

# UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

## NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT WITHOUT OPINION

JUDGMENT ENTERED: 04/11/2014

The judgment of the court in your case was entered today pursuant to Rule 36. This Court affirmed the judgment or decision that was appealed. None of the relief sought in the appeal was granted. No opinion accompanied the judgment. The mandate will be issued in due course.

Information is also provided about petitions for rehearing and suggestions for rehearing en banc. The questions and answers are those frequently asked and answered by the Clerk's Office.

Costs are taxed against the appellant in favor of the appellee under Rule 39. The party entitled to costs is provided a bill of costs form and an instruction sheet with this notice.

The parties are encouraged to stipulate to the costs. A bill of costs will be presumed correct in the absence of a timely filed objection.

Costs are payable to the party awarded costs. If costs are awarded to the government, they should be paid to the Treasurer of the United States. Where costs are awarded against the government, payment should be made to the person(s) designated under the governing statutes, the court's orders, and the parties' written settlement agreements. In cases between private parties, payment should be made to counsel for the party awarded costs or, if the party is not represented by counsel, to the party pro se. Payment of costs should not be sent to the court. Costs should be paid promptly.

If the court also imposed monetary sanctions, they are payable to the opposing party unless the court's opinion provides otherwise. Sanctions should be paid in the same way as costs.

Regarding exhibits and visual aids: Your attention is directed to FRAP 34(g) which states that the clerk may destroy or dispose of the exhibits if counsel does not reclaim them within a reasonable time after the clerk gives notice to remove them. (The clerk deems a reasonable time to be 15 days from the date the final mandate is issued.)

FOR THE COURT

/s/ Daniel E. O'Toole

Daniel E. O'Toole  
Clerk of Court

cc: Benjamin T. Hickman  
Nathan K. Kelley  
Kimere Jane Kimball  
Monica Barnes Lateef  
Christopher John Rudy  
Coke Stewart

14-1056 - Rudy v. PATO  
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Case No. 1:13-cv-00278-LMB-TCB

NOTE: This disposition is nonprecedential.

**United States Court of Appeals  
for the Federal Circuit**

---

**CHRISTOPHER JOHN RUDY,**  
*Plaintiff-Appellant,*

**v.**

**MICHELLE K. LEE, Deputy Director, United States  
Patent and Trademark Office, DEPUTY  
UNDERSECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, AND BARACK  
OBAMA, in capacity as President of the United  
States or under color of the Office of President and  
individually,**  
*Defendants-Appellees.*

---

2014-1056

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the  
Eastern District of Virginia in No. 1:13-cv-00278-LMB-  
TCB, Judge Leonie M. Brinkema.

---

**JUDGMENT**

---

CHRISTOPHER JOHN RUDY, of Port Huron, Michigan,  
pro se.

KIMERE J. KIMBALL, Special Assistant, United States Attorney, of Alexandria, Virginia, for defendants-appellees. With her on the brief were DANA J. BOENTE, Acting United States Attorney, and BENJAMIN T. HICKMAN, Special Assistant, United States Attorney. Of counsel on the brief were MONICA B. LATEEF and COKE MORGAN STEWART, Associate Solicitors. Of counsel was NATHAN K. KELLEY, Solicitor,

---

THIS CAUSE having been heard and considered, it is

ORDERED and ADJUDGED:

PER CURIAM (LOURIE, CLEVINGER, and DYK, *Circuit Judges*).

**AFFIRMED. See Fed. Cir. R. 36.**

ENTERED BY ORDER OF THE COURT

April 11, 2014

Date

/s/ Daniel E. O'Toole

Daniel E. O'Toole  
Clerk of Court