his
27 career in that industry. He also confirms that other, less specific but more menacing
28 threats were implied during conversations with those making the subject off limits.

29 Although we possess the original document containing the name and contact information
30 of the talk show host, we have decided not to publish his name or network affiliation at
31 this time. Based on the correspondence from this individual and respecting the nature of
32 the threats to him personally and professionally, it is our decision to allow him to enjoy
33 anonymity until such time as he decides to reveal the facts himself at a time of his
34 choosing.

35 From multiple interviews conducted within the last eight months, we have obtained
36 information from other sources, independent of the above, who have also been instructed

1 to avoid any discussion of the birth certificate issue at all costs, to wit:

2 The account of an administrative assistant employed in New York City by a cable
3 network news station who provided significant, detailed information of a 2008 meeting
4 between the top network executive and four-(4) well-known news anchors. This source
5 confirmed that she drafted the memo to the various hosts to notify them of the date, time
6 and location of this high-level meeting at the request of the network's top executive.

7 Present at this meeting, she verified that the network official issued "warnings" to the
8 personalities "to avoid any on-air discussion of the birth place, eligibility, and news
9 accounts of litigation compelling [Barack Hussein] Obama to produce a legitimate copy
10 of his birth certificate." She stated that the network executive had her arrange the
11 conference immediately following a meeting "between [the network executive] and an
12 attorney closely associated with candidate Obama who was acting on his behalf."

13 The statement of a corporate secretary for a major news network confirming the existence
14 of a one-page inter-office memo, bearing the markings "confidential" and "not for
15 dissemination," addressed and distributed to news anchors and on-air talent" that
16 specifically instructed the recipients to avoid any discussion pertaining to the Obama
17 birth certificate controversy. The memo was written and distributed in October 2008, and
18 specifically instructed on-air talent to "advise guests, as necessary, to refrain from citing
19 any news story, legal proceedings, Internet 'blogs' or other sources that pertain to the
20 ongoing eligibility controversy of future President Barack Obama."

21 As outlined above, our Investigation has uncovered both direct and indirect evidence of
22 threats being made against some of the nation's top radio and television personalities,
23 which would explain some giving this topic mere lip service. As one source interviewed
24 during the course of this investigation stated, "I've got a career and family to think
25 about."

26 Although no one should be surprised over the manipulation of the news, the nature of this
manipulation, and the extent of the threats against journalists, should shock even the most
well grounded.

Any reasonable person must question the motivation of the media moguls. The
individuals who have – and continue to threaten talk show hosts, news anchors, and
others are the top people. We are not talking about mid or upper level management – this
is from the very top in all cases. If there is nothing to the birth certificate issue and the
question of eligibility, why the secrecy?

Read: Does 'King of the World' need a birth certificate?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

(83) [Reader Feedback](#) | [Subscribe](#)

Douglas Hagmann & Judi McLeod Most recent columns

Copyright © Canada Free Press

Douglas Hagmann, founder & director of the Northeast Intelligence Network, and a multi-state licensed private investigative agency. Doug began using his investigative skills and training to fight terrorism and increase public awareness through his website.

Older articles by Doug Hagmann

Judi McLeod is an award-winning journalist with 30 years experience in the print media. A former Toronto Sun columnist, she also worked for the Kingston Whig Standard. Her work has appeared on Rush Limbaugh, Newsmax.com, Drudge Report, Foxnews.com, and Glenn Beck.

Judi and Doug can be reached at: judi@canadafreepress.com

Older articles by Judi McLeod

Printed from: <http://www.canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/13373>

1.0 PARTIES

1
2 1.1 Plaintiffs are American citizens and registered voters whose First
3 Amendments rights to cast ballots in a national election without impermissible barriers
4 have been deprived by the actions of the Defendants.

5 1.2 Defendants are all resident in or do business in the District of Columbia.
6 Many of the acts complained of herein occurred in the District of Columbia.

7 1.3 Defendant BARRY SOETORO is believed to be an Indonesian citizen
8 who has operated under the assumed names BARRY OBAMA, BARACK OBAMA,
9 BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA, and BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II without benefit of
10 a legally recognized name change.
11

12 1.4 Defendant NANCY PELOSI, in her authority within the Democrat
13 National Committee, is the duly authorized representative of the DNC who certified
14 defendant BARACK OBAMA for nomination for the Presidency of the United States.
15

16 1.5 Defendant HOWARD DEAN, in his authority within the Democrat
17 National Committee, is the duly authorized representative of the DNC who certified
18 defendant BARACK OBAMA for nomination for the Presidency of the United States.

19 1.6 Defendants JOHN DOES and JANE DOES are members of the media and
20 press organizations who have published writings within the jurisdiction of this court,
21 which have alleged that there is no controversy concerning the citizenship of defendant
22 BARRY SOETORO, that his site of his birth has been conclusively established, and that
23 there is no controversy concerning his eligibility to hold the office of POTUS pursuant to
24 Article II, Section I, paragraph 5 of the United States Constitution.
25
26

2.0 INTRODUCTION

1
2 In 1967, a young man born Barack Hussein Obama II, was adopted by his step-
3 father Lolo Soetoro, when the family moved to Jakarta Indonesia. Pursuant to this
4 adoption, he was legally renamed Barry Soetoro, his citizenship was declared as
5 Indonesian so he could attend school, and his religion was declared to be Islam. See
6 Exhibit 1. The divorce decree between Ann Soetoro and Lolo Soetoro of 1980 further
7 confirms that Barry Soetoro, age 19 at the time of the divorce, was the legal child of his
8 adoptive father, Lolo Soetoro. See Exhibit 2.
9

10 Barry Soetoro moved to Hawaii to live with his maternal grandmother in 1971,
11 when he was 10 years old. It is believed that this is when Barry Soetoro first reassumed
12 some form of his birth name, Barack or Barry Obama. Nonetheless, in 1981, when he
13 was twenty years old, he traveled to Indonesia, Pakistan, India and Kenya on a passport
14 that was not an American passport, exercising his foreign citizenship after his 18th
15 birthday. There is no record and no claim by any party that Barry Soetoro affirmed US
16 Citizenship by means of an oath before a magistrate after his eighteenth birthday.
17

18 Soetoro completed two years at Occidental College in California, before
19 transferring to Columbia, where he allegedly completed his undergraduate degree.
20 Soetoro is believed to have entered Columbia under the assumed name Barack Obama.
21 Soetoro is alleged to have gone on to Harvard Law School, received a law degree and
22 returned to Chicago to act as a community organizer with organizations such as ACORN.
23

24 Soetoro joined the Illinois Bar Association as Barack Obama, and claimed under
25 oath that he had never been known by any other name. He later ran as a candidate for
26

1 state senate under an umbrella party (the New Party) of the Socialist Workers' Party in
2 1996. Soetoro was elected to the Illinois Senate in 1997 under the name Barack Obama,
3 and held the post until 2004, when he was elected to the US Senate under the same name.

4 Soetoro began running for the Presidency of the United States in 2007 under the
5 assumed name Barack Obama, until he was inaugurated, when he assumed the name
6 Barack Hussein Obama (still not his birth name) for purposes of holding the Presidency.

7 At all material times in his political career, Soetoro has held himself out under
8 assumed names, none of which were his birth name or his legal name.

9
10 Soetoro was born to British National father named Barack Hussein Obama on
11 August 4, 1961, and an American mother named Stanley Ann Dunham. The site of his
12 birth has not been established. In 1964, Kenya became a sovereign nation, and his
13 father's nationality became Kenyan. Soetoro has admitted that he believed he held
14 Kenyan citizenship until 1982, although his Indonesian citizenship likely destroyed his
15 Kenyan/British citizenship. There is no evidence that Soetoro reaffirmed his British
16 citizenship by oath following his 18th birthday, and there is no evidence that Soetoro
17 reaffirmed his Kenyan citizenship following his 18th birthday, although he did exercise
18 some foreign citizenship when he travelled to Pakistan in 1981. The nationality of his
19 passport is unknown at this time.
20

21 The only eye-witness to the birth of Soetoro is his paternal grandmother, Sara
22 Obama, who allegedly stated that she witnessed his birth in Coast Hospital in Mombasa,
23 Kenya. See Exhibit 3. To date, there are no witnesses to Soetoro's birth in Honolulu,
24 Hawaii – no OB-GYN, no mid-wife, no nurse, no hospital, no neighbor, no acquaintance,
25
26

1 no one. There are no witnesses to Ann Obama being in Hawaii from the period of
2 February 1961 to January 1963.

3 If Soetoro was born in Kenya as alleged, Soetoro's mother was incapable of
4 passing citizenship to Soetoro because of her age and because of the immigration laws in
5 place at that time. Soetoro would be unable to obtain citizenship by means of a simple
6 oath following his Indonesian citizenship; instead, Soetoro would be required to apply for
7 entry and citizenship like millions of others around the world seeking entry into the US.
8

9 Notwithstanding his British, Kenyan and Indonesian citizenships, his father's
10 citizenship alone is sufficient to disqualify Soetoro from the Presidency under Article II,
11 Section I, paragraph 5 of the U.S. Constitution.

12 Instead of being forthcoming, Soetoro has obfuscated, hidden, and spent over \$1
13 million to keep his birth and schooling records a secret.

14 Pelosi and Reed certified to the state of Arizona that Soetoro was eligible to hold
15 the office of POTUS, and certified to the remaining fifty states that Soetoro was duly
16 nominated to be the Democrat candidate to the office of the Presidency.
17

18 John Does and Jane Does 1-2 are members of the media and press organizations
19 who were informed of the controversy surrounding the birth site of Soetoro and the
20 controversy surrounding his eligibility for the office of the Presidency because of his
21 failure to meet natural born citizen status, and elected to cover-up and defend Soetoro
22 rather than report the facts underlying the controversy.
23
24
25
26

1 Plaintiffs are asking this court to convene a criminal grand jury to review and
2 examine the facts alleged herein and make a determination as to whether probable cause
3 exists to issue indictments against some or all of the defendants.

4 3.0 STANDING

5 The denial of standing by the courts in this country on claims against usurpers of
6 federal office is in itself an impermissible barrier to free speech which violates the First
7 Amendment right to free association and the right to bring a petition for a redress of
8 grievances. See *NAACP v. Alabama*, 357 U.S. 449 (1958); see also *Buckley v. Valeo*, 424
9 U.S. 1 (1976).
10

11 When Congress and the media have become so corrupted that they seek to
12 apologize for a candidate who has usurped an office, the people have no recourse other
13 than the courts to bring their redress of grievances. Plaintiffs have exhausted all remedies
14 prior to bringing this action. This court is that last bastion of redress available to them.
15

16 4.0 APPOINTMENT OF GRAND JURY AS A REMEDY

17 The exercise of the Fifth Amendment to appoint grand juries has long been
18 recognized by the federal courts including the Supreme Court of the United States in
19 cases as recent as *United States v. Williams*, 504 U.S. 36 at 48 (1992), when the court
20 said the following:

21 '[R]ooted in long centuries of Anglo-American history, *Hannah v. Larche*,
22 363 U.S. 420, 490 (1960) (Frankfurter, J., concurring in result), the grand jury is
23 mentioned in the Bill of Rights, but not in the body of the Constitution. It has not
24 been textually assigned, therefore, to any of the branches described in the first
25
26

1 three Articles. It "is a constitutional fixture in its own right." *United States v.*
2 *Chanen*, 549 F.2d 1306, 1312 (CA9 1977) (quoting *Nixon v. Sirica*, 159 U.S.
3 App. D.C. 58, 70, n. 54, 487 F.2d 700, 712, n. 54 (1973)), *cert. denied*, 434 U.S.
4 825 (1977). ' "

5 "The grand jury is an institution separate from the courts, over whose
6 functioning the courts do not preside." *Id.*

7 "In fact, the whole theory of its function is that it belongs to no branch of
8 the institutional Government, serving as a kind of buffer or referee between the
9 Government and the people. See *Stirone v. United States*, 361 U.S. 212, 218
10 (1960); *Hale v. Henkel*, 201 U.S. 43, 61 (1906); G. Edwards, *The Grand Jury* 28-
11 32 (1906). Although the grand jury normally operates, of course, in the
12 courthouse and under judicial auspices, its institutional relationship with the
13 Judicial Branch has traditionally been, so to speak, at arm's length. Judges' direct
14 involvement in the functioning of the grand jury has generally been confined to
15 the constitutive one of calling the grand jurors together and administering their
16 oaths of office. *United States v. Williams*, 504 U.S. 36 at 48 (1992). Also see
17 *United States v. Calandra*, 414 U.S. 338, 343 (1974); Fed.Rule Crim.Proc. 6(a).
18 [504 U.S. 36, 48].

19 "The institution of the grand jury is deeply rooted in Anglo-American
20 history. In England, the grand jury served for centuries both as a body of accusers
21 sworn to discover and present for trial persons suspected of criminal wrongdoing
22 and as a protector of citizens against arbitrary and oppressive governmental
23
24
25
26

1 action. In this country, the Founders thought the grand jury so essential to basic
2 liberties that they provided in the Fifth Amendment that federal prosecution for
3 serious crimes can only be instituted by 'a presentment or indictment of a Grand
4 Jury.' *United States v. Calandra*, 414 U.S. 338, 343 (1974), Cf. *Costello v. United*
5 *States*, 350 U.S. 359, 361-362 (1956). The grand jury's historic functions survive
6 to this day. Its responsibilities continue to include both the determination whether
7 there is probable cause to believe a crime has been committed and the protection
8 of citizens against unfounded criminal prosecutions. *Branzburg v. Hayes*, 408
9 U.S. 665, 686-687 (1972)."

11 **4.1 Authority of the Citizens' Grand Jury to Bring Presentment**

12 **HISTORY OF FEDERAL GRAND JURY POWER**

13 "In addition to its traditional role of screening criminal cases for prosecution,
14 common law grand juries had the power to exclude prosecutors from their presence at
15 any time and to investigate public officials without governmental influence. These
16 fundamental powers allowed grand juries to serve a vital function of oversight upon the
17 government. The function of a grand jury to ferret out government corruption was the
18 primary purpose of the grand jury system in ages past." CREIGHTON LAW REVIEW,
19 Vol. 33, No. 4 1999-2000, 821, IF IT'S NOT A RUNAWAY, IT'S NOT A REAL
20 GRAND JURY by Roger Roots, J.D.

22 The Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution provides in operative part
23 that "No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless
24 on a presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury."
25

1 In the newsletter of the American Jury Institute and the Fully Informed Jury
2 Association, citing the famed American jurist, Joseph Story, the difference between and
3 indictment issued by a grand jury and a presentment was explained as follows:

4 "An indictment is a written accusation of an offence preferred to, and presented,
5 upon oath, as true, by a grand jury, at the suit of the government. An indictment is framed
6 by the officers of the government, and laid before the grand jury. Presentments, on the
7 other hand, are the result of a jury's independent action: 'A presentment, properly
8 speaking, is an accusation, made by a grand jury of its own mere motion, of an offence
9 upon its own observation and knowledge, or upon evidence before it, and without any bill
10 of indictment laid before it at the suit of the government. Upon a presentment, the proper
11 officer of the court must frame an indictment, before the party accused can be put to
12 answer it.' "

14 "A 'runaway' grand jury, loosely defined as a grand jury which resists the
15 accusatory choices of a government prosecutor, has improperly been eliminated by
16 modern criminal procedure. Today's "runaway" grand jury is in fact the common law
17 grand jury of the past. Prior to the emergence of governmental prosecution as the
18 standard model of American criminal justice, all grand juries were in fact "runaways,"
19 according to the definition of modern times; they operated as completely independent,
20 self-directing bodies of inquisitors, with power to pursue unlawful conduct to its very
21 source, including the government itself." Creighton Law Review, *op. cit.*
22

24 The Constitution intended to give the grand jury power to instigate
25 criminal charges, and this was especially true when it came to government
26

1 oversight. This power has been eroded by the overreach of the legislative branch.
2 The Fifth Amendment to the Constitution still contains the same words quoted
3 above, but if you sit on a grand jury and return a "presentment" today, some
4 legislatures have required that a prosecutor or other officer of the court sign it or it
5 may not be allowed to stand in court, rendering the criminal charges such
6 independent grand juries have brought to the court's attention to be swept away.
7 And the reason for this can be found in a legislative distortion of the actual
8 underlying facts.
9

10 In 1946, the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure were adopted, codifying
11 what had previously been a vastly divergent set of common law procedural rules
12 and regional customs. In general, an effort was made to conform the rules to the
13 contemporary state of federal criminal practice. In the area of federal grand jury
14 practice, however, a remarkable exception was allowed. The drafters of Rules 6
15 and 7, which loosely govern federal grand juries, denied future generations of
16 what had been the well-recognized powers of common law grand juries: powers
17 of unrestrained investigation and of independent declaration of findings. The
18 committee that drafted the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure provided no
19 outlet for any document other than a prosecutor-signed indictment. In so doing,
20 the drafters at least tacitly, if not affirmatively, opted to ignore explicit
21 constitutional language. Creighton Law Review, *op. cit.*
22
23

24 Rule 7 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure (FRCP):
25
26

1 "An offense which may be punished by death shall be prosecuted by
2 indictment. An offense which may be punished by imprisonment for a term
3 exceeding one year or at hard labor shall be prosecuted by indictment."

4 There is no mention of "presentments" in Rule 7. But they are mentioned in Note
5 4 of the Advisory Committee Notes on the Rules:

6 4. Presentment is not included as an additional type of formal accusation,
7 since presentments as a method of instituting prosecutions are obsolete, at least as
8 concerns the Federal courts.
9

10 The American Juror published the following commentary with regards to Note 4:

11 [W]hile the writers of the federal rules made provisions for indictments,
12 they made none for presentments. This was no oversight. According to Professor
13 Lester B. Orfield, a member of the Advisory Committee on Rules of Criminal
14 Procedure, the drafters of Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure Rule 6 decided the
15 term presentment should not be used, even though it appears in the Constitution.
16 Orfield states [22 F.R.D. 343, 346]:

17
18 'There was an annotation by the Reporter on the term presentment as used
19 in the Fifth Amendment. It was his conclusion that the term should not be used in
20 the new rules of criminal procedure. Retention might encourage the use of the
21 run-away grand jury as the grand jury could act from their own knowledge or
22 observation and not only from charges made by the United States attorney. It has
23 become the practice for the United States Attorney to attend grand jury hearings,
24 hence the use of presentments have been abandoned.'
25
26

1 The statement "Retention might encourage the grand jury [to] act from their own
2 knowledge or observation" is a usurpation of Constitutional power expressly granted to
3 the citizens of this nation under both the Fifth and Tenth Amendments to the United
4 States Constitution, power that was intended by the founding fathers, in their incredible
5 wisdom, to provide citizens with oversight over tyrannical government. These due
6 process rights are the preferred mechanism of the constitutional republic for the
7 combating of tyranny, and there is no time more pressing than our current estate for the
8 exercise of these rights.
9

10 The state, seeking to further alienate the exercise of powers expressly given to
11 citizens in this country, then went out to brand such an exercise as a "runaway grand
12 jury." A runaway grand jury is nothing more and nothing less than a constitutionally
13 mandated grand jury, aware of their power, and legally exercising that power to hold the
14 federal beast in check, as in "checks and balances." As a result of this statist tactic to
15 improperly disempower the Fifth Amendment, the grand jury has relegated to the
16 position of submissive puppet to the US Attorney.
17

18 The American Juror publication *American Juror* went on to say in a very relevant
19 commentary:

20 Of course, no statute or rule can alter the provisions of the Constitution,
21 since it is the supreme law of the land. But that didn't prevent the federal courts
22 from publishing a body of case law affirming the fallacy that presentments were
23 abolished. A particularly egregious example:
24
25
26

1 'A rule that would permit anyone to communicate with a grand jury
2 without the supervision or screening of the prosecutor or the court would
3 compromise, if not utterly subvert, both of the historic functions of the grand jury,
4 for it would facilitate the pursuit of vendettas and the gratification of private
5 malice. A rule that would open the grand jury to the public without judicial or
6 prosecutorial intervention is an invitation to anyone interested in trying to
7 persuade a majority of the grand jury, by hook or by crook, to conduct
8 investigations that a prosecutor has determined to be inappropriate or unavailing.'

9
10 As a result, the investigation of seditious, corrupt, or illegal acts of government
11 officials can be deemed inappropriate or unavailing by the prosecutor, or a judge can
12 dismiss the grand jurors pursuing such investigations. Consequently, corrupt government
13 officials have few natural enemies and go about their business unimpeded. To further
14 authorize this unconstitutional impediment to the exercise of Fifth Amendment rights of a
15 grand jury to issue a presentment, FRCP authors in 1946 added the following Rule 6(g):

16
17 "At any time for cause shown the court may excuse a juror either
18 temporarily or permanently, and in the latter event the court may impanel another
19 person in place of the juror excused." Now judges can throw anyone off a grand
20 jury, or even disimpanel a grand jury entirely, merely for exercising its discretion.

21 The authors of the new Federal Rules did not, however, render common law use
22 presentments illegal or unconstitutional – they merely attempted to render them obsolete.

23 Note 4 to Rule 7 of the FRCP provides as follows:
24
25
26

1 "4. Presentment is not included as an additional type of formal accusation, since
2 *presentments as a method of instituting prosecutions are obsolete*, at least as
3 concerns the Federal courts."

4 The key word is, "obsolete." Obsolete means "outmoded," or "not in use
5 anymore", but it does not mean "abolished" or "illegal." What was once obsolete in the
6 minds of the modernists in 1946 is now both appropriate and relevant.

7 The Constitution provides for "presentments." The Federal Rules of Civil
8 Procedure as they were enacted do not mention presentments, nor do they ban
9 presentments, and if they did, such a ban would be unconstitutional, since an
10 administrative enactment regarding procedure cannot overrule the Constitution. Note 4
11 simply states that "presentments" allowed for in the 5th Amendment of the Constitution
12 have become "obsolete", or outmoded, which is not to say that they were "eliminated."
13 Nothing can be "eliminated" from the Constitution by an administrative note.
14

15 Further, plaintiffs have no alternative except for a grand jury. The Department of
16 Justice, the organization empowered under the executive branch to investigate and bring
17 actions to determine whether crimes have been committed under federal law, is currently
18 headed by a man appointed to the position by the alleged usurper of the office of the
19 Presidency. It is therefore futile to ask Attorney General Holder to prosecute his mentor.
20

21 **5.0 JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

22 Jurisdiction is proper under Article III, Section 2, which provides in operative part
23 that "[t]he judicial Power shall extend to all Cases, in Law and Equity, arising under this
24

1 Constitution, the Laws of the United States,” as further iterated pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. §
2 1331.

3 This complaint arises under rights exercised pursuant to the First Amendment, the
4 Fifth Amendment and Tenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

5 Personal jurisdiction over the defendants is properly found in this court, since the
6 defendants are resident in the District of Columbia, or have perpetrated acts within the
7 jurisdiction of this court in the District of Columbia D.C. Venue is therefore proper in
8 the United States District Court for the District of Columbia.
9

10 **6.0 STATEMENT OF APPLICABLE FACTS**

11 The Territorial Public Health Statistics Act in the 1955 Revised Laws of Hawaii

12 On October 31, 2008, Dr. Chiyome Fukino, the Director of the Hawaii
13 Department of Health released the following statement:

14 There have been numerous requests for Sen. Barack Hussein Obama’s official
15 birth certificate. State law (Hawai’i Revised Statutes §338-18) prohibits the
16 release of a certified birth certificate to persons who do not have a tangible
17 interest in the vital record. Therefore, I as Director of Health for the State of
18 Hawai’i, along with the Registrar of Vital Statistics who has statutory authority to
19 oversee and maintain these type of vital records, have personally seen and verified
20 that the Hawai’i State Department of Health has Sen. Obama’s original birth
21 certificate on record in accordance with state policies and procedures.

22 Here is a summary of Hawaii’s “state policies and procedures” in 1961.

23 In the State of Hawaii, back in 1961, there were four different ways to get an
24 “original birth certificate” on record. They varied greatly in their reliability as evidence.
25 For convenience, they are labeled BC1, BC2, BC3, and BC4.

26 **BC1.** If the birth was attended by a physician or mid wife, the attending medical
professional was required to certify to the Department of Health the facts of the birth

1 date, location, parents' identities and other information. (See Section 57-8 & 9 of the
 2 Territorial Public Health Statistics Act in the 1955 Revised Laws of Hawaii which was in
 3 effect in 1961).

4 Below is a true and accurate facsimile of a "long form" birth certificate used by
 5 the State of Hawaii in 1961, carefully denoted as a "Certificate of Live Birth." This
 6 language is extremely important, when compared with the fraudulent document released
 7 by Soetoro, the Daily Kos and fightthesmears.org.
 8

9

STATE OF HAWAII		CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH		DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH	
10 1a. Child's First Name (Print or print)		1b. Middle Name		1c. Last Name	
11 2. Sex <input type="checkbox"/> Male <input type="checkbox"/> Female		3. If Date of Birth is Unknown, Give Child's Age		4. Date of Birth	
12 5a. Place of Birth: City, Town or Rural Location		5b. Island		6. Name of Hospital or Institution (If not in hospital or institution, give street address)	
13 7a. Usual Residence of Mother: City, Town or Rural Location		7b. Island		7c. Place of Birth: Inside City or Town Limits? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If not, give judicial district	
14 8. Mother's Address		9. In Honolulu Inside City or Town Limits? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		10. In Honolulu as a Part of Population? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
15 11. Full Name of Father		12. Age of Father (If Birthplace is not in Honolulu, give State)		13. Type of Occupation (Usual Home Working Pregnancy)	
16 14. Full Name of Mother		15. Age of Mother (If Birthplace is not in Honolulu, give State)		16. Type of Occupation (Usual Home Working Pregnancy)	
17 17. Signature of Person or Other Official		18. Signature of Registrar		19. Date of Signature	
18 20. Date Assigned to Local Reg. No.		21. Evidence for Deferred Filing or Amendment		22. Date Assigned by Reg. General	

19

20

21

22

23 Actual long form Certificate of Live Birth similar to one Obama refuses to release

24 BC2. In 1961, if a person was born in Hawaii but not attended by a physician or
 25 midwife, then all that was required was that one of the parents send in a birth certificate
 26

1 to be filed. The birth certificate could be filed by mail. There appears to have been no
2 requirement for the parent to actually physically appear before “the local registrar of the
3 district.” It would have been very easy for a relative to forge an absent parent’s signature
4 to a form and mail it in. In addition, if a claim was made that “neither parent of the
5 newborn child whose birth is unattended as above provided is able to prepare a birth
6 certificate, the local registrar shall secure the necessary information from any person
7 having knowledge of the birth and prepare and file the certificate.” (Section 57-
8 8&9) The Hawaiian Department of Health currently (as of 2008) requires only proof of
9 residency to back up a parent’s claim that a child was born in Hawaii, such as a driver’s
10 license. Ann Dunham had acquired a driver’s license by the summer of 1961 at the age
11 of 17. The state of Hawaii would also accept a telephone bill, a pre-natal (statement or
12 report that a woman was pregnant) and a post-natal (statement or report that a new-born
13 baby has been examined) certification by a physician, although pre-natal and post-natal
14 certifications had probably not been in force in the 1960s. There is and was no
15 requirement for a physician or midwife to witness, state or report that the baby was born
16 in Hawaii.
17
18

19 **BC3.** In 1961, if a person was born in Hawaii but not attended by a physician or
20 midwife, then, up to the first birthday of the child, a “Delayed Certificate” could be filed,
21 which required that “a summary statement of the evidence submitted in support of the
22 acceptance for delayed filing or the alteration [of a file] shall be endorsed on the
23 certificates”, which “evidence shall be kept in a special permanent file.” The statute
24 provided that “the probative value of a ‘delayed’ or ‘altered’ certificate shall be
25
26

1 determined by the judicial or administrative body or **official** before whom the certificate
2 is offered as evidence.” (See Section 57- 9, 18, 19 & 20 of the Territorial Public Health
3 Statistics Act in the 1955 Revised Laws of Hawaii which was in effect in 1961).”

4 This form of vault birth certificate, the Delayed Certificate, required no more than a
5 statement before a government bureaucrat by one of the parents or one of Soetoro’s
6 grandparents. Ann Dunham did not have to be present for this statement or even in the
7 country.

8
9 **BC4.** If a child is born in Hawaii, for whom no physician or mid wife filed a
10 certificate of live birth, and for whom no Delayed Certificate was filed before the first
11 birthday, then a Certificate of Hawaiian Birth could be issued upon testimony of an adult
12 **(including the subject person [i.e. the birth child as an adult])** if the Office of the
13 Lieutenant Governor was satisfied that a person was born in Hawaii, provided that the
14 person had attained the age of one year. (See Section 57-40 of the Territorial Public
15 Health Statistics Act in the 1955 Revised Laws of Hawaii which was in effect in 1961.)
16 In 1955 the “secretary of the Territory” was in charge of this procedure. In 1960 it was
17 transferred to the Office of the Lieutenant Governor (“the lieutenant governor, or his
18 secretary, **or such other person as he may designate or appoint from his office**” §338-
19 41 [in 1961]).

20
21 ///


22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26



Certification of Live Birth, released by Obama

In 1982, the vital records law was amended to create a fifth kind of “original birth certificate.” Under Act 182 H.B. NO. 3016-82, “Upon application of an adult or the legal parents of a minor child, the director of health shall issue a birth certificate for such adult or minor, provided that the proof has been submitted to the director of health that the legal parents of such individual while living without the Territory or State of Hawaii had declared the Territory or State of Hawaii as their legal residence for at least one year immediately preceding the birth or adoption of such child.” In this way “state policies and procedures” accommodate even “children born out of State” (this is the actual language of Act 182) with an “original birth certificate on record.” So it is even possible that the birth certificate referred to by Dr Fukino is of the kind specified in Act 182. This possibility cannot be dismissed because such a certificate certainly satisfies Dr Fukino’s

1 statement that "I as Director of Health for the State of Hawai'i, along with the Registrar
2 of Vital Statistics who has statutory authority to oversee and maintain these type of vital
3 records, have personally seen and verified that the Hawai'i State Department of Health
4 has Sen. Obama's original birth certificate on record in accordance with state policies and
5 procedures."

6 Soetoro has admitted that he was a Kenyan citizen until 1982, and it is possible
7 that he believes that he achieved citizenship when he secured a BC5 in 1982 under the
8 assumed name Barack Hussein Obama II. It is noteworthy that this Certification of Live
9 Birth (not a Certificate of Live Birth) has the conspicuous statement at the bottom: ANY
10 ALTERATIONS INVALIDATES THIS CERTIFICATE, and that the Certification has
11 been altered, as the number has been blacked out. This document, together with a
12 newspaper clipping from 1961, were the only two pieces of evidence provided by Soetoro
13 to buttress his claims of an American birth, prior to the release of another and yet another
14 Certification of Live Birth that surfaced on the internet following Polarik's forensic audit
15 which declared this particular certification to be a forgery.

16
17
18 Sections 57-8, 9, 18, 19, 20 & 40 of the Territorial Public Health Statistics Act
19 may explain why Barack Obama has refused to release the original vault birth certificate.
20 If the original certificate were the standard BC1 type of birth certificate, he would have
21 allowed its release and brought the controversy to a quick end. But if the original
22 certificate is of the other kinds, then Obama would have a very good reason not to release
23 the vault birth certificate. For if he did, then the tape recording of Obama's Kenyan
24 grandmother asserting that she was present at his birth in Kenya becomes far more
25
26

1 important. As does the Kenyan ambassador's assertion that Barack Obama was born in
2 Kenya, as well as the sealing of all government and hospital records relevant to Obama
3 by the Kenyan government. And the fact that though there are many witnesses to Ann
4 Dunham's presence on Oahu from Sept 1960 to Feb 1961, there are no witnesses to her
5 being on Oahu from March 1961 to August 1962 when she returned from Seattle and the
6 University of Washington. No Hawaiian physicians, nurses, or midwives have come
7 forward with any recollection of Barack Obama's birth.
8

9 The fact that Obama refuses to release the vault birth certificate that would
10 instantly clear up this matter indicates that the vault birth certificate is probably a BC2 or
11 possibly a BC3.

12 It is almost certainly a BC 3 or even a BC 4 if the "Certification of Live Birth"
13 posted on the Daily Kos blog and the fightthesmears.com website by the Obama
14 campaign is a forgery. Ron Polarik has made what several experts claim to be a cogent
15 case that it is a forgery. See Exhibit 5.
16

17 Here are 2 of Polarik's websites: <http://bogusbirthcertificate.blogspot.com/>
18 <http://bogusbithcertificate.blogspot.com/>

19 However, the likelihood that this computer-generated "Certification of Live
20 Birth" was forged, is, I believe, increased by the fact that it has been pretty clearly
21 established that Obama "either didn't register for the draft or did so belatedly and
22 fraudulently. The documents indicate that it's one or the other."
23

24 <http://www.debbieschlussel.com/archives/004431print.html> The forgery of Obama's
25 selective service registration was necessary, because according to Federal law, "A man
26

1 must be registered to be eligible for jobs in the Executive Branch of the Federal
2 government and the U.S. Postal Service. This applies only to men born after December
3 31, 1959.” <http://usmilitary.about.com/cs/wars/a/draft2.htm>)

4 Dr Fukino’s statement in no way attested to (or even addressed the issue of) the
5 authenticity of the “Certification of Live Birth” (and the information that appears on it)
6 that the Daily Kos blog and the Obama campaign posted on line. Dr Fukino merely
7 stated that “I as Director of Health for the State of Hawai‘i, along with the Registrar of
8 Vital Statistics who has statutory authority to oversee and maintain these type of vital
9 records, have personally seen and verified that the Hawai‘i State Department of Health
10 has Sen. Obama’s original birth certificate on record in accordance with state policies and
11 procedures.”

13 If there is no hospital or physician record in the vault birth certificate, then he
14 wasn’t born in a hospital in Hawaii. And a home birth or non-hospital birth can then be
15 ruled out for the following reason.

17 When someone has a home birth or is not born in a hospital, this becomes a part
18 of his family’s lore and is now and again spoken of by his parents. He and his siblings
19 grow up knowing that he was born at home or his uncle’s house, etc. The fact that
20 someone in the campaign told a Washington Post reporter that he was born in Kapioliani
21 hospital and his sister said he was born at Queens hospital indicates that there was not
22 and is not any Obama/Dunham family memory of a home birth or non-hospital birth in
23 Hawaii. And if there is no hospital record in the original vault birth certificate, then he
24 was not born in a hospital in Hawaii.

1 Instead of the birth certificate on file at the Hawaii Dept of Health, the Obama
2 campaign posted on the Daily Kos blog and the Fighthesmears website a “Certification
3 of Live Birth”. The Certification of Live Birth is not a copy of the original birth
4 certificate. It is a computer-generated document that the state of Hawaii issues on request
5 to indicate that a birth certificate of some type is “on record in accordance with state
6 policies and procedures”. And there is the problem. Given the statutes in force in 1961,
7 the Certification of Live Birth proves nothing unless we know what is on the original
8 birth certificate. There are several legal areas (involving ethnic quotas and subsidy) for
9 which the state of Hawaii up until June 2009 did not accept its computer-generated
10 Certification of Live Birth as sufficient proof of birth in Hawaii or parentage. Why
11 should the citizens of the United States be content with lower standards for ascertaining
12 the qualifications of their President?
13

14 If you combine an awareness of what the Certification of Live Birth posted on the
15 internet really is with 1) a knowledge of the relevant statutes in 1961 and 2) Obama’s
16 stubborn refusal to permit the release of the real birth certificate and his determination to
17 fight any legal actions that would compel him to do so, it becomes clear that there is no
18 logical explanation for Obama’s refusal without taking into consideration the relevant
19 statutes. Then his behavior becomes clear. The Territorial Public Health Statistics Act in
20 the 1955 Revised Laws of Hawaii is the missing piece of the puzzle.
21

22 Most people think of a birth certificate as a statement by a hospital or midwife
23 with a footprint, etc. (That may be why some main-stream journalists have straight out
24 lied about this. Jonathan Alter, senior editor at Newsweek magazine, for example, told
25
26

1 Keith Olbermann on MSNBC on Feb 20, 2009 that “They [the Republicans] are a party
2 that is out of ideas so they have to resort to these lies about the fact that he’s not a citizen.
3 This came up during the campaign, Keith. **The Obama campaign actually posted his**
4 **birth certificate from a Hawaii hospital online.”** But it is Alter who resorted to lying
5 to the American people on television. “The Obama campaign” never “actually posted his
6 birth certificate from a **Hawaii hospital online.”** On July 17, 2009 CNN’s Kitty Pilgrim
7 lied when she stated that the Obama campaign had produced “the **original birth**
8 **certificate”** on the internet and that FactCheck.org had examined the original birth
9 certificate; whether it was forged or not, the Certification of Live Birth that was posted by
10 the campaign and FactCheck.org is not, and by definition, cannot be the original birth
11 certificate or a copy of the original birth certificate. There were no computer generated
12 Certifications of Live Birth in 1961, the year Obama was born. Obama’s original birth
13 certificate (whether it was filed in 1961 or later) was a very different document from the
14 Certification of Live Birth on FactCheck.org. On the FactCheck.org web site, the claim
15 is made that “FactCheck.org staffers have now seen, touched, examined and
16 photographed the original birth certificate.” So FactCheck.org is lying about this as well.

19 FactCheck.org gets its prestige from a reputation for objectivity. Why would
20 those who run this site choose to tell so obvious a lie and so endanger the site’s
21 reputation? The answer is in the date of the posting, August 21, 2008. It was in mid-
22 August that questions about the Certification of Live Birth began to reach a critical mass
23 and threaten to enter the public discourse. The mostly pro-Obama television and
24 newspaper/magazine media had to be given an excuse and cover for their collective
25

1 decision to dismiss or ignore the substantial questions about whether Obama met the
2 qualifications for the office set forth in Article II section I of the Constitution. And those
3 reporters and editors who were not in the tank for Obama had to be deceived. After
4 Labor Day the swing voters would begin to pay attention to the Presidential campaign.
5 The truth had to be killed. And with its lie about “how it examined and photographed **the**
6 **original birth certificate**“, FactCheck.org killed it.)

7
8 Most people would not consider a mailed-in form by one of his parents (who
9 could have been out of the country or whose signature could have been forged by a
10 grandparent) or a sworn statement by one of his grandparents or by his mother or even a
11 sworn statement by himself many years later to be sufficient evidence (when set next to
12 the statements by his paternal grandmother and the Kenyan ambassador that he was born
13 in another country). Unless the American people are shown the original birth certificate,
14 all of these are possibilities. And if Obama refuses to allow the state of Hawaii to release
15 the original birth certificate, it begins to look like he was not born in a Hawaii hospital or
16 at home with the assistance of a doctor or midwife. A reasonable person would
17 acknowledge that there are serious reasons to doubt that Barack Obama was born in the
18 United States. This is especially true because, if Obama was born in a foreign country,
19 his family had a compelling reason to lie about it.

20
21 In 1961 if a 17 year old American girl gave birth in a foreign country to a child
22 whose father was not an American citizen, that child had **no** right to any American
23 citizenship, let alone the “natural born” citizenship that qualifies someone for the
24 Presidency under Article II, Section 1 of the Constitution.
25
26

1 In 1961, the year that Barack Obama was born, under Sec. 301 (a) of the
2 Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952, Ann Dunham could not transmit citizenship of
3 any kind to Barack Obama. “ 7 FAM 1133.2-2 Original Provisions and Amendments to
4 Section 301 (CT:CON-204; 11-01-2007) “a. Section 301 as Effective on December 24,
5 1952: When enacted in 1952, section 301 required a U.S. citizen married to an alien to
6 have been physically present in the United States for ten years, including five after
7 reaching the age of fourteen, to transmit citizenship to foreign-born children. The ten-
8 year transmission requirement remained in effect from 12:01 a.m. EDT December 24,
9 1952, through midnight November 13, 1986, and still is applicable to persons born during
10 that period.
11

12 “As originally enacted, section 301(a)(7) stated: Section 301. (a) The following
13 shall be nationals and citizens of the United States at birth: (7) a person born outside the
14 geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of
15 whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of
16 such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a
17 period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after
18 attaining the age of fourteen years: Provided, That any periods of honorable service in the
19 Armed Forces of the United States by such citizen parent may be included in computing
20 the physical presence requirements of this paragraph.”
21

22 The Immigration and Nationality Corrections Act (Public Law 103-416) on
23 October 25, 1994 revised this law to accommodate “a person born outside the
24 geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of
25
26

1 whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of
2 such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a
3 period or periods totaling not less than five years, at least two of which were after
4 attaining the age of fourteen years”.

5 But in 1961, if Barack Obama had been born outside of the country, the Dunham
6 family had no way of knowing that in 1994 Congress would pass a law that would
7 retroactively make him a citizen. At that time, the only way to get citizenship for him
8 would be to take advantage of one of the loopholes in the Territorial Public Health
9 Statistics Act.
10

11 People can debate the meaning of the term “natural-born citizen” as long as they
12 like but this is clear: If, in 1961, 17 year old Ann Dunham gave birth to a child on foreign
13 soil whose father was not an American citizen, then the Immigration and Nationality Act
14 at that time denied Barack Obama any right to American citizenship of any kind.

15 Therefore if at the time of **his birth** Obama was ineligible for American citizenship of
16 any kind, then he cannot be a “**natural-born** citizen”. This is true even if the
17 Immigration and Nationality Act was changed 33 years after he was born. Even if the
18 law was retroactively changed to grant citizenship (but not “natural-born” citizenship) to
19 some of those who had at birth been denied it. If a person is **not at the time of his birth**
20 an American citizen, he cannot be a **natural-born** citizen. Therefore, that person is
21 ineligible under Article II, Section 1 for the Office of President of the United States.
22

23 It is only by examining the 18th century usage and definition of a term that we can
24 ascertain its meaning in the Constitution. In the 18th century, and at the time of the
25
26

1 framing and ratification of the Constitution by the states, the term “natural-born” subject
2 or citizen was always used or defined in such a way as to **exclude** the child of a British or
3 American girl or woman when that child was born in a foreign country and that child’s
4 father was a foreign citizen. No 18th century jurist would have thought the term “natural-
5 born” citizen or subject could have been extended to the child of a British or American
6 girl or woman when that child was born in a foreign country and that child’s father was a
7 foreign citizen.

8
9 Here is Blackstone’s classic exposition in 1765 of the legal meaning of the term
10 from the *Commentaries on the Laws of England*.

11 William Blackstone, *Commentaries* 1:354, 357–58, 361–62 1765

12 “Natural-born subjects are such as are born within the dominions of the crown of
13 England, that is, within the ligeance, or as it is generally called, the allegiance of
14 the king; and aliens, such as are born out of it. . .

15
16 “When I say, that an alien is one who is born out of the king’s dominions, or
17 allegiance, this also must be understood with some restrictions. The common law
18 indeed stood absolutely so; with only a very few exceptions: so that a particular
19 act of parliament became necessary after the restoration, for the naturalization of
20 children of his majesty’s English subjects, born in foreign countries during the
21 late troubles. And this maxim of the law proceeded upon a general principle, that
22 every man owes natural allegiance where he is born, and cannot owe two such
23 allegiances, or serve two masters, at once. Yet the children of the king’s
24 ambassadors born abroad were always held to be natural subjects: for as the
25
26

1 father, though in a foreign country, owes not even a local allegiance to the prince
2 to whom he is sent; so, with regard to the son also, he was held (by a kind of
3 postliminium) to be born under the king of England's allegiance, represented by
4 his father, the ambassador. To encourage also foreign commerce, it was enacted
5 by statute 25 Edw. III. st. 2. that all children born abroad, provided *both* their
6 parents were at the time of the birth in allegiance to the king,...might inherit as if
7 born in England: and accordingly it hath been so adjudged in behalf of merchants.
8 But by several more modern statutes these restrictions are still farther taken off:
9 so that all children, born out of the king's ligeance, whose *fathers* were natural-
10 born subjects, are now natural-born subjects themselves, to all intents and
11 purposes, without any exception; unless their said fathers were attainted, or
12 banished beyond sea, for high treason; or were then in the service of a prince at
13 enmity with Great Britain." [The italics are Blackstone's]

14
15 The irresponsible confirmation in the Senate of the irresponsible tallying of votes
16 in the Electoral College does not supersede the clear meaning of Article II, Section 1. If
17 it is allowed to stand, disregard of the Constitution by all branches of the government
18 would be openly established. To all who believe that the Constitution is the
19 government's basic law, that the Constitution is the only instrument that gives the
20 enactments of Congress and the commands of the Executive validity, it will be clear that
21 the rule of law in the United States is a fiction.
22

23
24 Journalists and politicians complain that we must avoid a Constitutional crisis, but
25 there already is a Constitutional crisis. It has been caused by Obama's refusal to take the
26

1 simple step to clear the matter up. The power of the Executive branch has been
2 compromised. Its right to collect taxes and sign Congressional enactments into law, in
3 fact all of its powers, have become problematic. Since their validity under Section I is
4 now doubtful, they depend on the illegal exercise of force. Since officers of the
5 American military take their oath on commissioning to the Constitution and not the
6 President, their obedience to the Commander-in-Chief has lapsed and, if they challenge
7 or resist his authority, any courts-martial will also be an illegal exercise of force. The
8 only way out of the present Constitutional crisis is for Obama to do as McCain did when
9 he was confronted by far less pressing doubts about the circumstances of his birth. He
10 must disclose his vault birth certificate. Since the document has been so suspiciously
11 withheld for so long, it should be subjected to rigorous forensic tests. Then whatever is
12 on it should be judicially assessed together with the claims that have been made that
13 Barack Obama was born on foreign soil.
14

15 It should be added that “Obama’s top terrorism and intelligence adviser, John O.
16 Brennan, heads a firm that was cited in March for breaching sensitive files in the State
17 Department’s passport office, according to a State Department Inspector General’s report
18 released this past July.
19

20 “The security breach, first reported by the Washington Times and later confirmed
21 by State Department spokesman Sean McCormack, involved a contract employee of
22 Brennan’s firm, The Analysis Corp., which has earned millions of dollars providing
23 intelligence-related consulting services to federal agencies and private companies.
24
25
26

1 “During a State Department briefing on March 21, 2008, McCormack confirmed
2 that the contractor had accessed the passport files of presidential candidates Barack
3 Obama, Hillary Rodham Clinton, and John McCain, and that the inspector general had
4 launched an investigation.

5 “Sources who tracked the investigation tell Newsmax that the main target of the
6 breach was the Obama passport file, and that the contractor accessed the file in order to
7 ‘cauterize’ the records of potentially embarrassing information.
8

9 “ ‘They looked at the McCain and Clinton files as well to create confusion,’ one
10 knowledgeable source told Newsmax. ‘But this was basically an attempt to cauterize the
11 Obama file.’

12 “At the time of the breach, Brennan was working as an unpaid adviser to the
13 Obama campaign.

14 “ ‘This individual’s actions were taken without the knowledge or direction of
15 anyone at The Analysis Corp. and are wholly inconsistent with our professional and
16 ethical standards,’ Brennan’s company said in a statement sent to reporters after the
17 passport breach was made public.
18

19 “The passport files include ‘personally identifiable information such as the
20 applicant’s name, gender, social security number, date and place of birth, and passport
21 number,’ according to the inspector general report.
22

23 “The files may contain additional information including ‘original copies of the
24 associated documents,’ the report added. Such documents include birth certificates,
25
26

1 naturalization certificates, or oaths of allegiance for U.S.-born persons who adopted the
2 citizenship of a foreign country as minors.”

3 “The State Department Office of Inspector General (OIG) issued a 104-page
4 report on the breach last July. Although it is stamped ‘Sensitive but Unclassified,’ the
5 report was heavily redacted in the version released to the public, with page after page
6 blacked out entirely.”

7 http://www.newsmax.com/timmerman/brennan_passport_breach/2009/01/12/170430.htm

8
9 1

10 The following **may** be relevant:

11 [http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2008/apr/19/key-witness-in-passport-fraud-case-](http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2008/apr/19/key-witness-in-passport-fraud-case-fatally-shot/)
12 [fatally-shot/](http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2008/apr/19/key-witness-in-passport-fraud-case-fatally-shot/)

13 **Key witness in passport fraud case fatally shot**

14 Saturday, April 19, 2008

15
16 A key witness in a federal probe into passport information stolen from the
17 State Department was fatally shot in front of a District church, the Metropolitan
18 Police Department said yesterday.

19 Lt. Quarles Harris Jr., 24, who had been cooperating with a federal
20 investigators, was found late Thursday night slumped dead inside a car, in front of
21 the Judah House Praise Baptist Church in Northeast, said Cmdr. Michael Anzallo,
22 head of the department’s Criminal Investigations Division.

23 Cmdr. Anzallo said a police officer was patrolling the neighborhood when
24 gunshots were heard, then Lt. Harris was found dead inside the vehicle, which
25 investigators would describe only as a blue car.

26 Emergency medics pronounced him dead at the scene.

City police said they do not know whether his death was a direct result of
his cooperation with federal investigators.

“We don’t have any information right now that connects his murder to that
case,” Cmdr. Anzallo said.

Police say a “shot spotter” device helped an officer locate Lt. Harris.

A State Department spokeswoman yesterday declined to comment, saying
the investigation into the passport fraud is ongoing.

1 The Washington Times reported April 5 that contractors for the State
2 Department had improperly accessed passport information for presidential
3 candidates Sens. Hillary Rodham Clinton, Barack Obama and John McCain,
4 which resulted in a series of firings that reached into the agency's top ranks.

5 One agency employee, who was not identified in documents filed in U.S.
6 District Court, was implicated in a credit-card fraud scheme after Lt. Harris told
7 federal authorities he obtained "passport information from a co-conspirator who
8 works for the U.S. Department of State.

9 There is a possibility that the breaches of the passport files associated with the
10 "credit-card fraud scheme" were a cover for or associated with the breaches of the
11 passport files by the employee of Brennan's Analysis Corp. This certainly at least should
12 be looked into.

13 Until June 2009, the reasonable doubts about where Obama was born could have
14 quickly and finally been resolved if he had authorized the release by the Hawaiian Dept
15 of Health of his original birth certificate or else applied for it himself and released it to
16 the media. But as these doubts have increased and reached the point where they are no
17 longer a "fringe" phenomenon, the Hawaiian state government has recently taken certain
18 steps that would create procedural and possibly legal barriers to a resolution of the
19 controversy. Given the slipperiness that characterized the statements of Chiyome Fukino,
20 the Dept's Director, and Janice Okubo, the Dept's spokesperson, to the media on this
21 issue, it is, I think, also reasonable to regard these steps with suspicion.

22 A family that I am acquainted with has a child who was born in Hawaii 6 months
23 ago. They filled out and mailed in a form to the Dept of Health, as did their doctor. In
24 return the Dept sent them in the first week of June, 2009, the same abbreviated computer-
25 generated form that last year on the Daily Kos and subsequently on the Obama campaign
26 web site was called a "Certification of Live Birth". The form that this family received

1 this year is identical in format to the Certification of Live Birth on the Daily Kos web site
2 with one exception: the title at the top of the form.

3 A Certification of Live Birth is an abbreviated document that provides none of the
4 probative information that **was or wasn't** on Barack Obama's **original** Certificate of
5 Live Birth. Unlike the Certificate of Live Birth of the time when Barack Obama was
6 born, the new Certificate of Live Birth provides no real evidence of where a child was
7 born or indication of where such evidence might be found. It provides no information
8 that would demonstrate to the people of the United States whether there is convincing
9 evidence that he was actually born here or whether a relative or two (or possibly even
10 Barack Obama himself) just made a statement to that effect to a low level bureaucrat.
11 (As is permitted under Section 57-40 of the Territorial Public Health Statistics Act in the
12 1955 Revised Laws of Hawaii.)
13

14 On June 7, 2009, a spokeswoman for the Hawaii Department of Health told a
15 rather obvious lie (or engaged in a pretty transparent verbal deception) in another attempt
16 to discourage further investigation into the issue of whether Barack Obama was born on
17 Oahu. "The state Department of Health no longer issues copies of paper birth certificates
18 as was done in the past", said spokeswoman Janice Okubo. "The department only issues
19 'certifications' of live births, and that is the 'official birth certificate' issued by the state
20 of Hawaii, she said. " [Honolulu Star Bulletin]
21

22 http://www.starbulletin.com/columnists/kokualine/20090606_kokua_line.html
23

24 This statement was false or deliberately very misleading. Here, from a Hawaii
25 state document that was posted on June 10, 2009, is a description of how to apply for "the
26

1 original Certificate of Live Birth” (the original birth certificate) as opposed to the
2 Certification of Live Birth:

3 “In order to process your application [to prove native Hawaiian ancestry], DHHL
4 [Department of Hawaiian Homelands] utilizes information that is found only on the
5 original Certificate of Live Birth, which is either black or green. This is a more complete
6 record of your birth than the Certification of Live Birth (a computer-generated printout).
7 Submitting the original Certificate of Live Birth will save you time and money since the
8 computer-generated Certification requires additional verification by DHHL.
9

10 “Please note that DOH [Department of Health] no longer offers same day service.
11 If you plan on picking up your certified DOH document(s), you should allow at least 10
12 working days for DOH to process your request(s), OR four to six weeks if you want your
13 certified certificate(s) mailed to you.”

14 <http://hawaii.gov/dhhl/applicants/appforms/applyhhl>

15 Ms. Okubo’s statement gave the false impression that Obama could not gain
16 access to or release “the original Certificate of Live Birth”, and that it was the DOH’s
17 policy rather than his own reluctance that was responsible for the holding back of this
18 Certificate. This was an obvious deception. The document at the Department of
19 Hawaiian Home Lands website indicates that at the time she made this statement it was
20 false, and that a procedure was in place for application for “the original Certificate of
21 Live Birth.”
22

23 Only the information on the original birth certificate, “the original Certificate of
24 Live Birth”, can demonstrate to the people of the United States whether there is
25
26

1 convincing evidence that he was actually born here or whether a relative or two (or
2 possibly even Barack Obama himself) just made a statement to that effect to a low level
3 bureaucrat.

4 On July 8, 2009 the web site World Net Daily reported that “The state, which had
5 excluded the controversial document [the Certification of Live Birth] as proof of native
6 Hawaiian status, has changed its policy and now makes a point of including it.”

7 <http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=103408>
8

9 Here is the new statement on the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands web site
10 [July 8, 2009]. “The Department of Hawaiian Home Lands accepts both Certificates of
11 Live Birth [original birth certificates and the recently renamed abbreviated computer
12 printouts] and Certifications of Live Birth [as the abbreviated computer printouts were up
13 till recently called] because they are official government records documenting an
14 individual’s birth... Although original birth certificates (Certificates of Live Birth) are
15 preferred for their greater detail, the State Department of Health (DOH) no longer issues
16 Certificates of Live Birth. When a request is made for a copy of a birth certificate, the
17 DOH issues a Certification of Live Birth.”

18 <http://hawaii.gov/dhhl/applicants/appforms/applyhhl>
19

20 The web site theobamafile.com picked up this significant change in procedure on
21 the Dept. of Hawaiian Homelands website on June 18, 2009.

22 <http://www.theobamafile.com/BogusPOTUS/20090608.htm#HawaiiRuleChange>
23

24 Sometime between June 10, 2009 and June 18, 2009 the State of Hawaii changed
25 its rule on what documents and data were necessary to prove Hawaiian ancestry, thereby
26

1 upgrading the apparent status of the abbreviated Certification of Live Birth which it had
2 formerly regarded as insufficiently probative. Why?

3 On June 6, Janice Okubo, the Dept of Health spokeswoman, also told the Star
4 Bulletin that “The electronic record of the birth is what (the Health Department) now
5 keeps on file in order to provide same-day certified copies at our help window for most
6 requests.” There is a troubling ambiguity in this statement. A sophisticated forensic
7 investigation would probably be able to determine whether the original paper Certificate
8 of Live Birth was forged, altered, or authentic. But if the data from the original paper
9 Certificates of Live Birth has been transferred to an electronic record and then the
10 original documents were discarded, part of the data could easily have been changed in the
11 transfer or subsequently altered. We know from a document posted on June 10, 2009 on
12 the Department of Hawaiian Homelands website that up until very recently, the original
13 paper Certificates of Live Birth were maintained by the Dept of Health, and copies of
14 them were provided to confirm claims of Hawaiian ancestry. But if in June the
15 Department of Hawaiian Homelands has decided that it will no longer require the original
16 Certificate of Live Birth as proof for special privileges and the Department of Health
17 spokesman says firmly that they will no longer provide copies of these original
18 certificates, is it possible that, in the midst of the controversy over where Barack Obama
19 was born, the Hawaiian state government has destroyed the original paper certificate of
20 live birth?
21
22
23

24 **7.0 PRESENTMENT OF FINDINGS**

25 *///*

1 Plaintiffs believe that probable cause exists to warrant the indictment of the
2 above-named defendants on the following charge:

3 TREASON – Against the United States of America

4 Article III, Section 3 of the United States Constitution provides as follows:

5 Treason against the United States, shall consist only in levying War
6 against them, or in adhering to their Enemies, giving them Aid and Comfort, No
7 Person shall be convicted of Treason unless on the Testimony of two Witnesses to
8 the same overt Act¹, or on Confession in open Court.

9 See Cramer v. United States, 325 U.S. 1 (1945), (affirming that treason is limited
10 to the waging of war² or the giving of material assistance to an enemy).

11 Plaintiffs charge the following:

12
13 **Soetoro has never established that defendant is eligible under the laws of the**
14 **Constitution of the United States as provided for in Article II, Section 1 to obtain to**
15 **the office of the President.**

16 Article II, Section 1, Paragraph 5 states:

17 *"No person except a natural born Citizen, or a Citizen of the United States, at the*
18 *time of the Adoption of this Constitution, shall be eligible to the Office of*
19 *President; neither shall any Person be eligible to that Office who shall not have*
20 *attained to the Age of thirty-five Years, and been fourteen Years a Resident within*
21 *the United States."*

22
23
24 ¹ Overt acts are such acts as manifest a criminal intention and tend towards the accomplishment of the
25 criminal object. *Cramer v. United States*, 325 U.S. 1, 7 (1945).

26 ² War is a reciprocated, armed conflict, between two or more non-congruous entities, aimed at reorganizing
a subjectively designed, geo-politically desired result.

1 Soetoro has admitted that he is the son of Stanley Ann Dunham and Barack
2 Hussein Obama, and that he was born on August 4, 1961. This record is further
3 corroborated with the Decree of Divorce between S. A. Dunham and B. H. Obama
4 entered on March 4, 1964.

5 Defendant has admitted that at the time of his birth, his father was a British citizen
6 and a Kenyan national. It is a matter of public record that Stanley Ann Dunham, at the
7 time of defendant's birth, was 18 years of age.

8 Defendant, through third parties acting on his behalf, has tendered a discredited
9 and apparently forged Certification of Live Birth claiming to be from the state of Hawaii.
10 See Exhibit 5.

11 Defendant's grandmother has stated emphatically that she was present at the time
12 of his birth at the Coast Hospital in Mombasa, Kenya, and his half-brothers have attested
13 to his Kenyan birth. See Affidavit of Kweli Shuhubia attached hereto as Exhibit 4.

14 No person or entity has appeared as a witness to his alleged birth in Hawaii, and
15 there is no evidence other than the forged Certification of Live Birth indicating that
16 defendant was born on American soil. Defendant has refused to release his actual
17 Certificate of Live Birth, and has proffered no other evidence to establish a birth on
18 American soil.
19
20

21 Therefore, Plaintiff has determined that probable cause exists to conclude that
22 defendant is not a "natural born citizen" of the United States of America, as required
23 under Article II, Section 1, Paragraph 5, of the United States Constitution, and further
24
25
26

1 that defendant has failed to establish that he is in fact a citizen of the United States of
2 America.

3 **2) Obama was a British citizen 'at birth.'**

4 These facts are not in dispute: Under the British Nationality Act 1948, Obama's
5 father was a British citizen/subject when he was born in the English colony of Kenya.
6 Obama's father continued to be such and not a U.S. citizen when Obama was born in
7 1961. Under the same BNA 1948, at birth, regardless of where he was born, Obama also
8 became a British citizen/subject by descent from his British father.
9

10 As applicable only to a Presidential Article II 'natural born Citizen': ...the
11 individual must be born in the United States to a mother and father who are themselves
12 United States citizens (by birth or naturalization). This is to assure that a would-be, all
13 powerful President and Commander in Chief of the Military has sole allegiance and
14 loyalty to the United States from the time of birth.
15

16 It is public knowledge that Obama has admitted in his writings and otherwise that
17 when he was born, his father was a British citizen/subject and not a United States citizen
18 and that at that time he himself also became such. In fact, his father was not even a
19 permanent resident of the United States, but rather only a student who would probably
20 have been here only on a temporary student visa. Hence, not only was Obama's father not
21 a United States citizen but Obama himself was born a British subject/citizen. Hence,
22 clearly, Obama is not and cannot be an Article II 'natural born Citizen.' The operative
23 facts are not in dispute."
24

25 ///
26

**Defendants' Concealment of Soetoro's Birth Record
is a High Crime Against the United States of America**

1
2 Because Soetoro has broken in and entered the White House by force of
3 contrivance, concealment, conceit, dissembling and deceit, and has seized the seat of the
4 Presidency and has stripped civilian command and control over the military of the United
5 States of America with the intent of destroying and overthrowing the Constitution of the
6 United States of America. Since seizing power illegally and by artifices of fraud, Soetoro
7 has obligated the United States of America to the single largest debt ever incurred by a
8 nation state in history, has nationalized industries by means of unconstitutional and *ultra*
9 *vires* contractual arrangements, and has proposed a eugenics program similar in content
10 to Hitler's Aktion T-4 euthanasia program declared by the Nuremburg tribunals to be
11 crimes against humanity, which intend to deprive the sick and elderly of health care and
12 which will advise those on Medicare how to die.
13
14

15 Defendants, acting in concert, have worked to defraud Plaintiffs and to otherwise
16 hinder plaintiffs protected speech and their expression of speech in their vote for the
17 Presidency, using the interstate mail system and other forms of interstate communication,
18 and by making false statements concerning the birth site of Soetoro, his legal name, and
19 his background, all acts for which there is probable cause to believe that the following
20 federal criminal statutes have been violated:
21

- 22 • 13 U.S.C. § 241 – Conspiracy against civil rights
- 23 • 19 U.S.C. § 391 – Conspiracy to commit offense or to defraud United States
- 24 • 29 U.S.C. § 594 – Intimidation of voters
- 25 • 43 U.S.C. § 911 – False Personation; Citizen of the United States
- 26

- 1 • 43 U.S.C. § 912 – False Personation; Officer or employee of the United States
- 2 • 47 U.S.C. §1001³- Fraud and False Statements-Statements or entries generally
- 3 • 47 U.S.C. § 1002. Fraud and False Statements - Possession of false papers to
- 4 defraud United States
- 5 • 47 U.S.C. § 1015 – Fraud and False Statements. Naturalization, citizenship or
- 6 alien registry
- 7

8 **(c)** Whoever uses or attempts to use any certificate of arrival, declaration of
9 intention, certificate of naturalization, certificate of citizenship or other
10 documentary evidence of naturalization or of citizenship, or any duplicate or copy
11 thereof, knowing the same to have been procured by fraud or false evidence or
12 without required appearance or hearing of the applicant in court or otherwise
13 unlawfully obtained; or

14 **(d)** Whoever knowingly makes any false certificate, acknowledgment or
15 statement concerning the appearance before him or the taking of an oath or
16 affirmation or the signature, attestation or execution by any person with respect to
17 any application, declaration, petition, affidavit, deposition, certificate of
18 naturalization, certificate of citizenship or other paper or writing required or
19 authorized by the laws relating to immigration, naturalization, citizenship, or
20 registry of aliens; or

21 **(e)** Whoever knowingly makes any false statement or claim that he is, or at any
22 time has been, a citizen or national of the United States, with the intent to obtain
23 on behalf of himself, or any other person, any Federal or State benefit or service,
24 or to engage unlawfully in employment in the United States; or

25 **(f)** Whoever knowingly makes any false statement or claim that he is a citizen of
26 the United States in order to register to vote or to vote in any Federal, State, or
local election (including an initiative, recall, or referendum)—

21 ³47 U.S.C. § 1001. Statements or entries generally

22 **(a)** Except as otherwise provided in this section, whoever, in any matter within the jurisdiction of the
executive, legislative, or judicial branch of the Government of the United States, knowingly and willfully—

23 **(1)** falsifies, conceals, or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact;

24 **(2)** makes any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or representation; or

25 **(3)** makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any materially false,
fictitious, or fraudulent statement or entry;

26 shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 5 years or, if the offense involves international or
domestic terrorism (as defined in section 2331), imprisoned not more than 8 years, or both. If the matter
relates to an offense under chapter 109A, 109B, 110, or 117, or section 1591, then the term of
imprisonment imposed under this section shall be not more than 8 years.

1 Shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.
2 Subsection (f) does not apply to an alien if each natural parent of the alien (or, in the case
3 of an adopted alien, each adoptive parent of the alien) is or was a citizen (whether by
4 birth or naturalization), the alien permanently resided in the United States prior to
attaining the age of 16, and the alien reasonably believed at the time of making the false
statement or claim that he or she was a citizen of the United States.

- 5 • 47 U.S.C. § 1017 – Fraud and False Statements - Government seals wrongfully
6 used and instruments wrongfully sealed
- 7 • 47 U.S.C. § 1028. Fraud and related activity in connection with identification
8 documents, authentication features, and information

10 **(a)** Whoever, in a circumstance described in subsection (c) of this section—

11 **(1)** knowingly and without lawful authority produces an identification document,
12 authentication feature, or a false identification document;

13 **(2)** knowingly transfers an identification document, authentication feature, or a false
14 identification document knowing that such document or feature was stolen or
15 produced without lawful authority;

16 **(3)** knowingly possesses with intent to use unlawfully or transfer unlawfully five or
17 more identification documents (other than those issued lawfully for the use of the
18 possessor), authentication features, or false identification documents;

19 **(4)** knowingly possesses an identification document (other than one issued lawfully
20 for the use of the possessor), authentication feature, or a false identification
21 document, with the intent such document or feature be used to defraud the United
22 States;

23 **(5)** knowingly produces, transfers, or possesses a document-making implement or
24 authentication feature with the intent such document-making implement or
25 authentication feature with the intent such document-making implement or
26

1 authentication feature will be used in the production of a false identification
2 document or another document-making implement or authentication feature which
3 will be so used;

4 (6) knowingly possesses an identification document or authentication feature that is
5 or appears to be an identification document or authentication feature of the United
6 States or a sponsoring entity of an event designated as a special event of national
7 significance which is stolen or produced without lawful authority knowing that such
8 document or feature was stolen or produced without such authority;

9 (7) knowingly transfers, possesses, or uses, without lawful authority, a means of
10 identification of another person with the intent to commit, or to aid or abet, or in
11 connection with, any unlawful activity that constitutes a violation of Federal law, or
12 that constitutes a felony under any applicable State or local law; or

13 (8) knowingly traffics in false or actual authentication features for use in false
14 identification documents, document-making implements, or means of identification;
15 shall be punished as provided in subsection (b) of this section.

16 (b) The punishment for an offense under subsection (a) of this section is—

17 (1) except as provided in paragraphs (3) and (4), a fine under this title or
18 imprisonment for not more than 15 years, or both, if the offense is—

19 (A) the production or transfer of an identification document, authentication feature, or
20 false identification document that is or appears to be—

21 (i) an identification document or authentication feature issued by or under the
22 authority of the United States; or

23 (ii) *a birth certificate*, [bold and italics added] or a driver's license or personal
24 identification card;
25
26

- 1 **(B)** the production or transfer of more than five identification documents,
2 authentication features, or false identification documents;
- 3 **(C)** an offense under paragraph (5) of such subsection; or
- 4 **(D)** an offense under paragraph (7) of such subsection that involves the transfer,
5 possession, or use of 1 or more means of identification if, as a result of the offense,
6 any individual committing the offense obtains anything of value aggregating \$1,000
7 or more during any 1-year period;
- 8 **(2)** except as provided in paragraphs (3) and (4), a fine under this title or
9 imprisonment for not more than 5 years, or both, if the offense is—
- 10 **(A)** any other production, transfer, or use of a means of identification, an
11 identification document,^[1] authentication feature, or a false identification document;
12 or
- 13 **(B)** an offense under paragraph (3) or (7) of such subsection;
- 14 **(3)** a fine under this title or imprisonment for not more than 20 years, or both, if the
15 offense is committed—
- 16 **(A)** to facilitate a drug trafficking crime (as defined in section 929 (a)(2));
17 **(B)** in connection with a crime of violence (as defined in section 924 (c)(3)); or
18 **(C)** after a prior conviction under this section becomes final;
- 19 **(4)** a fine under this title or imprisonment for not more than 30 years, or both, if the
20 offense is committed to facilitate an act of domestic terrorism (as defined under
21 section 2331 (5) of this title) or an act of international terrorism (as defined in section
22 2331 (1) of this title);
- 23 **(5)** in the case of any offense under subsection (a), forfeiture to the United States of
24 any personal property used or intended to be used to commit the offense; and
25
26

1 (6) a fine under this title or imprisonment for not more than one year, or both, in any
2 other case.

3 (c) The circumstance referred to in subsection (a) of this section is that—

4 (1) the identification document, authentication feature, or false identification
5 document is or appears to be issued by or under the authority of the United States or a
6 sponsoring entity of an event designated as a special event of national significance or
7 the document-making implement is designed or suited for making such an
8 identification document, authentication feature, or false identification document;

9 (2) the offense is an offense under subsection (a)(4) of this section; or

10 (3) either—

11 (A) the production, transfer, possession, or use prohibited by this section is in or
12 affects interstate or foreign commerce, ***including the transfer of a document by***
13 ***electronic means*** [bold and italics added]; or

14 (B) the means of identification, identification document, false identification
15 document, or document-making implement is transported in the mail in the course of
16 the production, transfer, possession, or use prohibited by this section.

17 (d) In this section and section 1028A—

18 (1) the term “authentication feature” means any hologram, watermark, certification,
19 symbol, code, image, sequence of numbers or letters, or other feature that either
20 individually or in combination with another feature is used by the issuing authority on
21 an identification document, document-making implement, or means of identification
22 to determine if the document is counterfeit, altered, or otherwise falsified;

23 (2) the term “document-making implement” means any implement, impression,
24 template, computer file, computer disc, electronic device, or computer hardware or
25

26

1 software, that is specifically configured or primarily used for making an identification
2 document, a false identification document, or another document-making implement;

3 **(3)** the term “identification document” means a document made or issued by or under
4 the authority of the United States Government, a State, political subdivision of a
5 State, a sponsoring entity of an event designated as a special event of national
6 significance, a foreign government, political subdivision of a foreign government, an
7 international governmental or an international quasi-governmental organization
8 which, when completed with information concerning a particular individual, is of a
9 type intended or commonly accepted for the purpose of identification of individuals;

10 **(4)** the term “false identification document” means a document of a type intended or
11 commonly accepted for the purposes of identification of individuals that—

12 **(A)** is not issued by or under the authority of a governmental entity or was issued
13 under the authority of a governmental entity but was subsequently altered for
14 purposes of deceit; and

15 **(B)** appears to be issued by or under the authority of the United States Government, a
16 State, a political subdivision of a State, a sponsoring entity of an event designated by
17 the President as a special event of national significance, a foreign government, a
18 political subdivision of a foreign government, or an international governmental or
19 quasi-governmental organization;

20 **(5)** the term “false authentication feature” means an authentication feature that—

21 **(A)** is genuine in origin, but, without the authorization of the issuing authority, has
22 been tampered with or altered for purposes of deceit;

23 **(B)** is genuine, but has been distributed, or is intended for distribution, without the
24 authorization of the issuing authority and not in connection with a lawfully made
25

1 identification document, document-making implement, or means of identification to
2 which such authentication feature is intended to be affixed or embedded by the
3 respective issuing authority; or

4 (C) appears to be genuine, but is not;

5 (6) the term “issuing authority”—

6 (A) means any governmental entity or agency that is authorized to issue identification
7 documents, means of identification, or authentication features; and

8 (B) includes the United States Government, a State, a political subdivision of a State,
9 a sponsoring entity of an event designated by the President as a special event of
10 national significance, a foreign government, a political subdivision of a foreign
11 government, or an international government or quasi-governmental organization;

12 (7) the term “means of identification” means any name or number that may be used,
13 alone or in conjunction with any other information, to identify a specific individual,
14 including any—

15 (A) name, social security number, date of birth, official State or government issued
16 driver’s license or identification number, alien registration number, government
17 passport number, employer or taxpayer identification number;

18 (B) unique biometric data, such as fingerprint, voice print, retina or iris image, or
19 other unique physical representation;

20 (C) unique electronic identification number, address, or routing code; or

21 (D) telecommunication identifying information or access device (as defined in section
22 1029 (e));

23 (8) the term “personal identification card” means an identification document issued
24 by a State or local government solely for the purpose of identification;
25
26

1 (9) the term “produce” includes alter, authenticate, or assemble;

2 (10) the term “transfer” includes selecting an identification document, false
3 identification document, or document-making implement and placing or directing the
4 placement of such identification document, false identification document, or
5 document-making implement on an online location where it is available to others;

6 (11) the term “State” includes any State of the United States, the District of
7 Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and any other commonwealth,
8 possession, or territory of the United States; and

9 (12) the term “traffic” means—

10 (A) to transport, transfer, or otherwise dispose of, to another, as consideration for
11 anything of value; or

12 (B) to make or obtain control of with intent to so transport, transfer, or otherwise
13 dispose of.

14 (e) This section does not prohibit any lawfully authorized investigative, protective, or
15 intelligence activity of a law enforcement agency of the United States, a State, or a
16 political subdivision of a State, or of an intelligence agency of the United States, or
17 any activity authorized under chapter 224 of this title.

18 (f) **Attempt and Conspiracy.**— Any person *who attempts or conspires to commit*
19 *any offense under this section shall be subject to the same penalties as those*
20 *prescribed for the offense, the commission of which was the object of the attempt or*
21 *conspiracy.* [Bold and italics added].

22 (g) **Forfeiture Procedures.**— The forfeiture of property under this section, including
23 any seizure and disposition of the property and any related judicial or administrative
24 proceeding, shall be governed by the provisions of section 413 (other than subsection
25
26

1 (d) of that section) of the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of
2 1970 (21 U.S.C. 853).

3 **(h) Forfeiture; Disposition.**— In the circumstance in which any person is convicted
4 of a violation of subsection (a), the court shall order, in addition to the penalty
5 prescribed, the forfeiture and destruction or other disposition of all illicit
6 authentication features, identification documents, document-making implements, or
7 means of identification.

8 **(i) Rule of Construction.**— For purpose of subsection (a)(7), a single identification
9 document or false identification document that contains 1 or more means of
10 identification shall be construed to be 1 means of identification.

11 • 69 U.S.C. § 1425 - Procurement of citizenship or naturalization unlawfully

12 **(a)** Whoever knowingly procures or attempts to procure, contrary to law, the
13 naturalization of any person, or documentary or other evidence of naturalization or of
14 citizenship; or

15 **(b)** Whoever, whether for himself or another person not entitled thereto, knowingly
16 issues, procures or obtains or applies for or otherwise attempts to procure or obtain
17 naturalization, or citizenship, or a declaration of intention to become a citizen, or a
18 certificate of arrival *or any certificate or evidence of nationalization or citizenship,*
19 *documentary or otherwise, or duplicates or copies of any of the foregoing*— [bold
20 and italics added]
21

22 Shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 25 years (if the offense was
23 committed to facilitate an act of international terrorism (as defined in section 2331 of
24 this title)), 20 years (if the offense was committed to facilitate a drug trafficking
25 crime (as defined in section 929 (a) of this title)), 10 years (in the case of the first or
26

1 second such offense, if the offense was not committed to facilitate such an act of
2 international terrorism or a drug trafficking crime), or 15 years (in the case of any
3 other offense), or both.

- 4 • 115 U.S.C. § 2381 – Treason
- 5 • 115 U.S.C. § 2382 – Misprision of Treason - Whoever, owing allegiance to the
6 United States and having knowledge of the commission of any treason against
7 them, conceals and does not, as soon as may be, disclose and make known the
8 same to the President or to some judge of the United States, or to the governor or
9 to some judge or justice of a particular State, is guilty of misprision of treason and
10 shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than seven years, or both.

11 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

12 WHEREFORE, plaintiffs prays for relief as follows:

13 A. That this court appoint and convene a federal grand jury to investigate the
14 high crimes set forth herein by the defendants.

15 B. That the court award such other relief in law and equity as the court deems
16 proper.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

VERIFICATION OF CLAIMS

The Statements and Claims made herein are the statements and claims of the plaintiffs and those statements incorporated herein of others as part of the public record concerning these matter. On oath and subject to the laws of perjury, we the undersigned plaintiffs affirm and assert that the preceding allegations and factual statements, including those factual statements alleged on information and belief are true to the best of our knowledge, and that we have asserted these claims, being legally competent to testify to these matters, and having acted voluntarily without promise of payment or by threat; in good faith and based upon our understanding of the United States Constitution and the duly enacted laws which spring there under.

On our sacred honor and in witness before God.

Carl A. Swensson, Jr.
CARL SWENSSON

Signed from this location (Address) 165 Burke St. #101 Stockbridge, Ga, 30281
(Phone) 678-438-6138 on this 17 day of August, 2009.

ROBERT DEBEAUX

Signed from this location (Address) _____
(Phone) _____ on this ____ day of August, 2009.

(ROBERT (Bob) D. PINKSTAFF (Ret Gunnery Sergeant (E7)

Signed from this location (Address) _____
(Phone) _____ on this ____ day of August, 2009.

DR PENNY KELSO

Signed from this location (Address) _____
Phone _____ on this ____ day of August, 2009.

PATRIOT'S HEART MEDIA NETWORK
BY IT'S DULY AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE,


Signed from this location (Address) _____
Phone _____ on this ____ day of August, 2009.

VERIFICATION OF CLAIMS

The Statements and Claims made herein are the statements and claims of the plaintiffs and those statements incorporated herein of others as part of the public record concerning these matter. On oath and subject to the laws of perjury, we the undersigned plaintiffs affirm and assert that the preceding allegations and factual statements, including those factual statements alleged on information and belief are true to the best of our knowledge, and that we have asserted these claims, being legally competent to testify to these matters, and having acted voluntarily without promise of payment or by threat; in good faith and based upon our understanding of the United States Constitution and the duly enacted laws which spring there under.

On our sacred honor and in witness before God.

CARL SWENSSON
Signed from this location (Address) _____
(Phone) _____ on this ____ day of August, 2009.



ROBERT DEBEAUX
Signed from this location (Address) 4717 ST. THOMAS PL. Fort Worth TX 76135
(Phone) 817 798 1338 on this 17 day of August, 2009.

(ROBERT (Bob) D. PINKSTAFF (Ret Gunnery Sergeant (E7)
Signed from this location (Address) _____
(Phone) _____ on this ____ day of August, 2009.

DR PENNY KELSO
Signed from this location (Address) _____
Phone _____ on this ____ day of August, 2009.

PATRIOT'S HEART MEDIA NETWORK
BY IT'S DULY AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE,
Signed from this location (Address) _____
Phone _____ on this ____ day of August, 2009.



ORIGINAL

VERIFICATION OF CLAIMS

The Statements and Claims made herein are the statements and claims of the plaintiffs and those statements incorporated herein of others as part of the public record concerning these matter. On oath and subject to the laws of perjury, we the undersigned plaintiffs affirm and assert that the preceding allegations and factual statements, including those factual statements alleged on information and belief are true to the best of our knowledge, and that we have asserted these claims, being legally competent to testify to these matters, and having acted voluntarily without promise of payment or by threat; in good faith and based upon our understanding of the United States Constitution and the duly enacted laws which spring there under.

On our sacred honor and in witness before God.

CARL SWENSSON
Signed from this location (Address) _____
(Phone) _____ on this ____ day of August, 2009.

ROBERT DEBEAUX
Signed from this location (Address) _____
(Phone) _____ on this ____ day of August, 2009.

Robert (Bob) D. Pinkstaff (Ret Gunnery Sergeant (E7))

(ROBERT (Bob) D. PINKSTAFF (Ret Gunnery Sergeant (E7))
Signed from this location (Address) *10208 E. SHADYBROOK, WICHITA, KS*
(Phone) *316-240-6397* on this *17* day of August, 2009. *67206*

DR PENNY KELSO
Signed from this location (Address) _____
Phone _____ on this ____ day of August, 2009.

PATRIOT'S HEART MEDIA NETWORK
BY IT'S DULY AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE,
Signed from this location (Address) _____
Phone _____ on this ____ day of August, 2009.

VERIFICATION OF CLAIMS

The Statements and Claims made herein are the statements and claims of the plaintiffs and those statements incorporated herein of others as part of the public record concerning these matter. On oath and subject to the laws of perjury, we the undersigned plaintiffs affirm and assert that the preceding allegations and factual statements, including those factual statements alleged on information and belief are true to the best of our knowledge, and that we have asserted these claims, being legally competent to testify to these matters, and having acted voluntarily without promise of payment or by threat; in good faith and based upon our understanding of the United States Constitution and the duly enacted laws which spring there under.

On our sacred honor and in witness before God.

CARL SWENSSON
Signed from this location (Address) _____
(Phone) _____ on this ____ day of August, 2009.

ROBERT DEBEAUX
Signed from this location (Address) _____
(Phone) _____ on this ____ day of August, 2009.

(ROBERT (Bob) D. PINKSTAFF (Ret Gunnery Sergeant (E7)
Signed from this location (Address) _____
(Phone) _____ on this ____ day of August, 2009.

Dr. Penny Kelso

DR PENNY KELSO
Signed from this location (Address) *PMB#106, 3411 B 8and St, Lubbock, TX 7942*
Phone *(806) 749-7387* on this *17th* day of August, 2009.

PATRIOT'S HEART MEDIA NETWORK
BY IT'S DULY AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE,
Signed from this location (Address) _____
Phone _____ on this ____ day of August, 2009.

VERIFICATION OF CLAIMS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

The Statements and Claims made herein are the statements and claims of the plaintiffs and those statements incorporated herein of others as part of the public record concerning these matter. On oath and subject to the laws of perjury, we the undersigned plaintiffs affirm and assert that the preceding allegations and factual statements, including those factual statements alleged on information and belief are true to the best of our knowledge, and that we have asserted these claims, being legally competent to testify to these matters, and having acted voluntarily without promise of payment or by threat; in good faith and based upon our understanding of the United States Constitution and the duly enacted laws which spring there under.


On our sacred honor and in witness before God.

CARL SWENSSON
Signed from this location (Address) _____
(Phone) _____ on this ____ day of August, 2009.

ROBERT DEBEAUX
Signed from this location (Address) _____
(Phone) _____ on this ____ day of August, 2009.

(ROBERT (Bob) D. PINKSTAFF (Ret Gunnery Sergeant (E7)
Signed from this location (Address) _____
(Phone) _____ on this ____ day of August, 2009.

DR PENNY KELSO
Signed from this location (Address) _____
Phone _____ on this ____ day of August, 2009.



PATRIOT'S HEART MEDIA NETWORK
BY IT'S DULY AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE,
Signed from this location (Address) 3002 Colony Ave. #306, Everett, WA 98201
Phone 425 605 4774 on this 17th day of August, 2009.

EXHIBIT 16

<http://www.canadafreepress.com/printpage.php>

Canada Free Press - Printer Friendly Page

© V2.0 - CJ Website Design

www.cj-design.com

UN diplomatic immunity

Does 'King of the World' need a birth certificate?

Judi McLeod and Douglas Hagmann [Bio](#)

By Judi McLeod and Douglas

[Email Article](#)

Hagmann Wednesday, August 5, 2009

[Photo Gallery](#)

Since his arrival at the White House, Barack Obama has been touted as 'King of the World' rather than USA president.

[](http://media.fastclick.net/w/click.here?sid=18589&m=3&c=2803)

As King of the World, does Obama really need born-in-USA status? On the occasion of their president's 48th birthday yesterday, Americans still don't know for certain from where he came, only where he is leading them.

There was a mammoth picture of the Birthday Boy on display outside the White House, but no pictures of him pursing his lips to blow out the candles on a birthday cake, which may have served as a reminder that this is a president already well on his way to blowing out the lights of the Free World.

Thus far the only 'proof' of Obama's murky past comes from the romantic version of his life as spun in his book, *Dreams of My Father*.

Some people's dreams are other folk's nightmares.

Global citizens and Kings of the World find home at the United Nations, which operates as a law unto itself.

1 When Obama emerges to his rightful throne as King of the World, will his missing birth
2 certificate and school records be swallowed up by UN diplomatic immunity?

3 The long-awaited debut of Obama at the UN is happening right on schedule. Obama will
4 chair “a special meeting of the U.N, Security Council on nuclear non-proliferation and
5 disarmament”. According to U.S. Ambassador Susan Rice, that meeting will take place
6 on Sept. 24 during the annual summit of the U.N. General Assembly.

7 There is no doubt that the sovereignty of the US, notwithstanding, the United Nations is a
8 law unto itself.

9 A pig sty of corruption, and a body that blows its time on incessant talk while people die
10 in genocides, the UN is also the main source of this summer’s “you’re all going to die”
11 pandemic predictions that have yet to lead to the public panic needed to invoke martial
12 law.

13 Most fittingly to some, UN headquarters originated on the grounds of an abattoir where
14 screams of animals being led to slaughter could be heard, as a “gift” from Rockefeller
15 family money.

16 Diplomatic Immunity, which could only have originated at the UN, has created virtual
17 untouchables. According to Reader’s Digest, “The UN rarely gets much done, but
18 somehow its officials are still too busy to park legally. Between 1997 and the end of
19 2002, foreign diplomats racked up more than 150,000 unpaid parking tickets--totaling a
20 staggering \$17 million. But thanks to diplomatic immunity, the city has no power to
21 collect.

22 Is it a foregone conclusion that the UN granted Obama the unassailable right to be a law
23 unto himself, with or without the personal documents proving who he really is?

24 BHO began identifying himself as a “global citizen” even before election. Perhaps rather
25 than coming off as grandiose, he was really telling the truth.

26 UN-bestowed diplomatic immunity has protected other high rollers in the now all but
forgotten Oil for Food Scandal.

Some of those high rollers are now part of the Obama team of czars. Paul Volcker,
charged by personal friend, former UN Secretary General Kofi Annan to investigate the
scandal, only added to the level of frustration.

UN Poster Boy numero uno, Canadian Maurice Strong who accepted a \$1 million cheque
courtesy of oil-for-food main player Saddam Hussein (although he contends he did know
at the time the money came from Hussein) went on to invest in the Chery car company,

1 stated intention of which was to bring America's auto industry to its knees with the likes
2 of Obama bagman George Soros.

3 Soros has close ties to former UN Deputy Secretary General Baron Mark Malloch-
4 Brown.

5 Is Obama thumbing his nose at Americans who want to see his birth certificate because
6 he knows his back is covered by UN diplomatic immunity?

7 Is there a Grand Plan keeping the the most anti-American president ever elected in the
8 White House?

9 Barack Obama is a self-admitted global citizen who can do whatever he wants to
10 America, and just like the organization which foments for One World Government that
11 spawned him, is really a power until himself.

12 **Read: Media Blackout on Obama eligibility dates back to November**

13 (25) **Reader Feedback** | **Subscribe**

14 **Judi McLeod and Douglas Haggmann Most recent columns**

15 Copyright © Canada Free Press

16 *Judi McLeod is an award-winning journalist with 30 years experience in the print media.
17 A former Toronto Sun columnist, she also worked for the Kingston Whig Standard. Her
18 work has appeared on Rush Limbaugh, Newsmax.com, Drudge Report, Foxnews.com,
19 and Glenn Beck.*

20 *Judi and Doug can be reached at: judi@canadafreepress.com*

21 *Older articles by Judi McLeod*

22 *Douglas Haggmann, founder & director of the Northeast Intelligence Network, and a
23 multi-state licensed private investigative agency. Doug began using his investigative
24 skills and training to fight terrorism and increase public awareness through his website.*

25 *Older articles by Doug Haggmann*

26 *Printed from: <http://canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/13403>*

EXHIBIT 17

<http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=105904>

BORN IN THE USA?


What does 'natural born citizen' legally mean?

Debate whether British dad's baby meets constitutional requirement for president

Posted: August 10, 2009

8:49 pm Eastern

By Drew Zahn
© 2009 WorldNetDaily



The months of arguments over President Obama's eligibility to occupy the Oval Office – based on the U.S. Constitution's requirement that the chief executive be a "natural born" citizen – have been fueled both by the president's decision to withhold his original long-

1 form birth certificate, thereby leaving some questions unanswered, and by arguments
2 over just exactly what is a "natural born" citizen.

3 Some argue that since Obama's father was a citizen of Kenya and thus a British subject in
4 1961, his son's birth in Hawaii that year would have granted Obama dual British-U.S.
5 citizenship. Obama's campaign website admitted as much prior to the election.

6 Attorney Mario Apuzzo, one of several lawyers filing lawsuits over Obama's eligibility
7 to serve as president, believes the double claim on Obama's citizenship disqualifies him
8 from fulfilling the requirement of Article 2, Section 1, of the Constitution, which states
9 no person except a "natural born" citizen shall be eligible to the office of president.

10 "Natural born citizen" status requires not only birth on U.S. soil but also birth to parents
11 who are both U.S. citizens," Apuzzo asserts on his website. "It is only by combining at
12 birth in the child both means to inherit these two sources of citizenship that the child by
13 nature and therefore also by law is born with only one allegiance and loyalty."

14 According to Apuzzo, regardless of where Obama was born, regardless of whether he
15 ever releases his long-form birth certificate, his father's citizenship status disqualifies him
16 from being president.

17 *Join the petition campaign to demand President Obama resolve the questions over his
18 birthplace by revealing his long-form, hospital-generated birth certificate!*

19 Apuzzo's definition of "natural born," however, has its critics, even among those
20 challenging Obama's eligibility on other grounds.

21 "There's nothing that I'm aware of that says you have to have two American parents," said
22 the executive director of the United States Justice Foundation, Gary Kreep, whose lawsuit
23 alleges Obama was born in Africa and thus is constitutionally ineligible. "My
24 understanding of it is if you're born in the United States, you're a natural born citizen,
25 period."

26 Indeed, a consensus on the correct definition of "natural born citizen" has eluded lawyers
and scholars for more than 200 years. The Constitution's failure to offer any definition of
the phrase whatsoever, the absence of definitive Supreme Court rulings and a wide array
of opinions through the centuries have only further confused the question of what
"natural born" actually means.

In trying to understand what the Founding Fathers meant by the phrase, some have
turned to prominent legal tomes of the day.

According to the Constitution Society, "The Law of Nations," a 1758 work by Swiss
legal philosopher Emmerich de Vattel, "was read by many of the Founders of the United

1 States of America and informed their understanding of the principles of law which
2 became established in the Constitution of 1787."

3 Vattel writes in Book 1, Chapter 19, of his book, "The natives, or natural-born citizens,
4 are those born in the country, of parents who are citizens. As the society cannot exist and
5 perpetuate itself otherwise than by the children of the citizens, those children naturally
6 follow the condition of their fathers, and succeed to all their rights. ... In order to be of
7 the country, it is necessary that a person be born of a father who is a citizen; for, if he is
8 born there of a foreigner, it will be only the place of his birth, and not his country."

9 Apuzzo also points to Vattel's work as the framework for the Founders' intent and the
10 justification for requiring a president not only be born on American soil, but also to
11 American parents.

12 The first Congress, however, began clouding the issue only two years after the
13 Constitution was ratified.

14 In the Naturalization Act of 1790, Congress passed a law that disregarded the idea of
15 being born on U.S. soil, and referred only to parentage:

16 "The children of citizens of the United States, that may be born beyond sea, or out of the
17 limits of the United States," the Act states, "shall be considered as natural born citizens:
18 Provided, that the right of citizenship shall not descend to persons whose fathers have
19 never been resident in the United States."

20 Natural Born Citizen = Two U.S. Citizen Parents

21 104

22 FIRST CONGRESS. Sess. II. CH. 4. 1790.

23 Their children
24 residing here,
25 deemed citi-
26 zens.

Also, children
of citizens born
beyond sea, &c.
Exceptions.

ceedings thereon; and thereupon such person shall be considered as a
citizen of the United States. And the children of such persons so
naturalized, dwelling within the United States, being under the age of
twenty-one years at the time of such naturalization, shall also be consi-
dered as citizens of the United States. And the children of citizens of
the United States, that may be born beyond sea, or out of the limits of
the United States, shall be considered as natural born citizens; Provided,
That the right of citizenship shall not descend to persons whose fathers
have never been resident in the United States: Provided also, That no
person heretofore proscribed by any state, shall be admitted a citizen as
aforesaid, except by an act of the legislature of the state in which such
person was proscribed.(a)

APPROVED, March 26, 1790.

Parker Shannon of the Obama File highlighted excerpts from the 1st U.S. Congress in his effort to demonstrate a natural born
citizen must be the child of two U.S. citizens.

1 Five years later, however, Congress repealed the Act and never again drafted a legally
binding definition of "natural born citizen."

2 Herb Titus, a one-time vice presidential candidate considered an expert on the U.S.
3 Constitution, says it was probably the responsibility of the electors from the 50 states or
4 members of Congress to make the determination whether President Obama is a "natural
born" U.S. citizen. Congress does have the option of challenging the results of the
5 election, but it was not used in the 2008 contest.

6 Titus also said, however, the likely most important factor in the argument should be the
president's loyalties.

7 "The reason for the concept of natural born citizens is so a country can't just throw you
8 out willy-nilly," Titus told WND. "Your citizenship is in God's sovereign decision-
9 making. You were born of certain parents.

10 "If you follow that kind of reasoning, Obama cannot be a natural-born citizen, even if he's
born in Hawaii," because of his parents, he said. "One was Kenyan and one American."

11 Furthermore, if the Founders wrote "natural born citizen" to ensure a candidate's loyalty,
12 Titus suggested, Obama's dual citizenship would create a dilemma:

13 Citing Obama's major writing work, "Dreams From my Father," Titus asked, "Did he
14 write a book about his [American] mother?"

15 John Eidsmoe, another recognized authority on the U.S. Constitution, said the
16 circumstances are a little complicated, but not unclear.

17 If a baby was born to U.S. citizens in the U.S., there would be no question of being a
"natural born" citizen, he said. For a baby born outside the U.S. to U.S. citizens, likewise.
18 But being born in the U.S. to non-citizens, Eidsmoe said, doesn't count.

19 "If he was born outside the U.S. to one citizen and one non-citizen, particularly the father,
20 it is very doubtful that he qualifies for 'natural born' citizenship," said Eidsmoe, who
works with former Judge Roy Moore's Foundation for Moral Law.

21 Eidsmoe said in many circumstances the citizenship follows the father.

22 "My conclusion if Obama was, in fact, born in Kenya, he is in all probability not a
23 'natural born' citizen," he said.

24 A commentary on the Federalist Blog wrote that an early definition of "natural born"
25 citizen was never produced because one of the framers of the Constitution, James Wilson,
26

1 wrote that a citizen of a state was a citizen of the union, "thus, no act of Congress was
required ..."

2 That would mean only states can determine "natural born" status.

3 But the same commentary pointed out that if being born on U.S. soil alone was enough,
4 there would have been no need to reference "natural born." Instead, the "native born"
5 reference would have been sufficient.

6 The commentary argued for citizenship inherited from a father.

7 "Therefore, we can say with confidence that a natural-born citizen of the United States
8 means those persons born whose father the United States already has an established
9 jurisdiction over, i.e., born to fathers who are themselves citizens of the United States," it
said.

10 James Taranto, writing at the Wall Street Journal, said Obama's place of birth is
11 important, unlike what some Obama supporters have proclaimed – but it isn't important
because of his American mother.

12 Citing the U.S. State Department, he writes: "A child born abroad to one U.S. citizen
13 parent and one alien parent acquires U.S. citizenship at birth under Section 301(g) INA
14 provided the citizen parent was physically present in the U.S. for the time period required
15 by the law applicable at the time of the child's birth (For birth on or after November 14,
1986, a period of five years physical presence, two after the age of fourteen is required.
16 For birth between December 24, 1952 and November 13, 1986, a period of ten years, five
after the age of fourteen are required for physical presence in the U.S. to transmit U.S.
citizenship to the child)."

17 "Obama was born before 1986 to married parents, and his father was an alien," Taranto
18 wrote. "Thus if it were an overseas birth, his mother would have to have lived in the U.S.
19 for 5 years after age 14 in order for her child to be a natural-born American. Mrs. Obama
was only 18 when Barack was born, so she had not even *lived* 5 years after age 14."

20 But, he said, Obama already has documented his birth, with the release of the online
21 "Certification of Live Birth."

22 The U.S. Supreme Court, for its part, has admitted the Constitution does not define what
23 is meant by "natural born citizen" and hasn't offered a ruling to solve the dispute.

24 "The Constitution does not in words say who shall be natural born citizens. Resort must
25 be had elsewhere to ascertain that," wrote the Court in the 1874 *Minor v. Happersett* case.
26 "At common law, with the nomenclature of which the Framers of the Constitution were
familiar, it was never doubted that all children born in a country of parents who were its

1 citizens became themselves, upon their birth, citizens also. These were natives or natural
2 born citizens, as distinguished from aliens or foreigners. Some authorities go further and
3 include as citizens children born within the jurisdiction without reference to the
citizenship of their parents. As to this class there have been doubts, but never as to the
first. For the purposes of this case, it is not necessary to solve these doubts."

4 Through history, different scholars and officials have offered up their opinions, which
5 have varied widely.

6 In 1862, Attorney General Edward Bates wrote a 27-page opinion paper to answer
7 whether or not "colored men" can be citizens of the United States, and in the process
8 threw out the issue of parentage altogether in the "natural born" debate, requiring only
9 birth on American soil.

10 "Our Constitution, in speaking of natural born citizens," Bates writes, "recognizes and
11 reaffirms the universal principle, common to all nations, and as old as political society,
12 that the people born in a country do constitute the nation, and, as individuals, are natural
13 members of the body politic."

14 Even recently, the issue reared its head again when Congress questioned whether John
15 McCain, who was born to two American parents, but in the Panama Canal Zone,
16 qualified as a natural born citizen.

17 Ted Olson, the former solicitor general under Bush, told NBC News, "Although I am
18 continuing to research the matter, there is little doubt in my mind that Senator McCain
19 fully meets the Constitution's qualifications to be President of the United States. In my
20 view, the plain meaning of 'natural born citizen' includes persons who become citizens of
this nation 'naturally,' that is by virtue of their birth to parents who are citizens."

21 But Jill Pryor, who 20 years previously wrote in the Yale Law Journal about the "Natural
22 Born Enigma," told NBC, "Whether a person born abroad of American parents ...
23 qualifies as natural born has never been resolved. ... Some have taken the view that
24 'natural born' means native born, that is, born in the United States, and there is no
25 authority expressly to the contrary."

26 Sarah H. Duggin, an associate law professor at Catholic University, also warned the
question is "not so simple." She told the Washington Post the matter can be fully resolved
only by a constitutional amendment or a Supreme Court decision.

"The Constitution is ambiguous," Duggin told the Post. "The McCain side has some
really good arguments, but ultimately there has never been any real resolution of this
issue."

1 On April 30, 2008, the U.S. Senate sought to answer the question by passing S. Res. 511,
2 which states, "Whereas John Sidney McCain, III, was born to American citizens on an
3 American military base in the Panama Canal Zone in 1936: Now, therefore, be it
resolved, that John Sidney McCain, III, is a 'natural born citizen' under Article II, Section
1, of the Constitution of the United States."

4 S. Res. 511 took the position two American parents qualify a child as natural born
5 regardless of the soil of birth, but the resolution is neither a legally binding document nor
6 an amendment to the Constitution. Notably, the Senate did not address Barack Obama's
natural born citizen status.

7 Peter J. Spiro, professor of law at Temple University and author of "Beyond Citizenship:
8 American Identity After Globalization," argues in an opinion piece in the Philadelphia
9 Inquirer that the whole controversy ought to just be thrown out to allow any American
citizen – whether natural born or native born or even naturalized – to be president.

10 "The natural-born provision is an artifact of a time when one's birthplace was fraught
11 with consequences," Spiro writes. "In the feudal conception of natural law, one was born
12 into the protection of a territory's sovereign, for which one was thought to owe an
13 indissoluble duty of allegiance. The Framers of the Constitution worked in an era when
such bonds were taken seriously. It made sense, then, to protect against a sleeper at the
top.

14 "Today, birthplace is hardly so meaningful," Spiro writes.

15 But to many Americans, including Apuzzo, Kreep, and the several attorneys representing
16 dozens of clients demanding the American president meet the constitutional requirements
to serve, birthplace – and, for some, birth parentage – is extremely important.

17 "The Founding Fathers emphasized that, for the sake of the survival of the constitutional
18 republic, the office of president and commander in chief of the military be free of foreign
19 influence and intrigue," Apuzzo writes. "It is the 'natural born citizen' clause that gives
the American people the best fighting chance to keep it that way for generations to come.

20 "American people do not have the constitutional right to have any certain person be
21 president," he continues. "But ... they do have a constitutional right to protect their
22 liberty by knowing and assuring that their president is constitutionally eligible and
qualified."

23 *Note: Members of the news media wishing to interview Joseph Farah, Jerome Corsi,*
24 *Drew Zahn, Joe Kovacs, Chelsea Schilling, Les Kinsolving or Bob Unruh on this issue,*
25 *please contact WND.*

EXHIBIT 18

<http://birthers.org/misc/logic.htm>

The Logical analysis of a Natural Born Citizen

and the clear and compelling evidence that Barack Hussein Obama, II is not a natural born citizen.

What is a Citizen?

Before defining what a natural born citizen is and how one acquires this status, it is important to understand what a generic citizen is and why a country bestows citizenship upon the members of a society. A citizen is a member of a particular nation who has been given certain rights, privileges, and immunities that are not given to people of other nations. Such rights include voting in elections, receiving a passport, and in some countries can include owning property. A privilege nations extend to citizens is holding elected office and some countries extend immunities to their citizens by preventing them from being extradited to face criminal charges in other countries.

Countries do not extend citizenship solely to grant rights, privileges and immunities to people. Citizenship is granted with the expectation of allegiance given to the country bestowing the benefits of citizenship. Countries demand that this allegiance be observed by its citizens and also impose obligations both civil and military. In times of crisis, nations need to draw upon its citizens to establish a loyal force to defend its borders and claims. In all times, nations, use the taxation of its citizens, both individuals and corporations to insure its treasury is adequately funded to meet its needs. These obligations are duties that its citizens must fulfill and that it cannot impose upon aliens and foreigners.

The most basic definition of a citizen is one who is a member of a particular nation and is entitled to receive rights, privileges and immunities from that particular nation that are not bestowed upon people of other nations in exchange for their allegiance in performing certain obligations not expected of people of other nations when called upon to do so.

Merriam Webster dictionary defines a citizen as “a person owing allegiance to and entitled to the protection of a sovereign state.”

1 Black's Law dictionary defines a citizen as "a person who owes allegiance to, and may
2 claim reciprocal protection from, a government."

3 **The Current Methods of Becoming a US Citizen**

4 Throughout the history of the United States of America there have been only three major
5 classes of citizens: original, naturalized and born. On April 19, 1775, the United States of
6 America entered into armed revolt against the tyrannical rule of the English King. On that
7 date, these freedom fighters ceased being subjects of Great Britain and became
8 Americans. July 4, 1776 was merely the date when the second Continental Congress
9 declared to the world its intentions that had already been manifested on the battlefields.
10 From April 19, 1775 until September 17, 1787, those that remained or who came to the
11 13 original states and who were naturalized by the laws of those states during that time
12 were classified as original citizens in the Constitution. This classification of citizenship
13 no longer exists in the present day United States.

14 A naturalized citizen is a very specific class of citizen whose existence is defined in our
15 Constitution and laws. Naturalization is the process by which aliens declare their intent to
16 be a member of the United States. Setting forth the rules of naturalization is a
17 constitutional function of Congress under Article I. Since 1790, the Congress has
18 enacted naturalization laws, which determine how a foreign national transforms into a
19 national of the United States. A naturalized citizen is equal to in status as any other class
20 of citizen except those of the natural born citizen class, and only for the expressed
21 purpose of Article II, Section 1.

22 The last method and the most common way to become an American citizen is simply to
23 be born a citizen. The United States has always had two principles that determine if a
24 person is born a citizen of the United States.


25 ... the United States recognizes the U.S. citizenship of individuals according to
26 two fundamental principles: ***jus soli*** (right of birthplace) and ***jus sanguinis*** (right
of blood). *From the office of Citizenship and Immigration Services*

Historically *jus sanguinis* is the oldest principle used to determine citizenship. It was so
prior to the Fourteenth Amendment in the majority of the states when the States
themselves were sovereign and created the rules to determine who was a born citizen of
that State and by extension of the Nation. Some States extended citizenship to people
born within those States (*jus soli*), while other States granted citizenship to the children
of citizens of those States (*jus sanguinis*.) Each State placed restrictions upon who could
be granted citizenship based upon birth. These restrictions were based upon one's status
and race. Some states discriminated against the race of a person, other states
discriminated against one's status as a freeman, bondservant or slave regardless of race,
and some states restricted citizenship based upon a combination of both statuses.

1 The term jus sanguinis describes a person born of parents who are citizens and jus soli
2 describes a person born in the country. Persons who are born only under the principle of
3 jus sanguinis are called a “consanguineously born citizens,” and their claim of citizenship
4 is based on inheritance. . On the other hand persons who claims their citizenship based
5 solely on the principle of jus soli are called “native born citizens” and these persons’
6 claims are based on the geographical location of their birth. Both principles are equal in
7 making one a born citizen. Being a born citizen extends to them one particular immunity
8 not given to naturalized citizens, in that born citizens are immune from involuntarily
9 renouncing their citizenship. A naturalized citizen can have his citizenship revoked for
10 several reasons, but under current law, born citizens must walk into a US Embassy
11 abroad and in front of the Consul renounce their citizenship.

12 The only two methods of obtaining US citizenship today are defined as Naturalization
13 and Birth. Of the birth method of obtaining citizenship there are two principles
14 recognized under our law. These are jus soli, which is based on the place of birth, and jus
15 sanguinis which is based on the parents. We are now able to refer to the Euler diagram
16 below called “Methods of Citizenship” in determining the ways to be considered a US
17 citizen today. *(If you are unfamiliar with Euler diagrams, the large circle and the space
18 not occupied by either naturalized or born citizens does not indicate that there is any
19 other type of citizen. The large circle is used to collect the two methods of becoming US
20 citizens in one location called US Citizen so to separate them from other citizens such as
21 a citizen of the world or a citizen of Rome.)*

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26



Legend									
	Citizen of the US		Naturalized Citizen		Born Citizen (jus sanguinis)		Born Citizen (jus soli)		Born Citizen (jus sanguinis & jus soli)

Methods of Citizenship

From this diagram, we are able to make nine logical statements concerning general citizenship in the United States.

1. All naturalized citizens are citizens of the United States.
2. All born citizens are citizens of the United States.
3. All born citizens are not naturalized citizens.
4. All naturalized citizens are not born citizens.
5. All Born citizens under the principle of jus soli are citizens of the United States.

- 1 6. All born citizens under the principle of jus sanguinis are citizens of the United States
- 2 7. All born citizens under both the principles of jus soli and jus sanguinis are citizens of the United States.
- 3 8. Not all born citizens under the principle of jus soli are born citizens under the principle of jus sanguinis. (i.e. children born in the US to alien parents)
- 4 9. Not all born citizens under the principle of jus sanguinis are born citizens under the principle of jus soli. (i.e. children born to US parents overseas)
- 5

6 **The Reason for a “natural born citizen” in Article** 7 **II, Section 1 of the US Constitution**

8 **No person except a natural born citizen, or a citizen of the United States, at**
9 **the time of the adoption of this Constitution, shall be eligible to the office of**
10 **President; neither shall any person be eligible to that office who shall not**
11 **have attained to the age of thirty five years, and been fourteen Years a**
12 **resident within the United States. Article II, Section 1**

13 *Permit me to hint, whether it would be wise and seasonable to provide a strong*
14 *check to the admission of Foreigners into the administration of our national*
15 *Government; and to declare expressly that the Commander in Chief of the*
16 *American army shall not be given to nor devolve on, any but a natural born*
17 *Citizen. John Jay, July 25, 1787*

18 To understand whom the future Chief Justice John Jay wanted to exclude from being
19 Commander in Chief we need to examine the definition of Foreigner. Using the three
20 most authoritative dictionaries, we can see who should be excluded and for what reason.
21 We can then start to arrive at a definition of a “natural born citizen,” that meets this
22 requirement.

23 Merriam Webster Dictionary - “a person belonging to or owing allegiance to a
24 foreign country.”

25 Oxford English Dictionary – “One who is a subject of another country than that in
26 which he resides. A resident foreign in origin and not naturalized, whose
allegiance is thus due to a foreign state.”

Blacks Law Dictionary - “A person who is not a citizen or subject of the state or
country in which mention is made, or any one owing allegiance to a foreign state
or sovereign”

1 What all of these definitions have in common with the word citizen is allegiance. The
2 target of the allegiance is different between a foreigner and a citizen. Since the reason for
3 this prohibition of the admission of Foreigners into the office of Commander in Chief, is
4 to prevent the military from being used by non-American powers against the Republic.
5 Jay recommended and the framers agreed that this person must have a natural allegiance
6 that is total and absolute to the Nation and to no any other nation or potentate.

7 We can look at the citizenship types from the diagram above and make some logical
8 statements of both methods (naturalized and born) of being a citizen in light of
9 allegiance. These statements are made with reliance on generally known and accepted
10 facts.


11 Naturalized Citizens are not considered for President because of the following
12 observations that could allow the admission of Foreigners into the Administration
13 holding the post of Commander in Chief.

- 14 1. All naturalized citizens were citizens of another country at one point before
15 naturalization.
- 16 2. All naturalized citizens renounce the former allegiances and take an oath of
17 allegiance to the United States, but not all naturalized citizens are honest taking
18 the oath of allegiance.
 - 19 a. Some countries send their nationals into a targeted country to become
20 citizens for the purpose of facilitating the affairs of their country of origin.
21 These "citizens" are not acting as registered agents of their former
22 countries, but are acting as de facto spies for that country. However,
23 because they took the oath of allegiance they are not considered enemy
24 agents or spies they are considered traitors.

25 This does not mean that all naturalized citizens are disloyal or have ulterior motives for
26 coming to America. It simply means that allowing naturalized citizens to be President
opens the possibility of a Foreigner acting under foreign influence. It is understood by
the vast majority of American citizens that naturalized citizens are ineligible to be
President of the United States and further discussion of this method of becoming a citizen
is not necessary.

At this point discussion will be based solely on the method of citizenship called Born
Citizen. As stated above there are two legal principles at work in determining if one is a
born citizen. Refer to the Euler diagram below called "Principles of Born Citizenship"

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26



Principles of Born Citizenship

Not all Born Citizens are considered for President because of the following observations that could allow the admission of Foreigners into the Administration holding the post of Commander in Chief.



1. All born citizens owe allegiance to the United States.
2. Not all born citizens under jus soli have complete and sole allegiance to the United States from birth, and some may be considered foreigners.
 - a. Some born citizens, especially those born to alien parents inherit citizenship via jus sanguinis from their parents' native countries.
 - i. It is possible for a born citizen US citizen to be born with citizenship in three distinct countries. These citizenships can come from the country of birth via jus soli, and the country of the father and the country of the mother via jus sanguinis.
3. Not all born citizens under jus sanguinis have complete and sole allegiance to the United States from birth, and some may be considered foreigners.
 - a. Some born citizens overseas receive citizenship in the country of birth via jus soli.
 - b. Some born citizens overseas receive citizenship from a non-US citizen parent via jus sanguinis.

1 4. All born citizens under both jus soli and jus sanguinis from both US citizen
2 parents have complete and sole allegiance to the United States from birth, their
3 allegiance cannot be claimed by another country.

- 3 a. No other country can grant citizenship via jus soli.
- 4 b. No other country can grant citizenship via jus sanguinis.

- 5 i. Naturalized citizen parents have renounced their former
6 citizenships to become naturalized American citizens and can no
7 longer pass on jus sanguinis citizenship of their former country as
8 an automatic birthright.

9 We can now say with certainty that the term “a natural born citizen” is a person who is
10 born owing to only one country his or her complete and undivided national allegiance. It
11 is only to this individual that the Constitution of the United States of America entrusts the
12 office of President and the responsibilities of Commander in Chief to, there is no other. A
13 natural born citizen is a refining subset of that group of citizens called born citizens.
14 Refer to figure labeled, “The Unification Principles of Natural Born Citizen.”



The Unification Principles of Natural Born Citizen

1 At the time of the drafting and ratification of the United States constitution, there
 2 was one and only one definition that combined both principles of jus soli and jus
 sanguinis into a definition of natural born citizen.

3 *“The citizens are the members of the civil society; bound to this society by certain*
 4 *duties, and subject to its authority, they equally participate in its advantages. The*
 5 *natives, or **natural-born citizens, are those born in the country, of parents who***
 6 ***are citizens.** As the society cannot exist and perpetuate itself otherwise than by the*
 7 *children of the citizens, those children naturally follow the condition of their*
 8 *fathers, and succeed to all their rights. The society is supposed to desire this, in*
 9 *consequence of what it owes to its own preservation; and it is presumed, as*
 10 *matter of course, that each citizen, on entering into society, reserves to his*
 11 *children the right of becoming members of it. The country of the fathers is*
 12 *therefore that of the children; and these become true citizens merely by their tacit*
 consent. We shall soon see whether, on their coming to the years of discretion,
 they may renounce their right, and what they owe to the society in which they
 were born. I say, that, in order to be of the country, it is necessary that a person
 be born of a father who is a citizen; for, if he is born there of a foreigner, it will
 be only the place of his birth, and not his country.” Emmerich Vattel, Law of
 Nations, § 212. Of the citizens and natives

13 Vattel’s definition of what a natural born citizen is was first codified into American
 14 Common Law in the Supreme Court decision of THE VENUS, 12 U. S. 253 (1814)

15 *“Vattel, who, though not very full to this point, is more explicit and more*
 16 *satisfactory on it than any other whose work has fallen into my hands, says “The*
 17 *citizens are the members of the civil society; bound to this society by certain*
 18 *duties, and subject to its authority, they equally participate in its advantages. The*
 19 *natives or indigenes are those born in the country of parents who are citizens.*
 20 *Society not being able to subsist and to perpetuate itself but by the children of the*
 21 *citizens, those children naturally follow the condition of their fathers, and succeed*
 22 *to all their rights. ”*

23 This definition was echoed by Congressman John A. Bingham, who is considered the
 24 architect of the Fourteenth Amendment. Although the congressman said this concerning
 25 the Civil Rights Act of 1866, this definition was not replaced by the Fourteenth
 26 Amendment.

“I find no fault with the introductory clause, which is simply declaratory of what
 is written in the Constitution, that every human being born within the jurisdiction
 of the United States of parents not owing allegiance to any foreign sovereignty is,
 in the language of your Constitution itself, a natural born citizen; but, sir, I may
 be allowed to say further, that I deny that the Congress of the United States ever
 had the power or color of power to say that any man born within the jurisdiction

1 *of the United States, and not owing a foreign allegiance, is not and shall not be a*
2 *citizen of the United States.”* John A. Bingham, (R-Ohio) US Congressman,
 March 9, 1866




3 This definition has been again codified into American Common Law through the case of
4 MINOR V. HAPPERSETT

5 *The Constitution does not in words say who shall be natural-born citizens. Resort*
6 *must be had elsewhere to ascertain that. At common law, with the nomenclature*
7 *of which the framers of the Constitution were familiar, it was never doubted that*
8 *all children born in a country of parents who were its citizens became themselves,*
9 *upon their birth, citizens also. These were natives or natural-born citizens, as*
10 *distinguished from aliens or foreigners. Some authorities go further and include*
11 *as citizens children born within the jurisdiction without reference to the*
12 *citizenship of their parents. As to this class there have been doubts, but never as*
13 *to the first. For the purposes of this case, it is not necessary to solve these doubts.*
 It is sufficient for everything we have now to consider that all children born of
 citizen parents within the jurisdiction are themselves citizens. The words "all
 children" are certainly as comprehensive, when used in this connection, as "all
 persons," and if females are included in the last, they must be in the first. That
 they are included in the last is not denied. In fact, the whole argument of the
 plaintiffs proceeds upon that idea. Unanimous opinion of the court. MINOR V.
 HAPPERSETT, 88 U. S. 162 (1874)

14 The definition of a natural born citizen written by Vattel and recounted by both
15 Congressman Bingham and the Supreme Court, was what the Framers of the Constitution
16 wanted when they wrote and ratified Article II, Section 1. There can be no other definition
17 that provides the strong check that John Jay urged Washington to incorporate into the
18 Constitution to guard against those who could have allegiances to a foreign power from
19 being Commander and Chief of our armed forces. Until we as a nation change the
20 Constitution this is the only standard we can use to call someone an Article II natural
21 born citizen.

22 Using available material the Framers of Constitution and the architects of Article II,
23 section 1 had on hand, authoritative statements made by one of the architects of the
24 Fourteenth Amendment, and the Supreme Court decisions both before and after the
25 ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment, allows us to create a natural born citizen
26 matrix.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Citizenship Matrix of a natural born citizen		
Contributor to	Principle of Birthright	Result
Citizenship of Father	jus sanguinis	American Citizen 
Citizenship of Mother	jus sanguinis	American Citizen 
Place of birth	jus soli	United States 

The Fourteenth Amendment does not make one born in the United States “a natural born citizen,” it only makes them a “born citizen.”

All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the state wherein they reside. Fourteenth Amendment, Section 1, Clause 1

The Fourteenth Amendment was ratified to insure that no state could or would deprive the newly freed slaves or their children the rights of citizenship. This can be seen in the remaining text of Section 1.

No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws. Fourteenth Amendment, Section 1, Clause 2

This Amendment did not nor does it alter the principle of jus sanguinis. The principle of jus sanguinis has been established by the States before the Constitution and codified into national law since 1790. The freed slaves were not US citizens at the time they were freed and could not have passed US Citizenship to their children. This amendment extended the principle of jus soli uniformly across the nation, hence the term ‘born ... in the United States’ appears in the Fourteenth Amendment.

1 The plain words of this section cannot be ignored. There is no term “natural born”
2 anywhere to be found. The reason it is not found is because there are the two methods of
3 citizenship that are joined by a logical ‘or,’ which treats both methods as being equal.
4 What they are equal to is the most generic term citizen. This is the one concept all
5 American citizens share, whether we are a naturalized citizen, a born citizen under the
6 principle of jus soli, a born citizen under the principle of jus sanguinis, or a natural born
7 citizen under both principles of jus soli and jus sanguinis, we are all citizens of the United
8 States.



9 The most disputed term in the Fourteenth Amendment is the term, ‘subject to the
10 jurisdiction thereof.’ From the civil rights act we find the words, “*That all persons born
11 in the United States and not subject to any foreign power, excluding Indians not taxed,
12 are hereby declared to be citizens of the United States.*” We can clearly see that just two
13 years later the phrase, “*not subject to any foreign power, excluding Indians not taxed,*”
14 was replaced with the phrase, ‘*subject to the jurisdiction thereof.*’ Clearly this did not
15 change the essence of meaning, as the most complete and reliable definition we have
16 closest to the ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment is from Senator Lyman Trumbull,
17 the Chairman of the Senate Judiciary Committee who was instrumental in drafting the
18 citizenship clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. Senator Trumbull clearly and succinctly
19 states the meaning of ‘subject to the jurisdiction’, “*What do we mean by 'subject to the
20 jurisdiction' of the United States? Not owing allegiance to anyone else. That is what it
21 means ... It cannot be said of any (one) who owes allegiance ... to some other government
22 that he is 'subject' to the jurisdiction of the United States.*” It is not our intention to
23 expand this paper into other current topics, the explanation of “subject to the
24 jurisdiction” is only to reinforce the fact that at the time of the Fourteenth Amendment
25 the general sentiment was that US citizenship carried with it a complete allegiance to the
26 United States.

17 The phrase ‘subject to the jurisdiction’ has been interpreted differently then what the
18 Amendments architects originally said in the case of Wong Kim Ark. This is a landmark
19 case in citizenship. It is not our intention to take this into the topic of immigration;
20 however it is necessary to examine this decision as it relates to the specifics of a natural
21 born citizen. There is one statement in the decision of Wong Kim Ark that seems to add
22 unnecessary confusion to the term a “natural born citizen.”

21 “*The child of an alien, if born in the country, is as much a citizen as the natural
22 born child of a citizen, and by operation of the same principle.*” Justice Horace
23 Gray Wong Kim Ark Case, 169 U.S. 649 (1898)

23 There are historical factors that need explanation. But first let’s simply look at the logic
24 of what Justice Gray is saying. He is saying the principle (singular) that both a native
25 born and natural born share is the same. We know that a “natural born citizen” is a citizen
26 that has two principles to claim citizenship with, jus soli and jus sanguinis. On the other
hand, a “native born citizen” has to satisfy only one principle, jus soli to be granted


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26



Courtesy of theBirthers.org

How does this effect Barack Hussein Obama, II and his sworn declaration of being a natural born citizen?

1 Primarily is the issue of the Certificate of Live Birth that Barack Hussein Obama, II is
 2 secreting from the American public is of major concern because it deprives the public of
 3 the information needed to determine if he is telling the truth, or lying about his natural
 4 born citizen status. Unlike the COLB, which is short hand for Certification of Live Birth,
 5 the Certificate of Live Birth has the necessary information to either quickly determine the
 6 natural born status of the child or can easily point to further documentation needed to
 7 conclude this determination. The key pieces of information contained in the Hawaiian
 8 Certificate of Live Birth are the place of birth of the child and the place of birth for both
 9 parents. (See photo called Hawaiian Long Form from August 5, 1961) If either the father
 10 or mother were born overseas, then the next piece of evidence required to validate the
 11 "natural born citizen" claim would be proof of American citizenship of the parents of the
 12 parent born overseas. This can be either in the form of a naturalization certificate or birth
 13 certificates of the parents of the parent born overseas proving they were able to transfer
 14 jus sanguinis, birthright citizenship to the parent of the child requiring confirmation of his
 15 or her claim.



Hawaiian Long Form from August 5, 1961

1 Putting aside the issue of what constitutes a legal Certificate of Live Birth for proving
2 natural born status under Article II, Section 1. If we take the information found in Barack
3 Hussein Obama, II's "Fight the Smears" website we discover the following

4 Obama is claiming to be a native citizen of the United States of America. This means he
5 is claiming a status of 'a Born Citizen' under the principle of jus soli. It is interesting to
6 note that he is not claiming a natural born status, as required by Article II. Leave it as it is
7 for now.

8 On the same page, we see from FactCheck.org, Barack Hussein Obama, II admitting his
9 father was a British subject at the time of his birth. Furthermore the cite states that his
10 birth was governed by the British government through the British Nationality Act of
11 1948.

12 FactCheck.org Clarifies Barack's Citizenship



13 "When Barack Obama Jr. was born on Aug. 4, 1961, in Honolulu,
14 Kenya was a British colony, still part of the United Kingdom's
15 dwindling empire. As a Kenyan native, Barack Obama Sr. was a
16 British subject whose citizenship status was governed by The
17 British Nationality Act of 1948. That same act governed the status
18 of Obama Sr.'s children.

19 Since Sen. Obama has neither renounced his U.S. citizenship nor
20 sworn an oath of allegiance to Kenya, his Kenyan citizenship
21 automatically expired on Aug. 4, 1982."

22 This Act conferred the title of British subject upon Barack Hussein Obama, II.

23 Under Section 5 of this Act, citizenship is passed from father to child.

24 5. (1) Subject to the provisions of this section, a person born after the
25 commencement of this Act shall be a citizen of the United Kingdom and Colonies
26 by descent if his father is a citizen of the United Kingdom and Colonies at the
time of the birth:

Provided that if the father of such a person is a citizen of the United Kingdom and
Colonies by descent only, that person shall not be a citizen of the United
Kingdom and Colonies by virtue of this section unless—

1 (a) that person is born or his father was born in a protectorate, protected state,
 2 mandated territory or trust territory or any place in a foreign country where by
 3 treaty, capitulation, grant, usage, sufferance, or other lawful means, His Majesty
 4 then has or had jurisdiction over British subjects; or

5 Note: Barack Hussien Obama, Sr. was in fact born in Kenya a British
 6 Protectorate and crown colony. At one time Hawaii was a British
 7 Protectorate, (1794–1843), in which the British Crown had jurisdiction of
 8 British Subjects. If you have any doubts please look at the flag of Hawaii.
 9 Either of these provisions fulfills the requirements of subsection 5 of the
 10 British Nationality Act. Regardless if his birthplace was Kenya or Hawaii
 11 Barack Hussein Obama, II is a British Subject. His father’s birth was in a
 12 British Colony or his birth if in Hawaii which was at one time a British
 13 Protectorate.




14 (b) that person's birth having occurred in a place in a foreign country other than
 15 a place such as is mentioned in the last foregoing paragraph, the birth is registered
 16 at a United Kingdom consulate within one year of its occurrence, or, with the
 17 permission of the Secretary of State, later; or

18 Note: While subparagraph (a) makes this irrelevant, we have had neither a
 19 statement from Obama, II stating this option was not exercised, nor do we have
 20 independent confirmation from an authoritative source denying this.

21 Using the information contained on his website, we can accurately produce a natural
 22 born citizen matrix for him to see if he is in fact an Article II, natural born citizen.

23

24

Citizenship Matrix of Barack Hussein Obama, II		
Contributor to	Principle of Birthright	Result
Citizenship of Father	jus sanguinis	British Subject 
Citizenship of Mother	jus sanguinis	American Citizen 
Place of birth	jus soli	United States 

25

26

Using both the law and logic it can now clearly be seen that Barack Hussein Obama, II is not a natural born citizen as required to hold the office of President of the United states of


1 America and be the Commander in Chief of its armies as required under Article II,
2 Section 1 of the Constitution of the United States of America.

3 He does not meet the full and complete description of a “natural born citizen,” which is a
4 citizen who has unity of citizenship at birth to one and only one country via by both Jus
5 soli (place) and Jus sanguinis (the parents,) who is born in the country to two citizens of
6 the country. Such a citizen can only have his allegiance claimed by one country. A
7 natural born citizen cannot evade civic or military obligations by repatriating himself or
8 herself to another country since a natural born citizen does not have dual or multiple
9 citizenships by birth. A natural born citizen who gives his or her allegiance to another
10 country during a time of war cannot justify it by saying he or she is a spy or a patriot for
11 some other country for which he or she also has citizenship via birth. Such a person is
12 simply a traitor to his or her natural born country.

13 Obama’s refusal to release his long form Certificate of Live Birth from Hawaii, his
14 manipulation of facts, his own statements can only lead a reasonable person to believe
15 that he intentionally has lead the United States of America into a Constitutional Crisis.

16 However, this can now be resolved by the state court of the State of Arizona, if there is
17 one sheriff, one prosecutor, one judge, one state representative loyal to the Constitution,
18 because on December 13, 2007 at 3:01 PM Mountain Time, Barrack Hussein Obama, II
19 fraudulently filed a sworn affidavit in his own hand that declared himself to be a natural
20 born citizen of the United States of America, and that he has fulfilled the requirements
21 under the Constitution. The landmark case of Clinton v. Jones, 520 U.S. 681 (1997) has
22 set the precedent that a sitting President is not immune from prosecution for acts
23 committed before taking office.
24
25
26

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26



The question now is can America find one honest public servant in Arizona who believes in the supremacy of the US Constitution. If we can find officers of the court loyal to the Constitution then Chris Matthews will really get a tingle up his leg when he sees Obama in the pink underwear issued to him by Sheriff Joe Arpaio

Barack Hussein Obama II is NOT an Article II Natural Born Citizen of the USA!

EXHIBIT 19

http://www.newsmax.com/ruddy/Obama_birth_certificate/2009/08/05/244380.html

Christopher Ruddy

Barack Obama's Birth Certificate: Why It Matters

Wednesday, August 5, 2009 3:54 PM

Article Font Size - +

By: Christopher Ruddy

Where was Barack Obama born?

It's a fair question.

But we still don't know the answer because Obama won't tell us, because he remains the most mysterious man ever to sit in the Oval Office.

This week I appeared on Bill O'Reilly's No. 1 rated "The O'Reilly Factor" to discuss the controversy over Obama's birth certificate, along with syndicated radio host Mike Gallagher. [See the video of my appearance — [Click Here Now.](#)]

Let me make clear that I believe Obama was born somewhere in the state of Hawaii. Days after his birth, a small legal notice was printed in the local newspaper announcing his birth.

And the head of Hawaii's Health Department has stated that he reviewed pertinent documents and that Obama was indeed born in that state.

So, those who believe Obama was born outside the United States, such as in Kenya, are simply out to lunch.

But the real story here is about Obama's failure to release his birth certificate.

As the state of Hawaii has made clear, the actual birth certificate has never been

1 released.

2 Obama has released another document, the certification of live birth. This document
3 does not provide basic information, such as the place of Obama's birth or the doctor
4 who conducted the procedure.

4 My brother Dan, a presidential historian who has a book coming out next year on
5 Theodore Roosevelt, pointed out to me how rare Obama is among presidents.

6 He says we have no idea of his birthplace.

7 Dan notes that, of our unbroken line of 43 men who have served as president, only
8 Zachary Taylor and Andrew Jackson, both born on the frontier, have disputed birth
9 sites. All others have some commemoration for their place of birth — except Obama.

10 In Manhattan, you can stroll down to 28 E. 20th St., between Park Avenue South and
11 Broadway, and visit the quaint brownstone that Theodore Roosevelt was born and
12 raised in.

12 For Obama there is no plaque, nothing. (His family has given two different hospitals in
13 Hawaii he was alleged to have been born in.)

14 We should know where our president was born. There is nothing conspiratorial in
15 having an answer to that question.

15 President Obama is no longer a private citizen. He is part of a chain of history that
16 stretches back to George Washington.

17 His birth site is just as relevant as those of the 42 men who came before him, and if
18 these sites are not relevant, than why do we as a nation go out of our way to
19 commemorate all of them?

19 When I asked Bill O'Reilly where in Honolulu Obama was born, he replied: "Chris, if
20 I wanted to know I'd find out tomorrow . . ."

21 Good luck, Bill. The Obama White House is the most secretive ever.

22 Since Obama announced his candidacy, much of his life has been shrouded in secrecy.

23 He still has never released his college transcripts, his records as a state legislator in
24 Illinois, his full medical records, even the names of donors who reportedly contributed
25 hundreds of millions of dollars that helped make him president.

26

1 In the past, the disclosure of such documents has been de rigueur for presidential
2 candidates.

3 When Sen. John McCain was questioned about his birth outside the United States in
4 the Panama Canal Zone, he released his birth certificate quickly.

5 When McCain was questioned about his health, he released 1,500 pages of medical
6 records.

7 When questions arose about donations to his campaign, McCain put his full donor file,
8 even names he didn't have to disclose, online for anyone to see.

9 So, let me be clear.

10 The issue over Obama's birth certificate is not about President Obama's citizenship.

11 It is about his honesty and his promise to be the most transparent president ever.

12 Releasing his birth certificate and other personal records that presidents have
13 traditionally released to the public would go a long way toward bolstering those
14 claims.

15 P.S. I am listing below all of our presidents and details of their places of birth.

16 1. **George Washington** — Address: George Washington Birthplace National
17 Monument; Rural Route 1; Box 717; Washington's Birthplace, Va. 22443

18 2. **John Adams** — Address: 133 Franklin St.; Quincy, Mass. 02669

19 3. **Thomas Jefferson** — Address: U.S. 250; 3 miles east of Charlottesville, Va.

20 4. **James Madison** — Address: Monroe Hall; Virginia SR 205; Westmoreland County
21 near Colonial Beach, Va. 22443

22 5. **James Monroe** — Address: Monroe Hall; Virginia SR 205; Westmoreland County
23 near Colonial Beach, Va. 22443

24 6. **John Quincy Adams** — Address: 141 Franklin St.; Quincy, Mass. 02169

25 7. **Andrew Jackson** — Address: 14 miles south of Rock Hill on South Carolina State
26 Route 5. The Park is on Route 1. Address: 196 Andrew Jackson Park Road; Lancaster,
S.C. 29720

- 1 8. **Martin Van Buren** — Address: 46 Hudson St.; Kinderhook, New York 12106
- 2 9. **William Henry Harrison** — Address: 12602 Harrison Landing Road; Charles City,
3 Va. 23030
- 4 10. **John Tyler** — Address: John Tyler Memorial Highway; Charles City, Va. 23030
- 5 11. **James Polk** — Address: Box 475; Pineville, N.C. 28134
- 6 12. **Zachary Taylor** — Address: Highway 33; 5 miles west of Gordonsville, Va., and
7 just over 20 miles from Charlottesville, Va.
- 8 13. **Millard Fillmore** — Address: Millard Fillmore Birthplace; Locke, N.Y. 13092
- 9 14. **Franklin Pierce** — Address: The Pierce Homestead; Routes 9 and 31; Hillsboro,
10 N.H. 03244
- 11 15. **James Buchanan** — Address: Buchanan Historic Site; Mercersburg, Pa. 17236
- 12 16. **Abraham Lincoln** — Address: Sinking Spring Farm; 2995 Lincoln Farm Road;
13 Hodgenville, Ky. 42748
- 14 17. **Andrew Johnson** — Address: Mordecai Historic Park; Wake Forest Road;
15 Raleigh, N.C. 27601
- 16 18. **Ulysses Grant** — Address: Grant's Birthplace; Routes 52E and 322; Point
17 Pleasant, Ohio 45143
- 18 19. **Rutherford Hayes** — Address: Rutherford B. Hayes Birthplace; East William
19 Street; Delaware, Ohio 43015
- 20 20. **James Garfield** — Address: James A. Garfield Birthplace; 4350 S.O.M Center
21 Road; Moreland Hills (now Chagrin Falls); Cuyahoga County, Ohio 44022
- 22 21. **Chester Arthur** — Address: Chester A. Arthur State Historic Site; Route 36;
23 Fairfield, Vt. 05455
- 24 22. **Grover Cleveland** — Address: Grover Cleveland Birthplace State Historic Site;
25 207 Bloomfield Avenue; Caldwell, N.J. 07006
- 26 23. **Benjamin Harrison** — Address: Benjamin Harrison Birthplace; William Henry
Harrison Home; Symmes and Washington Avenues; North Bend, Ohio 45052

1 24. **Grover Cleveland** — Address: Grover Cleveland Birthplace State Historic Site;
207 Bloomfield Avenue; Caldwell, N.J. 07006

2
3 25. **William McKinley** — Address: William McKinley Birthplace; 36 S. Main St.;
Niles, Ohio 44446

4 26. **Theodore Roosevelt** — Address: Theodore Roosevelt Birthplace National Historic
5 Site; 28 East 20th St.; New York, N.Y. 10003

6 27. **William Taft** — Address: 2038 Auburn Ave., Cincinnati, Ohio 45219

7 28. **Woodrow Wilson** — Address: 18-24 Coalter Street, Staunton, Va. 24401

8 29. **Warren Harding** — Address: Highways 97 and 288, Blooming Grove, Ohio
9 44878

10 30. **Calvin Coolidge** — Address: P.O. Box 247, Plymouth, Vermont 05056

11 31. **Herbert Hoover** — Address: West Branch, Iowa 52538

12 32. **Franklin Roosevelt** — Address: 519 Albany Post Road, Hyde Park, N.Y. 12538

13 33. **Harry Truman** — Address: 1009 Truman Ave., Lamar, Mo. 64759

14 34. **Dwight Eisenhower** — Address: 208 East Day St., Denison, Texas 75020

15 35. **John Kennedy** — Address: 83 Beals St., Brookline, Mass. 02146

16 36. **Lyndon Johnson** — Address: Box 329 Johnson City, Texas 78636

17 37. **Richard Nixon** — Address: 18001 Yorba Linda Blvd., Yorba Linda, Calif. 92686

18 38. **Gerald Ford** — Address: 3202 Woolworth Ave, Omaha, Neb. 68103

19 39. **James Carter** — Address: 300 North Bond St., Plains, Ga. 31780

20 40. **Ronald Reagan** — Address: 119 S. Main St., Tampico, Ill. 61283

21 41. **George H.W. Bush** — Address: 173 Adams St, Milton, Mass. 02187

22 42. **Bill Clinton** — Address: Bill Clinton was born at the Julia Chester Hospital in
23 Hope, Ark. The hospital has been demolished.

1 43. **George W. Bush** — Address: George W. was born to Barbara and George Bush in
2 what was then Grace-New Haven Community Hospital and is now Yale-New Haven
3 Hospital.

4 44. **Barack Obama** — Address: Unknown.

5 © 2009 Newsmax. All rights reserved
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

EXHIBIT 20

<http://naturalborncitizen.wordpress.com/2009/08/17/ap-issues-chester-arthur-propaganda-to-protect-obama/>

Posted in Uncategorized on August 17, 2009 by naturalborncitizen

Leo Donofrio

AP Issues Chester Arthur Propaganda To Protect Obama.



Today the AP issued a story titled "Obama Birthplace Flap Evokes Arthur Debate". The story makes an analogy between the Obama birth certificate issue and the controversy surrounding Chester Arthur's birthplace. The story contains a lie. It states that Chester Arthur never publicly addressed the issue of Hinman's allegations that he was born in Canada. But Arthur did specifically address these issues in the Brooklyn Eagle newspaper.

However, AP and MSNBC won't tell you that because Arthur was caught lying about his parents heritage in those newspaper interviews. He was lying to cover up the fact that Hinman was correct – Chester Arthur was a British subject – but for a different reason than where he was born.

1 AP and MSNBC forgot to mention that Chester Arthur's father William didn't become a
2 naturalized citizen of the US until 1843 – 14 years after old Chester was born. This
3 means that Chester Arthur was not a natural born citizen since at the time of his birth he
4 was a subject of Great Britain. These facts as to Chester Arthur's failure to meet the
5 Constitutional requirement were first reported at this blog back in December '08.

6 Please see that report, Historical Breakthrough – Proof: Chester Arthur Concealed He
7 Was A British Subject At Birth.

8 No main stream media outlet has reported this historical discovery and as we can see by
9 the AP piece today, objective reporting has been replaced by propaganda. AP reported as
10 follows:

11 ***Never addressed allegation***

12 *Democrats, meanwhile, hired a lawyer named Arthur Hinman who sought to discredit
13 Arthur, claiming he was born in Dunham, Quebec, about 47 miles north of Fairfield.
14 Hinman traveled to Vermont and Canada to research Arthur's past, eventually
15 concluding that Arthur was born in Canada but appropriated the birth records of a baby
16 brother who was born in Fairfield, but died as an infant.*

17 *He later incorporated the findings into a book titled "How A British Subject Became
18 President of the United States."*

19 *Arthur, who served from 1881 to 1885, never publicly addressed the allegation.*

20 But Arthur did address the issue.

21 In the Brooklyn Eagle newspaper, an article interviewing Chester Arthur about Hinman's
22 accusations was published on August 13, 1880. In that article, Chester Arthur defended
23 himself as follows:

24 *"My father, the late Rev. William Arthur, D.D., was of Scotch blood, and was a native of
25 the North of Ireland. He came to this country when he was eighteen years of age, and
26 resided here several years before he was married."*

This was another blatant lie. His father emigrated from Ireland to Canada at the age of
22 or 23. William Arthur didn't come to the United States until sometime between
March 1822 – when his first child was born in Dunham, Canada – and March 1824 –
when his second child was born in Burlington, Vermont. The youngest he could have
been when he came to Vermont was 26.

On August 16, 1880 Chester Arthur told the Brooklyn Eagle newspaper that at the time of
his birth, his father was *forty years old*. Another blatant lie. His father would have been
only *thirty-three years old* when Chester was born.

1 In that same article he lied that his father settled in Vermont and reiterated the lie that
2 William came here at the age of eighteen. This age discrepancy was exposed in the
August 19, 1880 edition of the Brooklyn Eagle in an article written by Hinman .

3 It was very convenient for Arthur that Hinman kept the focus on the extraordinary and
4 false claim – that Arthur was born abroad – while the more subtle and true eligibility
issue stayed hidden in plain site.

5 AP just published a story that said Arthur never publicly addressed the issue and the
6 stench of a propaganda lie fills the air. We are treading in very dangerous waters,
America. History is being controlled by lies.

7
8 “He who controls the present controls the past. He who controls the past controls the
future”. George Orwell.

EXHIBIT 21

<http://naturalborncitizen.wordpress.com/2008/12/06/urgent-historical-breakthrough-proof-chester-arthur-concealed-he-was-a-british-subject-at-birth/>

HISTORICAL BREAKTHROUGH – PROOF: CHESTER ARTHUR CONCEALED HE WAS A BRITISH SUBJECT AT BIRTH

December 6, 2008 6:36 PM

[I have collaborated on this with my sister and historian Greg Dehler, author of "Chester Allan Arthur", Published by Nova Science Publishers, Incorporated, 2006 ISBN 1600210791, 9781600210792 192 pages.]

I've been forwarded the actual naturalization record for William Arthur on microfiche, obtained from the Library of Congress. He was naturalized in New York State and became a United States citizen in August 1843.

Chester Arthur perpetrated a fraud as to his eligibility to be Vice President by spreading various lies about his parents' heritage. President Arthur's father, William Arthur, became a United States citizen in August 1843. But Chester Arthur was born in 1829. Therefore, he was a British Citizen by descent, and a dual citizen at birth, if not his whole life.

He wasn't a "natural born citizen" and he knew it.

We've also uncovered many lies told by Chester Arthur to the press which kept this fact from public view when he ran for Vice President in 1880. Garfield won the election, became President in 1881, and was assassinated by a fanatical Chester Arthur supporter that same year.

How ironic that the allegations started by Arthur Hinman in his pamphlet entitled, "How A British Subject Became President", have turned out to be true...but not for the reason Hinman suggested.

Hinman alleged that Arthur was born in Ireland or Canada as a British subject. It was bunk. It's been definitively established that Chester Arthur was born in Vermont. But Hinman turns out to be correct anyway since Chester Arthur was a British citizen/subject by virtue of his father not having naturalized as a United States citizen until Chester Arthur was almost 14 years old.

That means Chester Arthur was a British subject at the time of his birth.

1 We've uncovered news clips exposing a thorough trail of lies, all of which served to
obscure Chester Arthur's true history of having been born as a British citizen.

2 Chester Arthur's lies came during his Vice Presidential campaign in 1880. His fraudulent
3 attempt to obfuscate family history provides context and evidence that in 1880 it was
4 recognized that having been born as a British citizen would make one ineligible to be
5 President or VP. His falsification of family history indicates he was aware of POTUS
ineligibility.

6 **HISTORICAL CONTEXT**

7 Chester Arthur was in politics at the time of the 14th Amendment's ratification. He was
8 a lawyer and a politician while the 14th Amendment was being debated. It was ratified in
9 1867. In that same year Chester Arthur rose to become chairperson of the Executive
10 Committee of the State Republican Committee. He would have been fully cognizant of
the natural born citizen issue and that should he ever run for POTUS or VP, problems
could arise.

11 He would have known that if anybody found out his father naturalized after he was born,
12 he could never be President or Vice President.

13 **CHESTER'S LIES**

14 The definitive biography on Chester Arthur is "Gentleman Boss" by Thomas Reeves. It's
15 an exhaustive reference. Many of the blanks in Chester Arthur's legend were filled in by
16 this book which utilized interviews with family members and authentic documents like
the Arthur family Bible. It was a necessary work since old Chester Arthur was a very
wily protector of his strange history. He burned all of his papers. (See page 2365.)

17 "Gentleman Boss" establishes, on page 4, that Chester Arthur's father William was born
18 in Ireland, 1796, and emigrated to Canada in 1818 or 1819. His mother Malvina was
born in Vermont and his parents eloped in Canada in 1821. They had their first child,
19 Regina, in Dunham, Canada on March 8, 1822.

20 By no later than 1824, the Arthur family had moved to Burlington, Vermont. Their
21 second child Jane was born there on March 14, 1824. Chester Arthur was their fifth
22 child, and he was born on October 5, 1829. Reeves established these facts (and the
correct date of Chester Arthur's birth) from the Arthur family Bible.

23 From "Gentleman Boss", page 202 and 203:

24 "*...Hinman was hired, apparently by democrats, to explore rumors that Arthur had been*
25 *born in a foreign country, was not a natural-born citizen of the United States, and was*
26 *thus, by the Constitution, ineligible for the vice-presidency. By mid-August, Hinman was*

1 *claiming that Arthur was born in Ireland and had been brought to the United States by*
2 *his father when he was fourteen. Arthur denied the charge and said that his mother was*
3 *a New Englander who had never left her native country — a statement every member of*
4 *the Arthur family knew was untrue.”*

Arthur’s mother had lived in Canada with her husband and even had her first child there.

5 In the Brooklyn Eagle newspaper, an article interviewing Chester Arthur about Hinman’s
6 accusations was published on August 13, 1880. In that article, Chester Arthur defended
7 himself as follows:

8 *“My father, the late Rev. William Arthur, D.D., was of Scotch blood, and was a native of*
9 *the North of Ireland. He came to this country when he was eighteen years of age, and*
10 *resided here several years before he was married.”*

11 This was another blatant lie. His father emigrated from Ireland to Canada at the age of
12 22 or 23. William Arthur didn’t come to the United States until sometime between
13 March 1822 – when his first child was born in Dunham, Canada – and March 1824 –
14 when his second child was born in Burlington, Vermont. The youngest he could have
15 been when he came to Vermont was 26.

16 On August 16, 1880 Chester Arthur told the Brooklyn Eagle newspaper that at the time of
17 his birth, his father was *forty years old*. Another blatant lie. His father would have been
18 only *thirty-three years old* when Chester was born.

19 In that same article he lied that his father settled in Vermont and reiterated the lie that
20 William came here at the age of eighteen. This age discrepancy was exposed in the
21 August 19, 1880 edition of the Brooklyn Eagle in an article written by Hinman.

22 It was very convenient for Arthur that Hinman kept the focus on the extraordinary and
23 false claim – that Arthur was born abroad – while the more subtle and true eligibility
24 issue stayed hidden in plain site.

25 **FATEFUL FACTS**

26 I contacted Greg Dehler a few days ago after finding a reference in his Chester Arthur
biography which said William Arthur became a citizen in 1843. I wrote to Greg and
asked him about the reference. As fate would have it, Mr. Dehler, after checking his
notes, wrote back to me to say that he got it from Thomas Reeves’ book, “Gentleman
Boss”.

I went to the library the next day and devoured the Reeves book. But the reference to
William’s naturalization was not there. Greg also knew I was interested in the Hinman

1 scandal and pointed me to the Brooklyn Eagle search engine from the Brooklyn public
2 library.

3 I began poking around and discovered a few of the lies mentioned above.

4 Earlier today I was telling my sister that this matter of Chester Arthur having falsified his
5 parents' personal history might lead to a very important revision of history. I suggested
6 we put together an outline of a book as we might be able to prove that Chester Arthur was
7 a fraudulent President and that would be quite a story. My sister thought I was jumping
8 the gun a bit in that we really needed to define when William Arthur was naturalized
9 before we could get excited.

10 About an hour later I received an email from Greg Dehler. I'll let you read it:

11 *Leo,*

12 *Needless to say I was more than a little embarrassed that you could not locate the*
13 *reference in Reeves. I thought that was odd because my note concerning William Arthur*
14 *was with the Reeves notes. I conducted a more thorough search and found the source. It*
15 *was in the Chester A. Arthur Papers (what is left of them at least) at the LOC. I own the*
16 *microfilm reels and made a copy for you which is attached. The Washington County*
17 *Clerk in NYS dates it August 31, 1843. How does this affect Chet?*

18 *Greg*

19 I almost fell off my chair when I downloaded the William Arthur naturalization PDF and
20 was staring at the shifting sands of history.

21 **Chester Arthur had something to hide.**

22 He had all of his papers burned which was very odd for a President.

23 Arthur lied about his mother's time in Canada. He lied about his father's time in
24 Canada. He lied about his father's age plus where and when he got off the boat from
25 Ireland. By obscuring his parents' personal history he curtailed the possibility that
26 anybody might discover he was born many years before his father had naturalized.

When Chester runs for VP, Hinman comes along essentially demanding to see Chester's
birth certificate to prove he was born in the United States. This causes a minor scandal
easily thwarted by Chester, because Chester was born in Vermont...but at the same time,
the fake scandal provides cover for the real scandal.

Is this the twilight zone?

1 William Arthur was not a naturalized citizen at the time of Chester Arthur's birth, and
2 therefore Chester Arthur was a British subject at birth and not eligible to be Vice
President or President.

3 Chester Arthur lied about his father's emigration to Canada and the time his mother spent
4 there married to William. Some sixty years later, Chester lied about all of this and kept
5 his candidacy on track. Back then it would have been virtually impossible to see through
this, especially since Arthur's father had died in 1875 and had been a United States
citizen for thirty-two years.

6 And without knowledge of his father's time in Canada, or the proper timeline of events,
7 potential researchers in 1880 would have been hard pressed to even know where to start.

8 Reeves proved that Arthur changed his birth year from 1829 to 1830. I don't know if that
9 would have protected recorded information. It's another lie. I just don't know what it
means.

10 **Because Chester Arthur covered up his British citizenship, any precedent he might**
11 **have set that the country has had a President born of an alien father is nullified**
12 **completely as Chester Arthur was a usurper to the Presidency. He wouldn't have**
13 **been on the ticket if it was public knowledge. Nobody knew Arthur was a British**
subject because nobody looked in the right place for the truth.

14 And it's no precedent to follow.

15 Leo C. Donofrio COPYRIGHT 2008

EXHIBIT 22

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Interview between Senator Robert Bennett (Utah) and Citizen Journalist David Axe and Citizen Journalist “Chalice Jackson” (Internet name on Patriots Heart Network is Chalice Jackson) August 4th, 2009

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-HDeBqaZXXg>

This interview is on the attached CD. You can also see it on the Patriot’s Heart Network at the U-Tube link above

This interview gives recorded video and oral testimony that key Senior Senate Leaders seem to be unclear if Soetoro is a Natural Born Citizen.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

EXHIBIT 23

Interview between Senator Orrin Hatch (Utah) and Citizen Journalist “Chalice Jackson” (Internet name on Patriots Heart Network is Chalice Jackson) Conducted on August 4th, 2009

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZubQOmnex8g>

This interview is on the attached CD. You can also see it on the Patriot’s Heart Network at the U-Tube link above.

This interview gives recorded video and oral testimony that key Senior Senate Leaders seem to be unclear if Soetoro is a Natural Born Citizen.

EXHIBIT 24

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

<http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=107163>

BORN IN THE USA?

Obama's MySpace page: I'm 52 years old, not 48 Would place president's birth during time Hawaii was a territory

Posted: August 17, 2009
12:46 pm Eastern

© 2009 WorldNetDaily

If President Obama were indeed born in Hawaii, was it while the islands were a territory of the United States?

A new wrinkle in the dispute over his birth – and whether he is eligible to be president under the U.S. Constitution's requirement that the president be a "natural born" citizen – appeared today when Obama's official MySpace page declared his age is 52, thus placing his birth year at 1957 instead of 1961 as has been claimed.

That would mean he would have been born during the archipelago's time as a territory of the U.S., the islands' status from about 1900 until statehood in 1959.

The birth year also conflicts with campaign and other White House information that have discussed his 48th birthday this month.



Male
52 years old
Washington, Washington DC
United States

Last Login: 8/16/2009


WWW.WITNESSDOESIT.GOV

Mood: hopeful 😊

View My: [Pics](#) | [Videos](#)

Is President Obama's age 52? His MySpace page declares his age as 52, thus putting his birth year at 1957, two years before Hawaii achieved U.S. statehood.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26



A screenshot of President Obama's MySpace page declares his age as 52, not 48 as has been claimed elsewhere.

When one puts the words "MySpace" and "Barack Obama" into search engines such as Google, the top result indicates: "Official profile page for Barack Obama includes his blog, blurbs, news clips, videos and comments from his MySpace friends."

A WND request to the White House for comment did not generate an immediate response.

It was the Associated Press that reported on Aug. 4 Obama was having Senate Democrats to lunch because "it's the president's birthday and Chuck E. Cheese was booked."

AP credited Obama with being the nation's third youngest president who turned 48 this month.

Les Kinsolving, WND's correspondent at the White House, several times has raised the question over Obama's eligibility at White House news briefings – initially asking why the president didn't just release a copy of his original long-form birth certificate.

1 Robert Gibbs, Obama's press secretary, at first laughed at the idea, stating the "birth
2 certificate" was on the Internet. That image, however, shows a "certification of live
3 birth" which is not the same document and until recently wasn't even accepted as
4 identification by the state of Hawaii for some of its programs.

5 But a multitude of other records that also have not been released would shed light on the
6 president's past, including his kindergarten records, Punahou school records, Occidental
7 College records, Columbia University records, Columbia thesis, Harvard Law School
8 records, Harvard Law Review articles, scholarly articles from the University of Chicago,
9 passport, medical records, files from his years as an Illinois state senator, Illinois State
10 Bar Association records, any baptism records and adoption records.

11 Even when a hospital in Honolulu started using an image of a letter purporting to be from
12 Obama acknowledging the facility as his place of birth, the White House refused to
13 confirm the validity of the letter.

14 The dispute rages because Obama has not provided simple, incontrovertible proof of his
15 exact birthplace. That information would be included on his long-form, hospital-
16 generated birth certificate which Obama has steadfastly refused to release amid a flurry
17 of conflicting reports.

18 Hawaiian law specifically allows "an adult or the legal parents of a minor child" to apply
19 to the health department and, upon unspecified proof, be given the birth document.

20 WND has reported on the dozens of legal challenges to Obama's status as a "natural born
21 citizen" – challenges that all have been confronted by attorneys acting on the president's
22 behalf to keep his records sealed.

23 The Constitution, Article 2, Section 1, states, "No Person except a natural born Citizen, or
24 a Citizen of the United States, at the time of the Adoption of this Constitution, shall be
25 eligible to the Office of President."

26 Some of the lawsuits question whether he was actually born in Hawaii, as he insists. If he
was born out of the country, Obama's American mother, the suits contend, was too young
at the time of his birth to confer American citizenship to her son under the law at the
time.

Other challenges have focused on Obama's citizenship through his father, a Kenyan
subject to the jurisdiction of the United Kingdom at the time of his birth, thus making
him a dual citizen. The cases contend the framers of the Constitution excluded dual
citizens from qualifying as natural born.

1 Complicating the situation is Obama's decision to spend sums estimated in the hundreds
2 of thousands of dollars to avoid releasing a state birth certificate that would put to rest all
of the questions.

3 The key question in the dispute also is being raised on billboards nationwide.



18 "Where's The Birth Certificate?" billboard in Pennsylvania

19 The billboard campaign follows an ongoing petition campaign launched several months
20 ago by WND Editor and Chief Executive Officer Joseph Farah.

21 They are intended to raise public awareness of the fact that Obama has never released the
22 standard "long-form" birth certificate that would show which hospital he was born in, the
23 attending physician and establish that he truly was born in Hawaii, as his autobiography
maintains.

EXHIBIT 25

<http://www.myspace.com/barackobama>

Photo Copy of Soetoro's website which claims he is 52 vs 48.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26




EXHIBIT 26

<http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=107200>

BORN IN THE USA?

Birth certificate fraud: It's been done before FBI, Department of Justice have record of bribe scandal

Posted: August 17, 2009
9:28 pm Eastern

By Drew Zahn
© 2009 WorldNetDaily

How can an official certification of live birth be obtained if the person on the document wasn't born in the state or even in the country the document was issued?

Actually, it's been done before.

In 2004, following a long investigation by the FBI and Department of State, Jean Anderson, the former deputy registrar of the Hudson County, N.J., Office of Vital Statistics, pleaded guilty to taking money for falsifying county records.

According to a Department of Justice news release, Anderson was paid to insert phony birth records for illegal aliens into the files at her county office. The immigrants, in turn, approached county window clerks and requested copies of their birth certificates, after which the clerks looked to the files and, upon seeing the records Anderson had inserted, issued fraudulent birth certificates unknowingly.

Federal agents executed a search warrant of the HCOVS in February of 2004, resulting in the seizure of hundreds of suspect birth certificates listing birthplaces in Jersey City, N.J., when in fact, the individuals named were immigrants born outside the U.S.

The recipients of the phony birth certificates weren't seeking to become president; they were merely seeking status as American citizens.



Hudson County, N.J., Courthouse

1 The New Jersey case, however, has been referenced in blogs since last year as reason for
2 President Barack Obama to release his full, long-form birth certificate, rather than
3 insisting the nation trust only in his Hawaii Certification of Live Birth, or COLB, a
4 document that merely refers to the presence of another, unreleased document in Hawaii's
5 files.

6 If Obama were actually born out of the country, some skeptics of the president's
7 eligibility to the office contend, but his parents wanted to ensure his American citizenship
8 – no foresight into his future political aspirations needed – a simple payoff in Obama's
9 birth year of 1961 could have generated fraudulent COLBs ever since.

10 "It is deadly serious in this day and age when we have people like Anderson and her co-
11 conspirators making it possible for anyone to present themselves as lawful U.S. citizens
12 when they are not," said U.S. Attorney Christopher J. Christie in 2004. "The possibilities
13 run from the benign to the horrific."

14 Anderson's case pointed to an extensive conspiracy of illegal immigration fraud that also
15 resulted in guilty pleas from Nikhil Goswamy, who took money from immigrants seeking
16 American citizenship, and Rajendra Bahadur, who supplied Anderson with the vital
17 statistic information necessary to generate the phony birth records.

18 Federal agents also arrested Iftikhar Ali Bhutta, a Pakistani national who purchased from
19 Goswamy false birth certificates for himself and his three foreign-born children.
20 According to the DOJ press release, Bhutta and his children utilized the false birth
21 certificates to obtain U.S. passports, which they used to travel internationally.

22 According to The Record, a newspaper in Hackensack, N.J., authorities raided the
23 county's Office of Vital Statistics, seizing 100 boxes of birth certificates dating back to
24 1902. The paper also reported that the State Department announced in 2004 that Hudson
25 County birth certificates would not be accepted as proof of citizenship in passport
26 applications.

Five years later, the federal government still does not accept birth certificates from
Hudson County when applying for a U.S. passport.

As WND has reported, an American citizen can usually obtain a passport with a long-
form birth certificate, but some state-issued short forms are not sufficient, and obtaining a
passport with a Certificate of Live Birth such as the one purported to be Barack Obama's
and posted online, officials told WND, can be "complicated."

According to Jerry Fuller and Mike Persons of the passport services division of the U.S.
State Department, a document such as Obama's online COLB could be acceptable to
prove U.S. citizenship for the purposes of getting a passport if it contains a certain
number of components, such as time and date of birth, location and name.

1 Fuller also said birth certificates should reveal the location of the child's birth accurately,
but he confirmed there are cases known where that has not happened.

2 "There are some documents that say things that aren't true," Fuller said. "That's not what's
3 supposed to happen."

4 *Want to turn up the pressure to learn the facts? Get your signs and postcards asking for*
5 *the president's birth certificate documentation here.*

6 WND has reported on dozens of legal challenges to Obama's status as a "natural born
7 citizen." The Constitution, Article 2, Section 1, states, "No Person except a natural born
8 Citizen, or a Citizen of the United States, at the time of the Adoption of this Constitution,
shall be eligible to the Office of President."


9 Some of the lawsuits question whether he was actually born in Hawaii, as he insists. If he
10 was born out of the country, Obama's American mother, the suits contend, was too young
at the time of his birth to confer American citizenship to her son under the law at the
time.

11 Other challenges have focused on Obama's citizenship through his father, a Kenyan
12 subject to the jurisdiction of the United Kingdom at the time of his birth, thus making
13 him a dual citizen. The cases contend the framers of the Constitution excluded dual
citizens from qualifying as natural born.

14 Complicating the situation is Obama's decision to spend sums estimated in the hundreds
15 of thousands of dollars to avoid releasing a state birth certificate that would put to rest all
16 of the questions.

17 A key to the defenses presented by Obama supporters always has been the "Certification
18 of Live Birth:"
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

CERTIFICATION OF LIVE BIRTH		
STATE OF HAWAII HONOLULU		DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH HAWAII U.S.A.
		CERTIFICATE NO. [REDACTED]
CHILD'S NAME BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II		
DATE OF BIRTH August 4, 1961	HOUR OF BIRTH 7:24 PM	SEX MALE
CITY, TOWN OR LOCATION OF BIRTH HONOLULU	ISLAND OF BIRTH OAHU	COUNTY OF BIRTH HONOLULU
MOTHER'S MAIDEN NAME STANLEY ANN DUNHAM		
MOTHER'S RACE CAUCASIAN		
FATHER'S NAME BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA		
FATHER'S RACE AFRICAN		
DATE FILED BY REGISTRAR August 8, 1961		
<small>DHBM 1-1 (Rev. 11/01) LASER This copy serves as prima facie evidence of the fact of birth in any court proceeding. (HRS 338-12(b), 338-19)</small>		
ANY ALTERATIONS INVALIDATE THIS CERTIFICATE		

Short-form "Certification of Live Birth"

The document contrasts with an actual Hawaii birth certificate from 1963 (the same era as Obama's birth), which while redacted includes detailed information documenting a birth, including the name of the birth hospital and the attending physician.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

STATE OF HAWAII		CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH		DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH	
		FILE NUMBER 1 [REDACTED] 63 [REDACTED]			
1a. Child's First Name (Type or print)		1b. Middle Name		1c. Last Name	
ALAN		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	
2. Sex	3. This Birth	4. If Twin or Triplet, Was Child Born	5a. Birth Date	5b. Month	5c. Day
Male	Single <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Twin <input type="checkbox"/> Triplet <input type="checkbox"/>	1st <input type="checkbox"/> 2nd <input type="checkbox"/> 3rd <input type="checkbox"/>	[REDACTED]	SEP	1963
6a. Place of Birth: City, Town or Rural Location		6b. Island		5d. Hour	
Honolulu		Oahu		:47	
6c. Name of Hospital or Institution (If not in hospital or institution, give street address)			6d. Is Place of Birth Inside City or Town Limits? If no, give judicial district		
U. S. Army Tripler General Hospital			Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>		
7a. Usual Residence of Mother: City, Town or Rural Location		7b. Island		7c. County and State or Foreign Count	
Wahiawa		Oahu		Honolulu, Hawaii	
7d. Street Address		7e. Is Residence Inside City or Town Limits? If no, give judicial district		7f. Is Residence on a Farm or Plant	
[REDACTED] Drive		Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
7f. Mother's Mailing Address		8. Full Name of Father		9. Race of Father	
		[REDACTED]		Caucasian	
10. Age of Father	11. Birthplace (Island, State or Foreign Country)	12a. Usual Occupation	12b. Kind of Business or Industry		
24	[REDACTED]	Officer	U. S. Army		
13. Full Maiden Name of Mother		14. Race of Mother			
[REDACTED]		Caucasian			
15. Age of Mother	16. Birthplace (Island, State or Foreign Country)	17a. Type of Occupation Outside Home During Pregnancy	17b. Date Last Wo		
22	[REDACTED]	School Teacher	10 Apr 63		
I certify that the above stated information is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.		18a. Signature of Parent or Other Informant		Parent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/>	
		[REDACTED]		18b. Date of Signa	
		19a. Signature of Attendant		M.D. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D.O. <input type="checkbox"/> Midwife <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/>	
		[REDACTED] CAPT, MC, USA		19b. Date of Signa	
		[REDACTED] LT COL, MSC, USA		6 Sept 63	
20. Date Accepted by Local Reg.	21. Signature of Local Registrar	22. Date Accepted by Reg. Co			
6 Sept 63	[REDACTED]	SEP 10 1963			
23. Evidence for Delayed Filing or Alteration					

Long-form birth certificate from state of Hawaii (Image courtesy Philip Berg)

To date, Obama has not revealed his original long-form, hospital-generated "Certificate of Live Birth" that includes details such as the name of the medical facility and the doctor who delivered him.

Obama's birth certificate is not the only document at issue. WND has reported that among the documentation not yet available for Obama includes his kindergarten records, his Punahou school records, his Occidental College records, his Columbia University records, his Columbia thesis, his Harvard Law School records, his Harvard Law Review articles, his scholarly articles from the University of Chicago, his passport, his medical records, his files from his years as an Illinois state senator, his Illinois State Bar Association records, any baptism records, and his adoption records.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

EXHIBIT 27

<http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pagId=106942>

WORLDNETDAILY EXCLUSIVE

BORN IN THE USA?

Obama mama: 6 lost months

No documented record of whereabouts, activity leading up to baby's birth in '61

Posted: August 16, 2009
7:20 pm Eastern

By Jerome R. Corsi
© 2009 WorldNetDaily



Ann Dunham and Barack Obama Jr.

John F. Kennedy was sworn into office.

Roger Maris was hitting 61 home runs.

The Berlin wall was erected.

Much was happening in the first six months of 1961. But an extensive WND investigation into events leading up to the birth of Barack Obama, who would become America's first black president 47 years later, leaves many unanswered questions about the whereabouts and activities of the woman he claims as his mother.

The timeline for Obama's mother, Ann Dunham, reveals an approximately six-and-a-half month interval in which there is no documentation for her whereabouts, from Jan. 31, 1961, when she concluded the fall term at the University of Hawaii at Manoa, until Aug. 19, 1961, when the University of Washington at Seattle documents she was enrolled for extension courses.

Where was Dunham when she was pregnant with Obama, and what did she do?

1 Her pregnancy apparently was the one time in her life she withdrew from academic
pursuits.

2 Assuming Barack Obama Jr. was born Aug. 4, 1961, and the baby was full-term,
3 Dunham quit the University of Hawaii when she was two months pregnant while her
4 husband-to-be continued his studies at the same school without interruption.

5 One explanation is that Dunham simply left to focus her energy and time on the
6 coming baby, even though that seems inconsistent with the rapidity with which she
returned to university studies, in a distant city, after the birth.

7 That Dunham dropped out to be a housewife and mother would have represented a very
8 traditional adaptation to what was in reality anything but a traditional marriage or
subsequent career and motherhood.

9 There are no travel or passport records available for Dunham, Barack Obama Sr. or
10 President Obama, so determining whether Dunham remained in Hawaii during these
11 undocumented months is difficult.

12 **Dunham quits University of Hawaii**

13 According to records provided WND by Stuart Lau, the registrar at the University of
14 Hawaii at Manoa, Dunham dropped out of the university at the end of the fall term 1960:

15 The University of Hawaii at Manoa is only able to provide the following information for Stanley Ann Dunt

- 16 Dates of Attendance
- 17 Fall 1960
- 18 Spring 1963 – Summer 1966
- 19 Fall 1972 – Fall 1974
- 20 2nd Summer 1976
- 21 Spring 1978
- 22 Fall 1984 – 2nd Summer 1992

- 23 Degrees Awarded:
- 24 BA – Mathematics, Summer 1967 (August 6, 1967)
- 25 MA – Anthropology, Fall 1983 (December 18, 1963)
- 26 PHD – Anthropology, Summer 1992 (August 9, 1992)

22 Sincerely,
23 Stuart Lau

24 *****
25 Stuart Lau
26 University Registrar
Office of Admissions and Records
University of Hawaii at Manoa

1 Lau further documented that this term ended Jan. 31, 1961.

2 From the documentary record, Barack Obama Sr. continued his studies at the university,
3 even though Dunham dropped out at the end of the term in which they met, her
4 first.

5 The University of Hawaii at Manoa is only able to provide the following information for Barack
6 Obama:

7 **Barack Obama**

8 **Dates of Attendance:**
9 Fall 1959 – Spring 1962

10 **Degrees Awarded:**
11 BA – Economics, Spring 1962

12 Sincerely,
13 Stuart Lau

14 *****
15 Stuart Lau
16 University Registrar
17 Office of Admissions and Records
18 University of Hawaii at Manoa

19 **Questions over wedding date**

20 The only documentation for Dunham's marriage to Barack Obama Sr. comes from
21 divorce documents that list the marriage date as Feb. 2, 1961.

22 No wedding certificate for the couple has ever been found or published.

23 In his autobiography "Dreams from My Father," Obama wrote of his parents wedding:
24 "There's no record of a real wedding, a cake, a ring, a giving away of the bride. No
25 families were in attendance; it's not even clear that people back in Kansas were fully
26 informed. Just a small civil ceremony, a justice of the peace. The whole thing seems so
fragile in retrospect, so haphazard."

The documentation for Barack Obama's birthday comes from the two different versions
of the short-form Certification of Live Birth, or COLB, produced by the Obama
campaign and separately by FactCheck.org., as well as from birth announcements
published at the time by the Honolulu Advertiser and the Honolulu Star-Bulletin
newspapers.

WND has previously reported that in response to a direct question, the Hawaii
Department of Health refused to authenticate either of the two versions of the short-form
COLB or to tell WND which of the variations more resembled COLB documents
typically issued by the department.

1 WND has also reported that the birth notices printed by the two Honolulu newspapers in
2 1961 do not provide solid proof of a birth in Hawaii because of uncertainties over the
policies and procedures that apparently were in use at the time.

3 Even assuming the newspapers reported what they received from the vital records
4 division of the Hawaii DOH, Hawaii law in 1961 specifically allowed "an adult or the
5 legal parents of a minor child" to apply to the health department and, upon unspecified
proof, be given a short-form COLB.

6 WND has documented that until state policies were changed after the report was
7 published, the website of the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands stated clearly the
8 COLB touted by the Obama campaign, White House Press Secretary Robert Gibbs and a
host of other Obama defenders, was not acceptable as a form of identification to qualify
under this program.

9 Even determining the hospital of his birth has become a controversy, with the Obama
10 family and supporters claiming two different facilities – and neither of those facilities
willing to confirm.

11 Websites supportive of Obama scrubbed references he was born in Queens Memorial
12 Hospital after WND published a report documenting the White House had changed the
13 story to claim he was born at Kapi'olani Medical Center.

14 WND has also reported Obama may be using his political action committee funds to pay
15 more than \$1.35 million to lawyers to stamp out eligibility lawsuits brought by
Americans seeking the public release of his long-form birth certificate.

16 The facts remain that while Obama and his supporters have made many photographs
17 available from his childhood, key photographs are missing:

- 18 • No photographs of Dunham's marriage to Barack Obama Sr. have ever been
19 published.
- 20 • No photographs have yet surfaced showing Dunham pregnant in 1961.
- 21 • No photographs have yet surfaced of the parents with Barack Obama Jr. at the
22 hospital where he was born.
- 23 • No photographs have yet surfaced of the parents after the newly born infant was
taken home.

24 **Problems with Obama's story**

1 Until a long-form original birth certificate specifying important details, including the
2 hospital where he was born and the attending physician, is made public and
authenticated, Obama's place and date of birth must be considered as yet undocumented.

3 The official story of his birth, as presented in "Dreams from My Father" and in various
4 accounts in newspapers and supportive websites conveys a very different timeline than a
careful analysis of the available documentary evidence.

- 5 • The documentary evidence establishes that Dunham left Hawaii when she moved
6 to Seattle in August 1961 to begin her studies at the University of Washington,
7 only 15 days after the birth of Barack Obama Jr. In contrast, the official story is
that Dunham did not relocate to Seattle until late 1962.
- 8 • The documentary evidence also establishes Dunham abandoned her husband
9 when she left to begin school at the University of Washington in August 1961,
10 never again to live with Barack Obama Sr. as husband and wife. In contrast, the
11 official story is that Dunham and Barack Obama Sr. lived together as a married
couple in Hawaii until Barack Obama Sr. departed for Harvard to begin the fall
term in September 1962.

12 The repositioning of Dunham's attendance at the University of Washington and the date
13 she left a matrimonial home with Barack Obama Sr. appears to have been designed to
mask a secret that lies at the heart of the Obama birth certificate controversy.

14 **Obama's mother resurfaces in Seattle**

15 Dunham's transcript from the University of Washington documents she was enrolled for
16 two extension courses beginning Aug. 19, 1961:
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

1 OBAMA, Stan ANN DUNHAM MERCER ISLAND HS 06/01/60 02/13/09 1
 2 6250540 11/29/42 NONRESIDENT CITIZEN FEMALE REG1524 PSEUD
 3 FRESHMAN Arts & Sciences
 4 HISTORY
 5 NO LONGER ENROLLED (LAST QTR SPRING 1962)

6 *****
 7 * ANY ALTERATION OR MODIFICATION OF THIS RECORD *
 8 * OR ANY COPY THEREOF MAY CONSTITUTE A FELONY *
 9 * AND/OR LEAD TO STUDENT DISCIPLINARY SANCTIONS. *
 10 *****

11 HIGH SCHOOL GPA: 3.35

12 DETAIL OF TRANSFER CREDIT:

13 UNIV HAWAII: HANOA, HI (4 YEAR SCHOOL)
 14 PHIL 1XX 4.0
 15 RUSS 100 4.0
 16 TOTAL CREDITS EARNED: 8.0 GPA:1.35

17 SUMMARY OF TRANSFER CREDIT: LD UD TOTAL
 18 TOTAL CREDITS EARNED: 8.0 0.0 8.0
 19 TOTAL TOWARD DEGREE: 8.0 0.0 8.0

20 EXTENSION/INDEPENDENT STDY/ADVANCE PLACEMENT CREDIT:

21 UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON EXTENSION COURSES:

22 ANTH 100 INTRO STUDY HAN 5.0 A
 23 (08/19/61-12/11/61)
 24 POL S 201 MODERN GOVERNMENT 5.0 B
 25 (08/19/61-12/12/61)
 26 HIST 478 HIST AFRICA SOUTH 5.0 A
 (12/27/61-03/15/62)
 PHIL 120 INTRO TO LOGIC 5.0 A
 (12/27/61-03/20/62)

TOTAL EXTENSION/CORRESPONDENCE/AP CREDIT: 20.0
 TOTAL APPLIED TOWARD NEXT DEGREE: 20.0

 13 SPRING 1962 HIST 1
 14 FAR E 240 CHIN CIVILIZATION 5.0 B
 15 HIST 273 ENGL POL & SOC HIST 5.0 PV
 16 PHIL 322 HIST MODERN PHILOS 5.0 B
 17 QTR ATTEMPTED: 10.0 EARNED: 10.0 GPA: 3.00
 18 QTR GRADED AT: 10.0 GRADE POINTS: 30.0
 19 CUM ATTEMPTED: 10.0 CUM EARNED: 10.0 TTL EARNED: 10.0
 20 CUM GRADED AT: 10.0 GRADE PTS: 30.0 CUM GPA: 3.00

21 *****
 22 CUMULATIVE CREDIT SUMMARY:
 23 UW CREDITS ATTEMPTED 10.0 UW CREDITS EARNED 10.0
 24 UW GRADED ATTEMPTED 10.0 EXTENSION CREDITS 20.0
 25 UW GRADED EARNED 10.0 TRANSFER CREDITS 8.0
 26 UW GRADE POINTS 30.0 -----
 UW GRADE POINT AVG. 3.00 CREDITS EARNED 38.0

 ***** END OF RECORD *****

19 The University of Washington has documented to WND that the summer quarter 1961
 20 ended Aug. 18.

21 Fall quarter classes on campus began Sept. 25, 1961, with the university communicating
 22 to WND that Dunham's transcript reveals extension courses that appeared to involve a
 23 combination of independent study and night courses.

24 As WND has also reported, the evidence that Dunham was in Seattle in August 1961
 25 comes from three sources:
 26

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

- The public records division of the University of Washington has e-mailed WND that: "Ms. Stanley Ann Dunham was enrolled at the University of Washington for: Autumn 1961, Winter 1962, Spring 1962."
- Mary Toutonghi, the babysitter for Barack Obama Jr., told WND she babysat for Obama when he was 7 months old (around February/March 1962) and Dunham was attending night classes at the University of Washington that started around 4:30 in the afternoon.
- The Polk 1961-1962 directory listed Dunham at a Capitol Hill address in Seattle.

The Obama "mama" timeline

A timeline based on the documented evidence shows the six-month gap between Dunham's departure from the University of Hawaii Jan. 31, 1961, and her commencement of studies at the University of Washington Aug. 19, 1961.

•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Ann Dunham begins University of Hawaii September 26, 1960	Obama Jr. conceived around November 4, 1960	Obama Sr. and Ann Dunham married February 2, 1961	Obama Jr. born August 4, 1961	Ann Dunham and infant Obama Jr. move to Seattle August ?, 1961	Ann Dunham begins University of Wash- ington August 19, 1961	Ann Dunham enrolls in Spring '62 term at University of Seattle	Obama Sr. begins Harvard September 1962	Ann Dunham and Obama Sr. divorce January 20, 1964

1
2 **EXHIBIT 27**
3

4 [http://naturalborncitizen.wordpress.com/2008/12/19/scotus-in-wong-kim-ark-and-minor-v-happersett-rightfully-punted-on-natural-](http://naturalborncitizen.wordpress.com/2008/12/19/scotus-in-wong-kim-ark-and-minor-v-happersett-rightfully-punted-on-natural-born-citizen-current-court-purposely-fumbled/)
5 [born-citizen-current-court-purposely-fumbled/](http://naturalborncitizen.wordpress.com/2008/12/19/scotus-in-wong-kim-ark-and-minor-v-happersett-rightfully-punted-on-natural-born-citizen-current-court-purposely-fumbled/)

6 **Natural Born Citizen**

7 LEO DONFRIO'S WEBSITE

8 « THE MOTHER OF ALL CONSPIRACY THEORIES – OBAMA HAS A TWIN

9 Liberty Bell Open Chess and Obama »
10

11 **SCOTUS IN "WONG KIM ARK" AND "MINOR V. HAPPERSETT"**

12 **RIGHTFULLY PUNTED ON "NATURAL BORN CITIZEN" -...(snipped by**

13 **Ed. 03.03.09)**

14 [UPDATE: 03.03.09 Apologies in light blue.]

15 [UPDATE 5:08 PM Rewritten. Changes in purple.]

16 ~~They fumbled on purpose because they were afraid to run with the ball and get hit.~~
17

18 [I apologize to the Honorable Court for making the above statement. The statement was
19 an emotional response not grounded in facts or law. 03.03.09]

20 I get more questions about *United States v. Wong Kim Ark* than any other case. Recently,
21 Steve Marquis wrote to me and asked for a clarification about this. Steve is the person
22 who first sued the Washington Secretary of State back in October. I was inspired by
23 Steve's action to file my own suit.

24 ~~I now find this all irrelevant since if the court was ever going to uphold the Constitution,
25 it would have done so by now on this issue.~~
26

[Same apology as above.]

1 ~~As I've stated in comments to my last blog (which was satire people), you have no~~
2 ~~Constitution and you have no "Supreme" court. You have a filthy corrupted snake pit~~
3 ~~which tried to protect itself from responsibility for this issue by using clerks like brutal~~
4 ~~praetorian guards.~~

5 [Same apology as above.]

6 But, out of respect for Steve's effort and the overall confusion this case has caused on the
7 natural born citizen issue, I've written the following explanation thereto in the hope that
8 the current court will receive no historical cover from *Wong Kim Ark* as none is due.

9 In *Wong Kim Ark*, the court thoroughly discussed "natural born citizen". And in doing
10 so, Justice Gray quoted directly from the holding in a prior Supreme Court case, *Minor v.*
11 *Happersett*. The following passage is a quote from *Minor* as quoted by Justice Gray in
12 *Wong Kim Ark*:

13 " 'At common law, with the nomenclature of which the framers of the constitution were
14 familiar, it was never doubted that all children born in a country, **of parents who were its**
15 **citizens, became themselves, upon their birth, citizens also.** These were natives or
16 **natural-born citizens**, as distinguished from aliens or foreigners. *Some* authorities go
17 further, and include as citizens children born within the jurisdiction, without reference to
18 the citizenship of their parents. ***As to this class there have been doubts, but never as to***
19 ***the first.*** For the purposes of this case, it is ***not necessary to solve these doubts.*** It is
20 sufficient, for everything we have now to consider, that all children, born of citizen
21 parents within the jurisdiction, are themselves citizens.' *Minor v. Happersett* (1874) 21
22 Wall. 162, 166-168."

23 (Emphasis added.)

24 Look at that, you have Justice Gray citing the court in *Minor* who are themselves citing
25 the "Laws of Nations" definition (they didn't directly cite that treatise but the definition
26 used is taken therefrom) of natural born citizen = person born in US to "citizen parents"
= nbc .

In *Minor*, they clearly established who was a "natural born citizen" beyond any doubt, a
definition that does not include Obama. As to persons born in the US to foreign parents
they said, as directly quoted in *Wong Kim Ark* by Justice Gray, "***As to this class there***
have been doubts, but never as to the first."

[UPDATE: 12:11 PM ...(thanks to reader "rossalgondamer" for pointing out the
following). The Court in *Minor* refused to say that a person born in the US to parents who
were foreigners was a "natural born citizen" - as I've stated in the original post here - but
the reader points out that the *Minor* court also refused to say whether such a person was
even a "citizen" at all.

1 I will add to the reader's comment by pointing out that Justice Gray in *Wong Kim Ark*
2 cited *Minor*, but *Minor* doesn't really support the holding in *Wong Kim Ark*, it's just that
3 Gray's opinion makes it look like it does.

4 US History desperately needs to consider whether Justice Gray's appointment by Chester
5 Arthur infected the opinion he wrote in *Wong Kim Ark*, since that opinion looks more
6 and more dodgy every day in that it has the appearance of sanitizing Chester Arthur's
7 citizenship problems as to POTUS eligibility since his father was not naturalized until
8 Chester was 14, as we recently discovered, and therefore Chester Arthur was a British
9 subject at the time of his birth, just like Obama.]

10 For the purposes of *Minor* and *Wong Kim Ark*, the Supreme Court didn't need to reach
11 the "natural born citizen" issue as neither person was running for President, so they
12 rightfully punted by limiting their holdings to the issue of whether each person was a
13 "citizen".

14 But they discussed the "natural born citizen" issue thoroughly. Justice Gray in *Wong*
15 *Kim Ark* quoted this EXACT passage from *Minor*. And in doing so, Justice Gray and the
16 court punted on whether *Wong Kim Ark* was a "natural born citizen" specifically limiting
17 their holding to state that the person was a "citizen".

18 There's a clear distinction made in the *Wong Kim Ark* case between "natural born
19 citizens" and "citizens". Justice Gray's majority opinion said *Wong Kim Ark* was a
20 "Citizen" but went no further than that. He cleverly evaded the issue of whether a person
21 born in the US to parents who weren't citizens was a "natural born citizen" although a
22 lazy reader of the case might come away with the wrong impression. (Intentional?)
23 Since *Wong Kim Ark* wasn't running for President, they were able to punt:

24 "The evident intention, and the necessary effect, of the submission of this case to the
25 decision of the court upon the facts agreed by the parties, were to present for
26 determination *the single question*, stated at the beginning of this opinion, namely,
27 whether a child born in the United States, of parents of Chinese descent, who, at the time
28 of his birth, are subjects of the emperor of China, but have a permanent domicile and
29 residence in the United States, and are there carrying on business, and are not employed
30 in any diplomatic or official capacity under the emperor of China, *becomes at the time of*
31 *his birth a citizen of the United States*. For the reasons above stated, this court is of
32 opinion that the question must be answered in the affirmative." (Emphasis added.)

33 They held that *Wong Kim Ark* was a "citizen" but they did not hold that he was a
34 "natural born citizen". And Justice Gray thoroughly discussed the definition of "natural
35 born citizen" in his review of the *Minor* case wherein the Supreme Court in *Minor*
36 adopted the Laws of Nations definition of "natural born citizen" as being the only
37 definition which is free of doubt.

1 I have stated over and again that the *Wong Kim Ark* decision supports the argument that
2 Obama is not a natural born citizen in that the court clearly had the chance in the *Wong*
3 *Kim Ark* opinion to define “natural born citizen” as being inclusive of persons born in the
4 United States to foreign parents... but they didn’t.

5 And so, as is so very clearly established by the supreme court in *Minor* and *Wong Kim*
6 *Ark*, there are now, and have always been, doubts about whether people born in the US to
7 foreign parents are “natural born citizens”, or, as the Court in *Minor* discussed, whether
8 such persons are even “citizens”.

9 Certainly, since *Minor* came down in 1873 and the Supreme Court then refused to
10 confirm or deny whether persons born in the US to foreign parents were even citizens,
11 then at the time Chester Arthur ran for Vice President in 1880, the issue of whether he
12 was even a citizen was in doubt. The issue of whether he was a “natural born citizen”
13 therefore leaves no doubt. He wasn’t, and either is Obama. But this isn’t the same
14 United States. Back then we had a Constitution. Now we don’t.

15 Those “doubts” mentioned in *Minor* needed to be discussed and adjudicated by the
16 current supreme court. ~~But they didn’t have the right stuff to take the issue on. And that~~
17 ~~makes them neither supreme nor even willing to live up to their oath of office to uphold~~
18 ~~the Constitution.~~

19 [Same apology as above.]

20 Shame on them. Shame on this court who lacked the courage to do their job by taking on
21 this tough issue and having it out in open court. Not one of them had the decency to at
22 least issue an opinion to the nation as to why the applications were denied.

23 Instead of respecting the citizens who took time, money and risk to bring these actions,
24 those citizens were subjected to the most bizarre clerical behavior this lawyer of
25 seventeen years has ever seen or could ever imagine.

26 ~~This current supreme court is a blasphemy to justice. They have no honor. They~~
27 ~~disgust me in every fiber of my being, a sick joke to a sick country in their silly~~
28 ~~robes and ruffles.~~

29 [Same apology a above.]

30 Neither Obama nor McCain was eligible. Calero was obviously not eligible having been
31 removed from the ballots in five states.

32 The Secretaries of several States claim no authority to remove names from ballots or to
33 determine who is eligible to be President, but five of them did just that as to Calero. He

1 was the official Socialist Workers Party candidate for President, but the party was forced
2 to remove his name and substitute that of James Harris in five states.

3 Is this just racism vs. Latin Americans or what?

4 McCain's birth certificate proves he was born in Colon Hospital, city of Colon, Panama.
5 Colon is a big city in Panama and Colon Hospital was not part of any military
6 installation. Calero was born in Nicaragua.

7 Word up. (~~See image above.~~ Offensive image removed. same apology as above.)
8

9
10 **Possibly related posts: (automatically generated)**

- 11
- 12 • [What happens when private insurance companies cover only healthy people?](#)
 - 13 • ['Natural-Born' Killer? Mulling a Constitutional Amendment – Law...](#)
 - 14 • [Sen. Feingold Questions Judge Sotomayor at Supreme Court Nomination Hearings](#)

15 This entry was posted on December 19, 2008 at 9:45 am and is filed under Uncategorized. You can follow
16 any responses to this entry through the RSS 2.0 feed You can leave a response, or trackback from your own
17 site.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26