# **EXHIBIT 6**

## Re: Fwd: Google scrubbing info!!!

From **Dan Burke <archermist50@gmail.com>** hide details

To orly.taitz <orly.taitz@hushmail.com>

Sent Tuesday, April 8, 2014 at 11:17 AM

Replied Yes

EncryptedNo

Signed No

Show all headers

On Tue, Apr 8, 2014 at 10:36 AM, Dan Burke <archermist50@gmail.com> wrote: As I said in my original email, yes this is a fake name and email account, I am trying to protect my identity and job.

I would be willing to sign an affidavit, but I am concerned about my job. What kind of protections can I get? Does the whistle-blower act protect me in this case?

Am I correct in assuming that going forward you would be my lawyer in case anything happens

### Re: Fwd: Google scrubbing info!!!

From **Dan Burke <archermist50@gmail.com>** hide details

To orly.taitz <orly.taitz@hushmail.com>

Sent Tuesday, April 8, 2014 at 11:17 AM

Replied Yes EncryptedNo Signed No Show all headers

I just realized that I did not answer one of your questions. I live in San Carlos California and work in the Mountain View campus of Google.

## Re: Fwd: Google scrubbing info!!!

From **Dan Burke <archermist50@gmail.com>** hide details

To **orly.taitz <orly.taitz@hushmail.com>**Sent Tuesday, April 8, 2014 at 11:17 AM

Replied Yes
EncryptedNo
Signed No
Show all headers

As I said in my original email, yes this is a fake name and email account, I am trying to protect my identity and job.

I would be willing to sign an affidavit, but I am concerned about my job. What kind of protections can I get? Does the whistle-blower act protect me in this case?

Am I correct in assuming that going forward you would be my lawyer in case anything happens?

On 4/8/2014 at 9:47 PM, "Dan Burke" <archermist50@gmail.com> wrote:

Dr. Taitz,

It has come to my attention that you have been in communications with the Google employee James Naber (aka Dan Burke) and he has been sharing with you some confidential information and trade secrets about his employer "Google Inc."

This email (sent from "Dan Burke's" email account) is to inform you that the information that he has improperly provided is considered privileged attorney-client communications and any electronic or physical copy of the emails or their contents listed below must immediately be destroyed by you.

Date Email title 4/03/14 AJ list 04/03 3/27/14 AJ list 03/27 3/20/14 AJ list 03/20 3/17/14 Re: Urgent AJ 3/17 3/17/14 Urgent AJ 3/17 3/16/14 AJ list 3/16 3/13/14 AJ list 3/13 3/08/14 AJ list 3/08 2/28/14 AJ list 2/28 2/21/14 AJ list 2/21 2/17/14 I need the true values for these searches 2/15/14 AJ list 2/15 2/11/14 AJ list 2/11 2/02/14 Question: why is this value being used? 2/02/14 1/27/14 AJ list 1/27 1/23/14 AJ list 1/23 1/18/14 Need this done ASAP!

I am also requesting that you immediately acknowledge that you have either never received the above entitled emails or their contents, or that you have permanently destroyed any physical and/or electronic copies of them and are no longer in possession of them. If in the future you are contacted by James or receive any information or document from him, please inform me immediately.

Additionally this email is to also inform you that Google and James Naber request that you do not attempt to contact him in any way as he is now represented by Google' legal department. Any contact by yourself or anyone working for you will be considered an improper legal contact per California's Rule of Professional Conduct 2-100(A). Any future contact will be reported to the California State Bar.

Please respond with your acknowledgement that are not in possession of the above listed emails or their contents.

Sincerely

Sushma Sandeepth Google Inc. www.google.com

#### "Dan Burke"

From Sushma Sandeepth < sushma.sandeepth@gmail.com > hide details

To orly.taitz <orly.taitz@hushmail.com>

Sent Tuesday, April 8, 2014 at 10:33 PM

Replied Yes

EncryptedNo

Signed No

Show all headers

My apologies,

We linked James' email account to my account so that any emails that were sent to him would automatically be in my in box. When I wrote the email below, it was supposed to have had my email on the cc: distribution. The reason I used his email account was because when we talked with James this evening, he informed us that it took 2 emails from him to you, several days apart, before you noticed his message. Because of the urgency of this matter I decided to first send you the email from his account (cc'ing mine) to ensure you did not miss it.

Sincerely,

Sushma Sandeepth

Google Inc.

www.google.com

### Re: "Dan Burke"

From Sushma Sandeepth <sushma.sandeepth@gmail.com> hide details

To **orly.taitz** <orly.taitz@hushmail.com>
Sent Tuesday, April 8, 2014 at 11:10 PM

EncryptedNo Signed No Show all headers

At this time, all you need to know is that my name is Sushma Sandeepth and I am one of the Legal Council for Google's Web Products.

Please let me know if you are refusing my earlier request for acknowledgement that you have either deleted any email from James Nabor or have not received any. If you refuse to do so I will be forced to to take the next step and file a restraining order against your use or dissemination of the material in question, this unfortunately would mean that we would require access (by either ourselves or an agreed upon third party) to your email accounts to ensure that no copies remain on any of your email systems.

The urgency of this matter precludes me from being willing to play any more games. You have shown a history of violating the law and court rules and we are unwilling to let you continue in this matter with our confidential information.

Before you ask, yes James did say that he did. It provide you any of the files I listed, but we have proof that he downloaded them for our server as files it an external USB drive. While James has been very cooperative, we will not be taking any chances with this information and so we have nicely requested that all you do is confirm that you no longer have them or never received them.

Additionally, I have actually been following your legal antics for some time know. While I find your legal acumen pedantic at best, I am not willing to take the time necessary to deal with you trying to drag me into court. Please be assured that I find it necessary to file an injunction tomorrow, it will be under seal and our entire legal team will be watching to make sure that you do not leak any of this on your web site or the press. I think at the very least that you realize that no matter how good of a lawyer you think you are ( and for that matter how little you may think I am), there is no way that you can hope to survive this, let alone win.

Sincerely,

Sushma Sandeepth Google Inc. www.google.com